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## PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

$\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}}$T a time when the desire to see ancient life more vividly on every side from which it can illustrate our own is perhaps the strongest with which the classics are widely read, it seems possible that the Characters of Theophrastus may have some general interest. To Englishmen who do not read Greek they are probably best known through the French translation of La Bruyère. In an edition of the Characters published in 1852 the Rev. J. G. Sheppard mentions an English translation by Mr F. Howell (I824) ${ }^{1}$, and another by Mr H. Galley, of which he does not give the date ${ }^{2}$. But he does not speak of either with approbation; and I have not been able to learn that there is any other ${ }^{3}$.

The first object of my book is to make these lively pictures of old Greek manners better known to English readers. But
${ }^{1}$ (' Francis Howell' was really the pseudonym of Isaac Taylor (1787-1865), the artist, author, and inventor. See The Family Pen, i 64 , ii ${ }_{4} 14$, by his son, the Rev. Isaac Taylor. Mr Jebb's attention was first drawn to this fact by a letter received in 1870 from the Rev. John Gwynn, D.D., Dublin. It may be added that 'Francis Howell's' translation was published (in 1824) by Josiah Taylor, and that, in A. J. Valpy's reprint of the same (in $883 \mathrm{I}-6$ ), 'Howell's' name is omitted, while the initial $T$ is added at the end of the Preface. Owing to Isaac Taylor's translation having been first published under the pseudonym of Francis Howell, the Cornell translators of 1902 have been accidentally led to draw a distinction between two works which are in fact identical.)
${ }^{2}$ (The date is 1725 ; the author was the Rev. Henry Gally, of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, whom Sheppard erroneously calls Galley.)
${ }^{3}$ (The Characters had already been translated by Eustace Budgell (London, 1714 ), who had 'read over' the notes of Casaubon and Duport in Needham's 'very neat' edition (1712), and who also mentions, in his Preface, an earlier English translation, doubtless that of J. Healey (1616), since reprinted, with Earle's Microcosmographie, in the 'Temple Classics,' 1899.)
some critical labour has been given to it, and I venture to hope that in certain points of view it may have interest for scholars.

A translator of the Characters is forced to become also an editor. The text is corrupt, and has long been a field for the ingenuity of critics. It is thickly studded with passages on which hardly two commentators agree; and there is no edition with which I am acquainted in which the editor has not adopted several of his own conjectures. A student of the book who is capable of forming a judgment upon its difficulties is thus driven to make a text for himself. Where doctors differ so often and so utterly, it is absolutely necessary that he should be 'nullius addictus iurare in verba.' He must, in the disputed passages, first inquire what the MSS have, and whether sense can be made of it. If he concludes that it is nonsense, he has the conjectures of previous critics to choose from. If no one of these appears satisfactory, or if he has thought of something which seems to him more probable than any of them, he is justified in adopting his own emendation. A critic ordinarily competent to weigh the opinions of other critics has in every case a right to give so much of weight to his own. In the case of the Characters this right is especially clear. Each chapter consists of a string of short sentences not necessarily connected in meaning. When, therefore, in any one of these the genuine reading has been lost, no sure clue for its recovery can be looked for from the context; for it is possible that the sentence, as written by the author, had no connexion with the sentences which precede and follow it. Every such passage must be treated as a separate riddle; and the limits within which the answer may lie are wide. Open competition in conjecture affords the best hope of the true answer being found. A paper by Dr O. Ribbeck in the Rheinisches Museum for January, 1870, entitled 'Critical Remarks on the Characters of Theophrastus,'
illustrates the freedom with which German scholars are disposed to apply this principle.

In forming the text from which this translation has been made I have used the editions of (I) F. Ast, Leipzig, 1816: (2) J. G. Sheppard, London, 1852 : (3) H. E. Foss, Leipzig, 1858 : (4) E. Petersen, Leipzig, 1859 : (5) J. L. Ussing, Hanover, 1868. The editions of Foss and Petersen give in full the readings of the three principal mss,-viz. of Par. A and B, from Herr Fr. Dübner's collation, and of the Vatican ms from Mr Badham's; also the readings of several other MSS where they are important. The essential apparatus criticus is thus provided. The commentaries of Ast, Foss and Ussing give the conjectures of various other editors and commentators, and make the constant use of the older editions (as of Needham's) practically unnecessary for the purposes of textual criticism. A Critical Appendix at the end of the book contains the results of my work on the text as regards all important points. In a great number of cases it will be found that I have adhered more closely than previous editors to the MSS as reported by Foss and Petersen. In a few cases, where neither the mss nor the critics solved a difficulty to my satisfaction, I have adopted conjectures of my own. The chief of these are:-

In Ch. xiv (Iv) 18, $\lambda_{\varepsilon v \rho o ́ v ~ f o r ~} \lambda v \pi \rho o ́ v . ~$
In Ch. XVI (vi) 22, oủ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa a \pi \eta^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ for o $o \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} a \mu a \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$.
In Ch. XXI (Xviit) 8, Kорıขөıaкต̂s for крьขоко́рака.
In Ch. xxviri (xvi) $30, \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ for $\grave{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu \tilde{v} \nu \omega \nu$.

The grounds upon which these emendations rest are stated in the Appendix.

If the moderate use of conjecture is a privilege which few, perhaps, will challenge, the translator who presumes to expurgate must expect protests. In assuming the unpopular and
much-suspected office of expurgator, I was sensible that I was imperilling the pretensions of this little book to a severely high tone of scholarship, and risking the censure of that large majority who prefer the integrity to the purity of a text. There are, however, in the Characters about a dozen passages or phrases which I was unwilling to translate, and which I have omitted both in the English and in the Greek. The curious can discover them by comparing this edition with any other in the
 ${ }_{\sigma} \theta \eta \sigma i a s, \delta \nu \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i a s, a ̈ \eta \delta i a s$, ò $\downarrow \iota \mu a \theta i a s$. At least three objections may evidently be made to such omissions. First, that a translator so fastidious would have done better to have left the Characters alone altogether. To this it may be replied that the coarseness in the delineations of Theophrastus is but a small element, accidental, not essential, and can in every case be separated from the portrait without injuring it as a whole. Secondly, it may be asked-' Where is the line to be drawn? Why is this struck out and that left in?' Here I have nothing to say but that I have used my best discretion. Thirdly, an objector may contend that, granting the advisability of omitting certain passages in the English translation, there was no sufficient reason for omitting them in the Greek text. It is enough to answer that, in this book, the Greek text is printed only as an adjunct to the translation; and that, therefore, passages omitted in the translation could not, with due regard to symmetry, be left in the text. So to leave them, it may be added, would have been nearly equivalent to printing them in capital letters.

The order in which the mss arrange the Characters has been changed, in this Translation, for an order less embarrassing to the reader. The reasons for this change are stated in the Introduction, pp. 19-21.

The illustrative notes have been made to consist, as much
as possible, of short translated extracts from Greek or Roman writers. The choice of these extracts cost some time and trouble; but, while making them, I often thought of a passage in that delightful book, the Oxford Spectator-the account of the Oxford Commemoration given by an historian writing in 4000 A.D. :-' On the last day of the Commemoration festival it appears that there was a procession to Nuneham, a pleasant spot some miles down the river: "the whole University goes to Nuneham," says the writer of a private letter.' Anyone who attempts to write notes on the details of ancient life is pretty sure to make some statements of this kind. He can only take precautions to keep the number down as much as possible.

> R. C. JEBB.

> Trinity College, Cambridge, April 4, 1870.

## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

THE first edition of the present work was published in 1870 as a small octavo volume of 328 pages under the title of The Characters of Theophrastus, an English Translation from a revised Text, with Introduction and Notes, by R. C. Jebb, M.A., Fellow and Assistant-Tutor of Trinity College, Cambridge, and Public Orator of the University. The permanent interest of the original, and the immediate aim of the translation, are set forth as follows in a letter written by the translator a year after the publication of the work, and printed for the first time thirty-six years later:-
'I sent off Theophrastus three days ago. You will be amused, I think, by the Eresian, if you have not expected too much of him. His real interest is this./ Other writers, whose name is legion, prove to us that the great, the organic, lines of human nature are the same to-day, yesterday, and for ever. Theophrastus is one of the few who survive to remind us that the lighter traits also of character are permanent and universal. The bore of the Fourth Century b.c. is essentially the bore of the Nineteenth Century A.D./ Do not be frightened by the occasional appearance of Greek type in the notes at the end. The notes consist, mainly, of translated extracts from old authors. They are specially meant to be intelligible to English readers. They aim at illustrating the Life of Ancient Hellas (as far as may be) in contemporary language.' ${ }^{\text {' }}$

The essential permanence of the old Athenian types of character is similarly noticed in the Impressions of Theophrastus Such, published by George Eliot in 1879, six years

[^0]after the authoress had renewed her first acquaintance with the translator:-
'I gather...from the undeniable testimony of Theophrastus that there were bores, ill-bred persons, and detractors even in Athens, of species remarkably corresponding to the English, and not yet made endurable by being classic. ${ }^{1}$

The edition of 1870 , warmly welcomed on its first appearance, has long been out of print,-a fact quoted by the Cornell translators of 1902 as a plea for their own translation,-a translation, which (like that of 1870) was 'intended not for the narrow circle of classical philologists, but for the larger body of cultivated persons who have an interest in the past.' Even editors of the text in Holland, Italy, and Germany, have failed to find a copy of the former edition, or have only had an indirect knowledge of its contents. The work was, however, well known to the English authors of the illustrated schooledition of 1904, no less than to the German contributors to the edition published in 1897 by the Philologische Gesellschaft of Leipzig. Jebb's latest legacy to classical literature, the elaborate edition of Bacchylides, published in 1905, was the ultimate result of Dr Kenyon's publication of the editio princeps in 1897. Similarly, the Leipzig edition of Theophrastus, which appeared in the very same year, led to his returning with renewed interest to the study of the Characters. I have before me a complete abstract of the 45 pages on the Recension of the Text contributed to that edition by Otto Immisch. This abstract was written on 25 quarto pages in the Library of the House of Commons, and was concluded on April 14, 1904,-a day on which the House was engaged in debates on army estimates and underground railways.

The discussion of the date of the Characters, contributed to the Leipzig edition by Conrad Cichorius, is carefully analysed

[^1]in the margin of Sir Richard Jebb's copy of that work, which I owe to the kindness of Lady Jebb. There can be little doubt that, had Sir Richard lived for even a few years longer, he would have prepared a new edition of his Theophrastus. This had been repeatedly urged by his friends during his life-time, and, after his death, three years ago, it was definitely suggested by one of them, Professor Tyrrell. By the desire of Lady Jebb and Messrs Macmillan the preparation of the new edition was accordingly undertaken by the present editor, who has now to state the points in which it differs from its predecessor.

In the Introduction, a few references to the later literature of the subject have been added, and the considerations urged by Cichorius, and analysed (as above noticed) by the translator, have been accepted as a sufficient reason for holding that the historical allusions in the Characters point to the year 319 as the date of their composition, and not to the year 316, as suggested in the previous edition.

Among the very few changes in the Greek text is the
 is now known to be the reading of the best Paris mS as well as of the Munich Epitome, besides being the only form recognised in Attic inscriptions of the age of Theophrastus. In v 20 , the text has been brought into harmony with the translation by abandoning the transposition printed in the Teubner text by Foss, and accidentally followed by the printers of the first edition. In xviri io, the word $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} 0 \nu$, which had been removed, under the mistaken impression that it was omitted by both of the Paris MSS, has now been reinstated, and the translation of the sentence has accordingly been brought into agreement with the translator's own quotation of it in the note on xxvil 7 :'If Zeus would (be gracious enough to) send more rain, the crops would be better.' In the former edition the earlier Attic form куaф'є $\omega$ s appeared in xxiII 14, and the later Attic form
quapeîs in XXIV 26 ; in the present, the later form in $\gamma \nu$, which is supported by inscriptions of the fourth century, has been adopted in both passages. There are other points, in which the text is uncertain, but further alterations would have involved corresponding changes in the translation, and it has been thought best that the latter should remain, so far as possible, intact.

In the Translation, the punctuation has been carefully revised, and a few necessary corrections have been introduced. Thus, in vil 7 , 'when he pays a mina, he will cause the slave to pay it with a new coin,' has been altered into 'pay the sum in new coin,' the mina being a sum of money and not a single coin. In viri 6 , 'the festival of a hero' has been altered into 'the festivals of heroes,' with a view to a closer correspondence with the plural $\hat{\eta} \rho \bar{\omega} a$. In xxvini 25 , 'convolvulus' has been altered into 'smilax,' as the description of the plant in one of the botanical treatises of Theophrastus shows that it corresponds to the smilax aspera, and not to the convolvulus. Lastly, certain clauses, accidentally omitted in XVIII 9 and xxiII 8, have now been duly inserted.

To facilitate reference, the number and the title of each chapter has been printed at the head of the page; the lines of the text and the translation have been numbered in the margin; and every note, whether explanatory or critical, is now preceded by the number of the line to which it refers.

For the convenience of the reader, the Explanatory Notes have been transferred from the end of the text to the foot of the page. In these Notes only two items have been omitted :( 1 ), in XV I3, where the inference from a disputed passage in Plato's Apology, 26 E , that a drachma was charged for the best places in the theatre, had already been tacitly given up in Jebb's article on Theatrum in the Dictionary of Antiquities; and (2), in Xxvi 22 f , where the summary of Boeckh's views on
ancient money-standards (on p. 258, ed. 1870), has been withdrawn, as the text refers only to measures of capacity and not to money-standards, while Boeckh's opinions on the latter are superseded by those which have resulted from the discussions raised by the tenth chapter of Aristotle's Constitution of Athens. On this point, and on many others, supplementary notes have been added. Not a few of these have been suggested by texts discovered since the publication of the first edition. The above-mentioned treatise of Aristotle has thus been quoted, as well as the Mimes of Herondas, while a few further illustrations have been gleaned from the fragments of Menander, the pupil of Theophrastus ${ }^{1}$. There are also repeated references to the recent literature of the subject, including the papers by Canon E. L. Hicks, and by Professors Ribbeck and Gomperz, and the commentary of the Leipzig editors, while several illustrations have been derived from the province of classical archaeology. A marginal memorandum (the only one of its kind) shows that the original editor intended to revise his note on the Odeum (XVIII 13): this note has accordingly been recast. It has also been necessary to revise the note on the Panathenaic procession (XXIX 5).

In the text 'square brackets,' [ ], are uniformly used in this edition to denote words that ought to be omitted, and 'angular brackets,' $<>$, to distinguish words that have been inserted. The additions due to the present editor are, in the case of the Explanatory Notes, placed in parentheses distinguished from the ordinary parentheses by the use of blacker type; in the Critical Appendix (as in the notes to the Preface), ordinary parentheses have been found sufficient for the purpose.

In the Critical Appendix the account of the MSS has been revised. It is now known that the MS, which the editor (following the precedent set by Petersen) described as the

[^2]'Palatino-Vatican' (P Vat.), is not identical with any one of the four Vatican mss of the Characters, which were formerly in the Library of the Palatinate. Accordingly, throughout the critical notes, the MS in question is simply called the 'Vatican ms' (V). The Bibliography has also been revised and supplemented by the addition of more than three pages on the literature of the subject between 1869 and the present time. These pages also contain references to works, in which many suggestions have been made for the correction of the text ; but, of these suggestions, only those that appear to have a high degree of probability have been quoted in the critical notes.

In the course of some correspondence with Professor von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, who had included several of the Characters in his well-known 'Greek Reader,' I had the good fortune to learn that his colleague, Professor Diels, whose Theophrastea of 1883 is one of the landmarks in the recent study of the text, was engaged in preparing a critical edition for the Clarendon Press. Professor Diels and myself were thus happily enabled to arrange for communicating our proof-sheets to one another. The result was that the Berlin Professor was put in possession of Jebb's opinions on the text, which had previously been inaccessible to him, while I had the advantage of obtaining accurate information as to the disputed readings in the two Paris mss and the Vatican ms, all three of which had been completely photographed with a view to the Oxford edition. I have also had the satisfaction of being enabled to record the excellent emendations now proposed by Professor Diels, to whom my best thanks are due for the promptitude with which he has kindly supplied me with all the information I could possibly desire.

The Greek Index to the Text is on a considerably larger scale than the corresponding index to the notes in the former edition. As a simple Index Verborum, arranged in the order of the
alphabet, it will enable the reader to find any important word or phrase with, perhaps, greater facility than is possible in the case of any elaborately classified and subdivided index, such as those which accompany the editions of 1897 and 1904. The English. Index to the Notes includes practically all the items in the corresponding index to the first edition, and many more besides. It is hoped that the enlargement of both the Indexes will furnish scholars and students with a ready means of referring to any passage they desire to trace in the text or notes, and that the new edition of this work will find many friends in the generation that has grown up since the date of its first appearance eight and thirty years ago.

J. E. SANDYS.

## Merton House, Cambridge, December, 1908.

## ADDENDUM

On page 58 , line 3, after $\pi \varepsilon \rho a \iota \tau \epsilon \rho \omega$ insert $\dot{\omega}$ (omitted in the text of the first edition, but inserted in the Critical Appendix).

## INTRODUCTION.

## I.

## Probable Origin of the Book.

The book of Characters which tradition ascribes to Theophrastus, the pupil of Aristotle, contains thirty sketches from Athenian society in the age of Alexander the Great. If they do not go far into human nature, they touch things upon its surface with a good deal of humour and acuteness. As illustrations of manners, again, they have this merit, that they treat of commonplace people and of everyday life. But it is not as pictures of men or of manners that they seem most interesting.

Besides the language which a literature can preserve, every civilised people has another which necessarily dies with it, the language of society. The general sense of a word survives in books, and it is sometimes possible by a comparison of passages to discriminate shades of meaning; but it is seldom or never possible to be sure that we have seized the precise notions which the word conveyed long ago to the men in whose mouths it was a part of living speech. A thousand associations which we cannot guess at, reaching back into the infancy of the people, becoming more complex with its growth, intertwining themselves with every part of its civil and social being, were blent together in every word through which this life found utterance, and dyed each with tints which are lost for ever when the glow from which they were caught is extinct. The words of a dead language are like panes of stained glass seen on a bleak morning. The genius of the design which they make up can be felt ; and, if the separate colours seem hard in the grey light, it is possible to imagine them deepened; but no imagination can see them as they looked when the evening sunshine was streaming through the window. When the life of a nation is over, the forms of the language which it once warmed remain, and sympathy can still quicken them, perhaps, with a tinge of the old spirit; but the very soul which gave its meaning to the shape can never be lit up again.

This loss is at once severest and least remediable in the case of those terms which every society invents or adapts to express familiar ethical facts from points of view peculiar to itself; but which either do not come into books, or are not fully explained there. Even in a living language such terms are seldom so well understood by a foreigner that he can be sure of using them in exactly the right cases. For instance, the ironical application of 'shocking' occasionally met with in French novels is not always what we should consider happy; and few Englishmen would dare to say that they knew precisely what is meant by certain French and German terms of the same kind. This is one of the obvious reasons against interlarding one's mother-speech with words borrowed to express ideas pithily; the words so borrowed are usually just those which a foreigner is most likely to use wrongly. They are saturated more deeply than any others with the mind of the people to whom they are peculiar. For the same reason, when it is possible to arrive at a tolerably clear notion of what they mean, no helps are so valuable towards understanding the ways in which a foreign people feels and thinks.

Now in the Characters of Theophrastus we have thirty such words explained and fully illustrated. The precise idea, for example, which an Athenian attached to 'Meanness' is put beyond a doubt by a list of the things which the Mean man will do. To make clearness doubly clear, qualities nearly akin to each other are in some cases described. Thus the province of Meanness has its border still better defined by juxtaposition to Avarice and to Penuriousness. We have, in fact, in this book, a fragment of the social language of Athens interpreted by a very full and explicit commentary. The value of such a fragment to the study of Greek history and literature is surely not slight. A series of men, vividly seen, with all the tricks of speech and manner which marked them in Athenian society, passes before us; and for once we know that we are viewing them from an absolutely Athenian standpoint, and can name every one of them as an Athenian would have named him. It would be a dull imagination which were not helped by this to understand better the drama played on a larger stage, and to feel the language which the actors spoke as if it were one of which the shades could still be caught from the tones and gestures of living men.

It is well known that the text of the Characters is corrupt, thougb there is scarcely a place where the general meaning cannot be seen; and that one manuscript, now in the Vatican library, is the sole authority for two of the chapters, as well as for certain additions to thirteen others. A short account of the manuscripts and of the principal editions will be
found in another placef? Here it will be enough to say that there have been three epochs in the modern history of the book; the appearance of Casaubon's edition in 1598 ; the discovery of the two long-missing chapters in 1786 ; and the publication, in $1834-6$, of three essays by Herr H. E. Foss, by which the disputed authenticity of these chapters and of the other additions in the Vatican ms may be said to have been established.

The origin of a book so singular in style and with a history so peculiar has been the subject of various theories. It is proposed briefly to review the principal of these; to consider the chief arguments for and against them; and to separate, as far as possible, what is certain or probable from what remains mere matter of conjecture.

Two questions occur. Were the Characters written by Theophrastus? And, if so, did they originally form an independent work, or have they been extracted from some other book or books?

Burney ${ }^{2}$ believed that the Characters were composed by a writer

> Authorship of the Characters -Burney's view. who lived under the Roman Empire, and who derived them from the pictures of old Greek life in the dramatists of the Middle or New Comedy. The second part of this theory scarcely requires to be disproved. No incident, no trait of style in the book warrants the supposition that a writer whose aim was to describe manners turned from living men to portraits of the dead. It is only necessary to read the 'Letters' in which Alciphro, a rhetorician of the Empire, attempted to revive the Athens of Menander, in order to feel the difference between a clever cento and a sketch from life ${ }^{3}$. There remains the more general proposition,-that the Characters, if not a patchwork, are yet the production of an age later than that of Theophrastus. This opinion no longer finds many supporters; but it is due to some names whose authority it has had to state the grounds on which it appears improbable.

Critical Appendix.
${ }^{2}$ The authority for Burney's opinion is a note by Dobree on Ar. Plut. 1021 (in his Porsoni notae in Aristophanem, Cambridge, $\mathbf{1 8 2 0}$ ) :-‘Docte et acute suspicabatur desideratissimus Burneius, tempore imperatorum Romanorum ex comoediis esse consarcinatos (Characteras).'
${ }^{3}$ Alciphro probably lived in the latter part of the second century A.D. His imaginary Letters, in three books, are intended to illustrate the Athenian manners of an earlier time. One of them
purports to be written by Menander, who was contemporary with Theophrastus. It is probable that the ludicrous adventures of parasites and rustics which they describe were taken in part from pieces of the Middle and New Comedy. If this be so, we have here sketches actually constructed as Burney supposed the Characters to have been-'ex comoediis consarcinati.' The artificial and elaborate drollery of the Letters is in striking contrast with the simple humour of the Characters.

The earliest writer who ascribes the Characters to Theophrastus is Date of the Diogenes Laërtius, early in the third century. Supposing

Characters.External evidence. him to have been deceived, further evidence to their higher antiquity can be found only in themselves. The internal evidence which they supply is of two kinds, general and particular.

The general evidence is, in the first place, that of the language. As far as the state of the text allows us to judge, the book
Internal evi-dence-that of the language. contains scarcely a word or a construction which would not be admissible in what is usually called 'classical'
Greek prose ${ }^{1}$. Changes in the language and in literary style proceeded rapidly from the beginning of the third century b.c.; and even those later writers who, like Lucian, especially studied Atticism, use words and constructions which, as far as we can judge, an old Attic writer would not have used. As regards the ordinary style of the later prosewriters, there is no possibility of mistaking it for the 'classical': not only is the language different, but the old straightforward way of writing has given place to a general taste for antithesis and for what was thought melodious arrangement. The plain, short sentences of the Characters, the series of infinitives strung together on the oios at the head of each chapter, like papers of all sizes on a file, do not resemble such work as the disciples of the rhetorical schools loved to produce. The only case which has been alleged of a post-classical usage is $\delta \in \iota \sigma \iota \delta a \mu \circ v i a$ in the sense of 'superstition.' The word, it is said, did not 'acquire' a bad sense till after the time of Theophrastus. As we have endeavoured to show in a note on c. xxvin, it is inaccurate to speak of the word 'acquiring' a sense which potentially it must always have had. And that, as early as the time of Theophrastus, it was actually used in this as well as in its better sense, is sufficiently shown by the fact that Menander wrote a comedy with the title $\Delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta \delta a i \mu \omega v$.

Another kind of general evidence may probably be derived from

Evidence of the manners. the nature of the social manners which the Characters describe. Here, indeed, we are on ground far less sure than that of language. But it is certain that we may recognise in these sketches that frank homeliness which marked old Athenian life, and which faded there, as elsewhere, when men began to take their tone from the new capital of the world. This homeliness is seen in frequent allusions to the details of a small household, to petty loans between

[^3][^4]neighbours, to minute economies in dress and the like ${ }^{1}$. The simple life thus opened, and the candour which opens it, remind us rather of Aristophanes than of any writer whose taste in manners and in literature had been formed under imperial Rome ${ }^{2}$.

The particular evidence consists in passages which allude to Alexander the Great and to his immediate successors

## Evidence of particular passages.

 as to persons with whom the speakers were contemporary. In c. xxini (vi of this edition) the Boastful man brags of having served with Alexander; and afterwards states that Antipater has conferred upon him the privilege of exporting timber from Macedonia free of duty. This appears to refer to the three years (322-319; B.c.) during which Antipater, first as regent of the province of Macedenia, afterwards as supreme regent of the whole Macedonian empire, was master of Athens, and in particular to the first half of 319 в.c. ${ }^{3}$ In c. viII ( xx in this edition) the Newsmonger,-or, as he is styled, the Newsmaker, -pretends that he has just had news of a battle between Cassander and Polyperchon. These leaders were at war in the years 319-309 в.c.; and the particular time referred to is probably the latter half of the year $319^{4}$.Now a writer who wished to illustrate character by sketches of representative men might of course, if he pleased, throw them back into history. By so doing, however, he would not only give himself much needless trouble, but would lose nearly all the freshness and effect. An English character-writer of the present day, who wished to convey a distinct idea of how a braggart speaks and acts, would scarcely place him in the reign of Elizabeth, and make him boast of his adventures with Raleigh, or affect to have received private advices from the Low Countries. Or, if he chose to proceed in this way, he would at least take care that the allusions should be such as ordinary readers could easily recognise. On Burney's hypothesis, however, the author of the Characters neglected even this precaution. The allusion which he has placed in the mouth of his Newsmonger is to an obscure episode in the complicated quarrels of Alexander's successors. To an Athenian who

[^5]with 'Ladies in Parliament' (pp. 14I196, ed. 1869).
${ }^{3}$ See note on Vr i4. (In the former edition the date adopted was 316; but the discussion by Conrad Cichorius, in the Leipzig edition of ${ }^{1897}$, has made it clear that the true date is 3 rg .)

4 See note on Xx 15.
lived just then the episode happened to be important, and in his mind it would fill a large space: but it is scarcely one which a literary man, writing long afterwards, would have brought into a popular sketch. The probability that the composer of the Characters was contemporary with the events of which they speak may be illustrated from the case of a similar book in our own literature. Let us suppose that, several centuries after the English language was dead, a critic with no external testimony before him, and who could not trust himself to decide surely hetween the literary styles and social manners of different periods, wished certain from internal evidence when Hall's 'Characterismes of and Vices' were written. He would be struck, in the first by a passage in the sketch of the Busie-Bodie. 'What euerie entures in Guiana voyage and what they gained he knows to a haire. Whether Holland will haue peace hee knowes, and on what conditions; and with what successe is familiar to him ere it bee concluded.' Another passage to which he might look for help is in the sketch of the Vaine-glorious man. 'His talke is...what exploits he did at Cales or Nieuport.' If he then consulted histories, he would find that voyages to Guiana were most in fashion in England during the latter part of Raleigh's life, who made his first expedition thither in 1595, and his second in 1618. A truce for twelve years between the States-General and Spain was signed on the 9th April, 1609. Calais was taken by the Spaniards April 17th, $1596^{1}$, and remained in their hands until Henry IV regained it by a treaty with Philip signed at Vervins, May 2nd, 1598 . In the interval he more than once asked Elizabeth to help him in a siege for the recovery of the town ${ }^{2}$. The battle of Nieuport, in which the army of the States-General, led by Maurice of Nassau, with the English allies under Sir Francis Vere, defeated the Spaniards under Albert of Austria, was fought July and, $1600^{\circ}$. Here, then, are four distinct allusions to events comprised in a period of about twelve years. It would be a reasonable inference that these were events of the writer's own time; and that the 'Characterismes' were written either soon before or soon after the end of the war between Spain and Holland. If the inquirer could assume that the sketch of the Busie-bodie, who discusses the prospects of peace, was written while peace was really future, then he would have ascertained that part of the book at least was composed not later than the spring of 1609 . If he hesitated to assume this, he would merely pronounce it probable that

[^6]the book was written in or about the years 1600-16io. We know that, in fact, it was published in 1608.

On evidence of the like kind, confirmed by the general evidence first noticed, it is probable that the Characters were written in or about the years $322-300$ в.c. If the inference which would have been safe in respect to Hall's 'Busie-Bodie' is safe in respect to the Greek sketch of the 'Newsmaker,' then that chapter, at least, was written late in the year 3 I9 B.C.; but this is uncertain and unimportant.

The life of Theophrastus, though its precise limits are doubtful,

Date of Theophrastus. falls in the period $373-284$ b.c. ${ }^{1}$ The Characters ${ }^{\circ}$ century, and were known as his to the later grammarians, Eus, Suidas, and Tzetzes. A story preserved by Athenaeus further that the tradition of antiquity represented him as having a gentur 40.5 lively description ${ }^{2}$. On the whole, then, there seems to be no good reason for doubting that the Characters are his genuine productions ${ }^{3}$.

But did they originally form a separate book? Or have they been

## Original form of the Characters.

 extracted from some other work or works of Theophrastus? This question, unlike the former, cannot be answered with any confidence: we can only balance the probabilities.The principal champion in recent times of the belief that the Characters represent an independent work has been

The opinion that they formed an independent work. F. Ast, the author of the Lexicon Platonicum. When his edition was published at Leipzig in 1816, the theory of extracts was already current in Germany. In his Prolegomena he re-asserts the older view by an appeal to the evidence

Ast's argument. of style. There are, he says, three styles in which character may be described. First the philosophical, having for its aim to teach. Secondly the rhetorical, having for its aim to move. Thirdly, what he calls the 'mimicum genus,' the farcical; having for its aim simply to amuse. The proper subjects for this style

[^7]of Porson:-‘Putabat scilicet, nisi me vehementer fallit memoria, falso tribui Theophrasto Characteras, antiquos tamen esse concedens' (Pors. Notae in Ar., Plut. iozr). Had Porson left on record his reasons for this opinion, they would have been of great interest. As it is, we have only a dictum vaguely reported.
are qualities neither virtuous nor vicious ${ }^{1}$, but morally indifferent; its excellences are truth, tact, brevity; its results should be 'witty pictures-idylls-of human character, drawn from nature itself, with no purpose but to please'2. These conditions are, he thinks, fulfilled by the Characters of Theophrastus. They are essentially in this humorous style; they cannot, therefore, have been culled from a philosophical or rhetorical work. As we shall endeavour to show by and by, there is an element of truth in this view. But, in the precise form which Ast gives to it, it appears slightly fantastic. Granting that the laws which he lays down for the 'farcical' style are just, it cannot be said that the Characters of Theophrastus strictly obey them. Truth, tact, conciseness, we.coubtless among the merits of these sketches. But the qualities in miscribed are not such as the author, at least, thought 'morally Indifferent.' Many of them are identical with 'vices' treated by Aristotle and Eudemus; all of them, as being extremes, are vices in the meaning of the Peripatetic school to which Theophrastus belonged. Ast's rule that such descriptions should simply amuse is a test not easy to apply; but he saves us this trouble by avowing that three chapters-the Oligarch, the Patron of Rascals, and the Superstitious Man-do not satisfy it; and regards them, on this and other grounds, as spurious. The same objection might surely be urged with equal force against some others, notably against the chapters on Irony and on Evilspeaking.

But, when we have rejected Ast's theory of the style in which the Characters are composed, we have still to consider the value of his general result. The theory that these sketches formed part or the whole of a special work starts with an advantage; the burden of proof rests with those who deny it. Nor, indeed, can it be disproved. But a number of circumstances, which severally are not of great weight, combine to render it improbable. In the first place, not only do the manuscripts vary much in the number of chapters which they contain, but they represent three distinct revisions or editions; in one of which the same chapters are longer, and in another shorter, than in the third. If the Characters once formed a definite whole, the volume has had a fate which could not easily be paralleled; for, whereas its original unity ought to have secured something like a uniform tradition, it has been handed down, not merely with various texts, but in a number of

[^8][^9]different shapes and sizes. Next, if we consider that portion of the contents, and that arrangement of them, upon which the manuscripts agree, we shall discover a want of symmetry and a confusion hardly reconcileable with the supposition that the book was put forth in this form by its author. Some qualities are treated, for no evident reason, with much greater fulness than the rest. Three chapters are given to the Love of Money, considered in finer gradations than are recognised in any other case; Talkativeness, again, and the qualities allied to Shamelessness, are especially favoured; while such complex ideas as Pride and Cowardice are dismissed in one chapter each. The order of the chapters is also capricious. Qualities so much alike that juxtaposition is necessary for distinction, are placed apart; nor is contrast, any more than resemblance, a principle of the arrangement. Yet upon this arrangement the manuscripts agree. Unless such an order had ancient authority, it could scarcely have maintained itself against reason and convenience in all the manuscripts; on the other hand it is scarcely conceivable that it can represent the author's final design. An explanation of the fact will be suggested presently; we are now concerned only with the fact itself. Three things, then, seem against the view that the Characters, as now extant, originally formed a single work; the multiform tradition; the unsymmetrical plan; the confused arrangement. If it is contended that the Characters, though not the whole, may be a fragment of such a work, the first and third of these difficulties have still to be met; for the second is substituted that of explaining how it happened that part of a volume presumably small should have been preserved in a number of copies which testifies to its popularity, while the other part has been so completely lost that no trace remains of $i t$.

The opinion that the Characters are extracts from some other work

Theory that the Characters are extracts. or works is less open to obvious objections, and is that to which recent scholars have generally inclined. It has been held in different forms, which have gradually become more and more precise. Schneider, in the preface to his edition

Schneider's view. published in 1799, was content to surmise that these extracts were made 'at various times and by various persons' from 'some larger ethical work' of Theophrastus. This is certainly to put the theory in its least probable shape. The gradual formation of the book would account, indeed, for the confused order of its chapters; but how can it be supposed that such a collection was made gradually 'by various men and at various times'? If, when the first of these had selected two or three sketches, he held his hand, what
singular good fortune transmitted to the next labourer this small beginning of a book, and so passed the slowly growing volume through these mysterious inheritors of a purpose? It is surely simpler to suppose that a task of such very moderate compass was completed by the person who conceived it. But upon what book or books did this person draw? Schneider says merely on 'a larger ethical work'; but some later writers have spoken more definitely.

Theophrastus is known to have been the author of two large works

## Works from which the Characters may have been taken.

 on moral philosophy; one of these was called $\eta^{\dot{\theta}} \kappa_{\kappa \alpha^{1}}$, and was perhaps a collection of special treatises; the other was entitled $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \eta \hat{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega}$, and was probably analogous in plan to the Nicomachean Ethics of Aristotle, but more comprehensive. It is now ${ }^{\text {s }}$ a favourite opinion that the Characters were derived from the latter of these works ${ }^{3}$, or perhaps from both ${ }^{4}$. The claims of the $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{j} \dot{\eta}^{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ have been urged in an elaborate and able essay by Dr E. Petersen (1859). We will attempt to give an outline of his argument, and to consider its value.[^10]After touching upon some notices of the lost work $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\eta} \dot{\gamma} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, which

Petersen's view-the Characters taken from the тері̀ $\grave{\eta} \theta \hat{\nu}$. suggest that it went over nearly the same ground as the Nicomachean Ethics, Petersen expresses the belief that yet clearer traces of this work are to be found in an extant author. Stobaeus in his 'Eclogues' sketches the ethical system 'of Aristotle and the other Peripatetics,' and in one place quotes Theophrastus by name in support of a particular statement. Petersen endeavours to show that the whole of this exposition was probably derived from the $\pi \epsilon \rho i \grave{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega v}$ of Theophrastus; that, therefore, the $\pi \epsilon \rho i{ }^{j} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ treated of (at least) all those qualities which are cited in illustration by Stobaeus; and that, since twelve of these correspond with qualities described in the Characters, it is so far possible that the Characters may have been derived from the $\pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} v$. The first position is defended at length. To make it probable that Stobaeus was indebted to a work which not only is not extant, but of which the nature can only be conjectured, is certainly no easy task; and, on the other hand, we would not willingly undertake to show that it was improbable. It will suffice to quote a criticism upon this part of Petersen's theory by the historian of Greek philosophy, Dr E. Zeller ${ }^{1}$. 'Since the latest source used by Stobaeus is at all events a much later one (than Theophrastus), as one sees from the frequent introduction of Stoic terminology and the elaborate apologetic references to Stoic doctrines, and as is also probable from Cic. de Fin. v;-since, too, a partial agreement with Theophrastus warrants no conclusion as to the remaining contents of the extract ;we cannot use it (with the exception of one passage in which Theophrastus is named, p. 300) as evidence for the doctrine of this teacher.'

It appears to us, however, that Petersen might resign his special theory regarding the passage in Stobaeus without damage to his main position, viz. that the Characters were derived from the $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} v$. Everyone would allow that if, as is likely on other grounds, the $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega \nu}$ was a work similar to the Nicomachean Ethics, it probably treated of many qualities identical with those described in the Characters. The real difficulties are of a kind out of which Stobaeus could not help us.

The first may be stated thus. Admitting that many, or that most,

## First objection

 to Petersen's view.-Nature of some of the subjects of the Characters. of the characters may have been extracted from a formal treatise on morals, are there not some for which such a source is inconceivable? Consider, for instance, the sketches of the Newsmaker, of the Late-learner, of the Oligarch, of the Patron of Rascals. Each of these must,[^11]on Petersen's assumption, have been treated in the lost philosophical work ; and, if this work was on the plan of Aristotle's Ethics, each must have been considered in relation to an opposite and to an intermediate quality. Petersen labours hard to reconstruct these $\sigma v$ ǧviac or trios; but it is not surprising that personages such as those just named are somewhat refractory under the process. The Newsmaker, with all that is distinctive in his genius unrecognised, subsides into a place under the notion of Loquacity, though doubts are expressed whether he would not have been an equally loyal dependent of Boastfulness. The names of the vicious character to which he is opposite, and of the virtuous one in regard to which he is extreme, are not specified. The Late-learner is dealt with yet more summarily. He is merely pronounced to be a variety of the Idly-laborious; and, when it has been briefly suggested that Industry is the virtue from which he has strayed, he is left with his more special relations unexplained. The Oligarch is declared-with a partiality somewhat oligarchical-to be the opposite of the Reckless man (ả̃ovevo $\eta \mu$ évos); the intermediate character being the Popular ( $\delta \eta \mu$ oтıкós). The Patron of Rascals is still more strangely situated; he is given for his vis-dे-vis the Arrogant Man, and the character between them is styled $\phi$ i $\lambda_{0} \delta \eta \mu$ оs, the Friend of the People.

Whether such groups can have had place in a work on moral science, is a question which everyone must judge for himself. It would not, perhaps, be easy, with the given materials, to form an arrangement which should not be liable to criticism in at least the same degree as Petersen's. The Newsmaker, the Late-learner, and the rest, could never be accurately fitted into any of those round or square holes which are prepared for abstractions. They are not ideal men, in each of whom a quality is personified; they are real, and therefore complex. Moreover they have been regarded, not from the philosopher's, but from the artist's point of view ; they have not been analysed, but drawn as they strike the eye, in such wise that the laws of anatomy are of less moment than the rules of perspective. Now, as Petersen himself has conclusively shown elsewhere, the genuineness of all thirty Characters rests upon the same evidence. No hypothesis of their origin can be accepted which will not apply to every one of them. If, then, the derivation from a formal work on morals appears unlikely for some chapters, it must be pronounced unlikely for all.

The second difficulty involved in the view which we are discussing

Second objec-tion.-Style of the Characters generally.
arises from the style of the Characters generally. Would descriptions of this kind have been admitted into a philosophical work? Petersen has met this objection fairly, though not, as it appears to us, victoriously. Theophrastus
merely wished, he says, to embody each fault, with the utmost truth and clearness, in a person who should be typical of a class. If the resulting portraits 'move laughter rather than indignation,' this is due partly to the nature of the subject, partly to that of the author. Most of the qualities described are such as hurt the possessor more than anyone else; and Theophrastus seems to have had a very keen sense of the ridiculous. To these remarks we readily assent; but they do not appear to meet the case. The difficulty is, not that the descriptions are amusing, but that they are written as if their principal aim was to amuse. No one would object to philosophical truths receiving humorous illustration. But when a delineation of character has been so worked up that every sentence is a point or a witticism, its fitness to illustrate general truths is spoilt by the interest of its details. A writer whose first object was to show by examples how certain principles work, would do ill if he set before the imagination a mass of particulars so humorous that the thought of principles must at least be undermost.

Petersen contends, however, that passages similar in style to the Characters are actually found in Aristotle's Ethics. We will now turn to these, and inquire how far the resemblance goes.

The first passage in which he discovers an approach to the manner

> Analogy of passages in the Nicom. Ethics to the Characters examined. of Theophrastus is the discussion upon Courage (Eth. N. iv 5-9). We translate the remarks which he cites:(I) 'The rash are headlong, and, though ready enough before dangers, yet in dangers fall away; but the courageous are in action keen, and, before it, quiet': Eth. N. iv 7. (2) 'Regular troops turn cowards when the peril becomes pressing and they are inferior in numbers' or equipment. They are the first to run away': iv 8. (3) 'Such' (i.e. occasions of sudden death) 'are especially the chances of war; not but that the courageous man is fearless also at sea': Iv 6 . And (4) from the comparison of Intemperance with Cowardice, IV 12: 'Such things distract the mind with pain, so that men throw away their arms and otherwise incur disgrace.'

Aristotle refers here to particular occasions on which cowardice is displayed, and even to particular acts which the coward does. But these are referred to in general terms, and in direct connexion with the general laws which they exemplify. Turn now to the chapter on Cowardice in the Characters of Theophrastus. It consists of two little stories, each elaborated to the highest point, and set off with a profusion of lively details. The first runs thus:-'The Coward is one
who, on a voyage, will protest that the promontories are privateers; and, if a high sea gets up, will ask if there is anyone on board who has not been initiated. He will put up his head and ask the steersman 'if he is halfway yet'; remarking to the person sitting next him that 'a dream makes him feel uneasy'; and he will take off his tunic and give it to a slave; or he will beg them to set him ashore.'

Is it easy to suppose this embodied in a work similar to the Nicomachean Ethics?

But more stress is laid by Peersen on two other cases,-the delineations of the Magnificent and of the Magnanimous Man. From the former he makes this extract:-'There are cases of expenditure which we call honourable; for instance, the presentation to the gods of offerings, temple-furniture, sacrifices; all things, in like manner, which concern the divine nature generally, or which are subjects of honourable rivalry in regard to the common weal; as when men deem in any case that they are bound to put a chorus on the stage, to equip a trireme, or perhaps to feast the town, in splendid style....In private life [the occasions for magnificence] are those which occur but once,a marriage, for instance, or anything of that kind, -and those which excite the interest of the whole community, or of its most respected members; preparations, again, for the reception or for the departure of guests; and the making or the recompensing of gifts. It also belongs to the Magnificent Man to furnish his house suitably to his wealth.' (Eth. iv 2.)

With the particulars of this statement Petersen compares some special points in the three chapters of Theophrastus on Penuriousness, Meanness, and Avarice. But a safer mode of proceeding is surely to compare the general style of the passage in Aristotle with the general style of any one of these. Take, for example, the first few sentences of the chapter on Meanness :--'The Mean Man is one who, having gained the prize in a tragic contest, will dedicate a wooden scroll to Dionysus, having had it inscribed with his own name. When subscriptions are being raised in the ecclesia, he will rise without saying a word, and walk out of the assembly. When he is celebrating his daughter's marriage, he will sell the flesh of the animal sacrificed, save what is due to the altar; and will hire the attendants at the marriage festival on condition that they find their own board. When he is trierarch, he will spread the steersman's rugs under him upon the deck, and put his own away.' Here, as in the former case, the difference between the two kinds of writing is well seen. Aristotle, bent on illustrating principles,
touches on facts by the way. Theophrastus, studying to produce a picture, combines groups of facts within a framework which is itself scarcely observed.

It remains to consider the passage upon which Petersen chiefly relies,-the famous description of the Magnanimous Man, which he pronounces 'most like of all, both in matter and in manner,' to the Characters. Eth. N. iv 3 :-' $N o w ~ t h e ~ M a g n a n i m o u s ~ M a n ~ d e s p i s e s ~$ others justly....It is of his nature to confer benefits, but he is ashamed to receive them. He seems, also, to remember whom he has benefited, but not those from whom he has received benefits. Again, it is characteristic of him to ask no favours, or to ask them reluctantly, but to do a service readily; to show himself haughty to men of rank or fortune, but kindly to those of middle station.... He will not court objects of common ambition, or go where others are foremost.... He will be inactive and dilatory save where there is question of great honour or of a great work; he will engage in few things, but these shall be great and famous. He must needs be frank, too, in his hatreds and in his likings: for disguise belongs to fear....He will speak and act openly.... He will be ironical to the many...not prone to admire...not apt to bear a grudge...no gossip....Nor, again, is he lavish of praise; and for the same reason he speaks no evil; not even of his enemies, unless it be to show his scorn....Again he is apt to possess beautiful and unfruitful things rather than those which yield fruit and profit; for this better becomes an independent man. Slow movement, also, deep tones, deliberate speech, seem to become the man of a great soul....Such, then, is the Magnanimous Man.'

Is it true that 'this description is removed only by the smallest interval from those of Theophrastus'; and that 'the differences are in things which must, if the nature of his genius is considered, have given tise to his style of description'?

In the first place it should be noticed that the above extract, which we have given as Petersen gives it, does not accurately represent the general tenor of the passage. To every special characteristic of the Magnanimous Man Aristotle subjoins, as usual, a statement of the principle on which it depends. Thus to the remark that 'it is his character to confer benefits, but he is ashamed to receive them,' is added, 'for the one becomes a superior, the other an inferior'; and throughout, each action has its theory appended to it. The nplexion of the entire passage is therefore very different from that the epitome. It is not simply a series of picturesque instances. These instances are ranged upon a groundwork of connected reasonings;
and it is never for a moment obscure that the artistic purpose is secondary to the philosophical. If in the next place we consider the terms in which the particular actions of the Magnanimous Man are described, the difference between Aristotle and Theophrastus will again be clear. These terms are always general. The Magnanimous Man 'shows himself haughty to men of rank or fortune.' When Theophrastus is describing Arrogance, he is not content with saying that the Arrogant Man is haughty to all the world. 'The Arrogant Man,' he tells us, 'is one who will say to a person who is in a hurry that he will see him after dinner when he is taking his walk.' Aristotle says that the Magnanimous Man 'will not court objects of common ambition.' Theophrastus would have told us that such a person scorns to walk through the Market-place in his spurs, or to speak of the privilege which Antipater has conferred upon him of exporting timber free of duty.

To conclude: The theory that the Characters are extracts from a philosophical work appears to us improbable for two reasons. First, because of the subjects of certain chapters. Secondly, because of the style of all; and the latter objection cannot be overcome by a comparison of passages in the Nicomachean Ethics.

In the course of an attempt to examine several views of this question, it has been impossible to do full justice to the learning and ability with which Dr Petersen has urged his own. It is an opinion which has struck deep root in Germany ; which many of her foremost scholars in recent times have asserted or allowed; and which will probably remain the general faith about the Characters of Theophrastus ${ }^{1}$. We have endeavoured to give fairly the substance of the chief arguments for it, and to explain why they do not satisfy us. If, then, the theory of an independent book and the theory of extracts are both to be rejected, what hypothesis remains? We will suggest in as few words as possible that solution of the question which appears to us least improbable.

Theophrastus wrote from time to time, for his own amusement and for lively satire. These playful pieces were handed about in his intimate
 that of his friends, short sketches of characters common

[^12]collection of materials bearing the same relation to the Ethics of Theophrastus, as the Politerai to the Politics of Aristotle; but both admit the existence of interpolations. In 1898 Gomperz described the 'excerpt-theory' as having no defenders.)
circle, but were never formed into a regular book; either because sketches so desultory did not readily lend themselves to a plan and an arrangement, and their author did not care to force them; or because he thought pieces so slight unworthy of his reputation and of his position as Aristotle's successor. At his death these several pieces, already famous among a few, passed into a wider currency than had been permitted to them during his life. Copies were multiplied; but some contained more pieces, some fewer; in some a particular piece was given at greater extent than in others. For there was no authentic volume to which appeal could be made; the sketches had been circulated privately, and not necessarily all together; no public edition had furnished a standard text, or stamped the collection as a definite whole. Thus may be explained the circumstance which has already been noticed as adverse to Ast's theory of an independent book, and which Zeller notices as favourable to the theory of extracts,--the looseness of the manuscript tradition. Thus, too, the absence of symmetry in the contents; for either Theophrastus, writing as fancy prompted, may have dwelt most largely upon certain characters in which the materials for description were peculiarly full and rich; or part of what he wrote about others may have been left out in the copies from which ours have come. Lastly, the order in which the chapters are arranged, which can hardly have been due to the author's design, but which yet has ancient authority, is intelligible if it represents the order into which the sketches chanced to have fallen in one or more of the collections made soon after the death of Theophrastus, and which, as being known to date nearly from his time, was respected by the Alexandrian grammarians. With these advantages the view just suggested combines the chief recommendation of that which supposes the Characters to represent an independent book published by Theophrastus. It justifies the grotesque subjects of some chapters, and the pointedly humorous style of all, on the plain ground that these sketches were written for their own sake, and were never episodes of a graver work ${ }^{1}$.

[^13]'I haue for once aduentur'd to playe the Midwife's part, helping to bring forth these Infants into the World, which the Father would haue smoothered: who hauing left them lapt vp in loose Sheets, as soon as his Fancy was deliuered of them; written especially for his Priuate Recreation, to passe away the time in the

But whatever view may be held regarding the origin of the book,

Traces of a later hand. The proem. on one point there can be no doubt; as we possess it, it bears the marks of a later hand. This hand is seen in the proem, in the clauses added at the end of certain chapters, and probably in some of the definitions. The common consent of critics has long pronounced the proem spurious. Theophrastus is made to say in it that he is about to record the experience of ninety years and nine-a startling statement, made apparently in the belief that his great age would be most impressive if it were put just short of the century. Diogenes says that Theophrastus died at eighty-five. The assertion in the proem has, indeed, thus much of internal evidence in its favour-that some of the sentiments found in that composition are strongly suggestive of second childhood. 'Often before now,' says the writer, 'have I applied my thoughts to the puzzling question-one, probably, which will puzzle me for ever-why it is that, while all Greece lies under the same sky, and all the Greeks are educated alike, it has befallen us to have characters variously constituted.' It is not of great moment to inquire why the proem promises descriptions of good as well as of bad men. There may have been a vague tradition that the book once included sketches of virtues corresponding to those of the vices; or this may have been the private opinion of the literary forger. Accordingly he wrote such a preface as he conceived that the book might, in its complete state, have had ${ }^{1}$.

Six chapters ${ }^{2}$ end with clauses which are not only feeble in

> Clauses. themselves, but are foreign to the style of the Characters.
It is now generally believed that they were added by some one who could not perceive that the quiet humour of the descriptions was spoilt by hortatory comments. One only of the

Country, and by the forcible request of Friends drawne from him; Yet passing seuerally from hand to hand in written Copies, grew at length to be a prety number in a little Volume; and among so many sundry dispersed Transcripts, some very imperfect and surreptitious had like to haue past the Presse, if the Author had not vsed speedy meanes of prevention.'
${ }^{1}$ Petersen has used the undoubted spuriousness of the proem as an argument against the original unity of the book. The forger added it, he thinks, 'ut
speciem hae unitatis haberent laciniae.' I abstained above (pp. 8 ff.) from using this argument, because it seemed to me two-edged. Suppose that, in the forger's time, it was known that the Characters kad once formed a single book, and that this book had had a proem, which was no longer extant. The desire of restoring it would have been motive enough for the forgery.
${ }^{2}$ In this ed., nos. $v$ (usually I ), xvi (VI), XVIII (III), Xx (VIII), XXI (XXVIII), Xxx (XXIX).
number-the paragraph added to the sketch of the Newsmaker-has a faint tinge of the manner of Theophrastus; but it betrays itself by its general tone, and especially by the opening and concluding sentences.
 admit of doubt; but this again will be condemned if the test of general style is applied.

The spurious element in the Definitions cannot be so easily The Defi- separated; for, even if the text were always certain, nitions. the fitness of the definition to the subject, which has generally been made a principal test of authenticity, is a question on which opinions differ endlessly. We will not venture to do more than state our impression that some of the definitions stand just, some nearly, as Theophrastus wrote them; that some have been mutilated more seriously; and that a few have been added by a later hand to chapters which the author had perhaps left without any definition.

If it is asked when and by whom the proem and clauses were

> Date and author of the interpolations. probably added, Petersen's conjecture appears very probable,-that they are due to a rhetorician of the second or third century of the Christian era. He supposes that the same person extracted the Characters from the $\Pi_{\epsilon \rho \imath}{ }^{\prime} H \theta \hat{\omega} v$, and therefore places him earlier than Diogenes Laërtius (circ. 210 A.D.), to whom they were known as forming a separate book. But, if the Characters are not supposed to be extracts, it is unimportant whether the interpolator lived before or after that writer. The age of the Ptolemies, and the second and third Christian centuries, are known to have been periods in which literary frauds were common. An Alexandrian forger of the earlier period, however, would probably have done his work more neatly and more cautiously than the author of the proem; and it seems more likely that he should be assigned to the later period. It is quite possible that he may have been a rhetorician, since the study of the leading types of character, $\ddot{\eta} \theta \eta$, was so much used in the rhetorical schools; but this likelihood is hardly much strengthened by the fact which Petersen notices, that all the MSS which contain the Characters contain also rhetorical writings. What is spurious in the definitions can hardly be attributed to any one man, but must have come in gradually.

It has already been said that the order of the Characters, as they follow each other in the manuscripts, shows no attempt

## Arrangement of the Characters.

 convenient. Many of the Characters are separated from each otherby differences so fine that they cannot easily be distinguished unless they are placed side by side. But the usual arrangement, instead of helping such comparison, makes it as difficult as possible. The chapters have been thoroughly shuffled. Those on Flattery and on Complaisance are respectively nos. 2 and 5 ; those on Garrulity and Loquacity, 3 and 7 ; those on Penuriousness, Meanness, and Avarice, 10, 22, and 30; and so throughout. Thus a person who reads the Characters consecutively is troubled with a sense that the same traits are perpetually recurring; but cannot, unless he often pauses and turns back, keep

## Sheppard's arrangement.

 their several combinations clearly before him. In an edition published in 1852, Mr Sheppard made an effort to remedy the evil. He combined the Characters into eight groups, having regard to the general principle which he recognised as common to each group. This was a great improvement. His classification seems to us, however, liable to one objection. It is too scientific. In the endeavour to connect a group of characters by the principle which is their common root, he has sometimes overlooked strong resemblances which lie on the surface, and which, in sketches like these, form the practically important affinities. For instance, he classes Arrogance with Boasting, Petty Ambition, and Late-learning, because deep down in all these may be found Egotism; but Surliness with Grumbling, Distrustfulness, and Evilspeaking, because at the root of these is an 'organic moroseness of temper.' But-to pass over the question whether these ground principles are right-has not Surliness, as described by Theophrastus, so much in common with Arrogance that each will be understood better if viewed by the light of the other?The arrangement which we have ourselves adopted is less ambitious. It does not seek to carry generalisation higher than the small groups into which the Characters obviously fall, and aims merely at placing these in a practically convenient order. Three objects have been kept fin view. (r) The juxtaposition of Characters closely akin, e.g. Penuriousness, Meanness, Avarice. (2) The juxtaposition of such as present a direct contrast, e.g. the Oligarch and the Patron of Rascals; the Ironical Man and the Boaster. (3) General continuity, as far as anything of the kind can be obtained. For example, Irony being from one point of view allied to Arrogance, the Ironical man serves to break the transition from the Arrogant man, who precedes, to the Boaster who follows him. In the same way the Late-learner bridges the chasm between Petty Ambition and Unseasonableness. The Stupid Man forms a sort of link between the Offensive Man (the dull neglecter of his person) and the Boor. The Grumbler, with his murmurs against all
the world, conducts us from the Evilspeaker to the Distrustful Man, who 'presumes that all men are unjust.' In two places only are there absolute breaks, viz. after Avarice and after Superstition; for Surliness has to Complaisance the affinity of contrast. To prevent any inconvenience in referring to other editions, the usual numbering is given side by side with our own in the list of the Characters.

## II

## Theophrastus and some of his Imitators.

The sketches of Theophrastus form perhaps the earliest extant example of a kind of writing which has been popular ever since, and which, in modern Europe especially, has an immense literature of its own. Even an outline of the history of character-writing in its chief developements would require more space and much wider knowledge than are at our command. But it may not be uninteresting briefly to compare Theophrastus with one or two of the modern writers who have taken him as their master, or who resemble him in the form of their work. The chief, or among the chief, of these are Hall, Earle, Overbury, and La Bruyère.

The method of Theophrastus is to consider a quality as embodied

Style of
Theophrastus. in a representative man, and to describe it by a simple or types of character can thus be sketched in bold, clear outline. But fine portraiture is not possible under such conditions. The subtler parts of character are scarcely the same in any two men; and a portrait which is to give only those traits which are common to a class cannot be at the same time the accurate and intimate likeness of an individual. Again, these subtler characteristics are seen not so much in particular actions as in the relations of one action to another; and, if minute inferences from these are to be sure, the induction must be large. A novelist is able to develope tolerably complete theories of character because he takes a long series of connected actions. But even then bare recital is not sufficient ; the less obvious relations between different parts of conduct need to be interpreted for ordinary readers. In a first-rate novel the characters are left to speak as much as possible for themselves; but, when there is risk of their meaning being missed or only half-seen, help is given by comment ; and, as they are gradually worked out, there is from time to time a pause in which whole stages of developement are reviewed. In the hands of a master this is perhaps the highest form of character-drawing. If it is contrasted with sketches
such as those of Theophrastus, it will be seen more clearly how and why these are rudimentary. Here we have a bare enumeration of actions not necessarily connected.

Yet this style, if incompatible with work of the highest kind, has excellences proper to it; and in attaining these Theophrastus seems to have been successful. First of them, perhaps, is definiteness. Illustrations from social life are so apt to be vague that it is important for the author to start with a very clear conception of the character which he means to draw, and to take care that the outlines do not become hazy. They will inevitably become so, unless he chooses incidents in which the quality to be exemplified is not only present but predominant. In this respect Theophrastus will, if closely studied, be found usually accurate. Thus the Penurious, Mean, and Avaricious men are described without any confusion of the ideas distinctive of each, and without the special significance of their respective actions being lost in the strong general resemblance. The same clearness of conception will be seen on comparing the portraits of the Garrulous and Loquacious men. The only instance of a certain vagueness seems to us to be the chapter on Unpleasantness; but this, very likely, is only because we have not got the right point of view.

The next essential in a sketch of this sort seems to be that it should combine, as far as may be, generality with individuality. It must be characteristic of a class, and must at the same time be so lively as to set before us a particular man whom we can see. Here, again, Theophrastus seems very good. He hits the mean between abstract statement and details which might suit this or that person, but which would rob the picture of its generic interest. He effects this, indeed, at the cost of subtlety; but this is a necessity of the style. In a style less cramping, an English writer has reached this special excellence in a far higher degree than Theophrastus did, or perhaps any one who ever lived. One of the most striking things in the 'Book of Snobs' and in some of the 'Sketches and Travels in London' is the length to which individualisation has been carried without spoiling the claims of the personages to be typical.

Lastly, a book like the Characters ought to have humour. As no direct comment is admitted, the facts must be presented in such a light and (as far as possible) in such a connection that they shall comment upon themselves. Theophrastus does not fail here, though, as a rule, his humour is somewhat broad. The best examples of it are, in our opinion, the Chapters on the Newsmaker and on the Boastful Man.

The Latin translation of the Characters by Casaubon, published

## Modern

 Characterwriters. in 1592, and his commentary which appeared seven years later, probably gave an impulse to the taste among unknown before ${ }^{1}$. The seventeenth century in England was especially rich in it. There was, in one particular, a rough analogy between the literature of that century in England and the Greek literature of the age of Theophrastus; both were marked by the reaction from creating to analysing ${ }^{2}$, and in both ethical analysis was a favourite subject. Fiftysix 'characters' or books of characters, published between the years 1605 and 1700 , are enumerated by Dr Bliss ${ }^{3}$ in his edition of Earle; and at a later time he had increased this list fourfold. The book of Theophrastus may fairly be considered as the parent of all these; for in the earliest of them which became popular it is expressly cited as the Hall. model. Hall's 'Characterismes of Vertues and Vices' Hall. was published in 1608. In the 'Proœme' to the First Book he says :-'I have heere done it as I could, following that ancient Master of Moralitie, who thought this the fittest taske for the ninetie-and-ninth yeere of his age.' ${ }^{\prime 4}$ It will be seen presently how often Hall was indebted in details to Theophrastus; but the broad differences are far more striking.In the first place, Hall's method differs from that of his Greek exemplar in this important respect, in which he seems to have set the fashion to the English school. He does not merely describe certain actions proper to a character, but comments upon it in general terms; aìming at epigram, pointed expressions, lively images. For example, Theophrastus begins-'The Flatterer is a person who will say as he walks with another, "Do you observe how people are looking at you?"

[^14]pennis dixeris, non facile alto se com. mittere aut sublime ferri...Nullus itaque vehementior impetus, quo animus legentis iucunde impellatur ac perturbetur, nulla inventorum fecunditas aut sententiarum copia, aut numerosa oratio, quae omnia a divino illo spiritu incalescentibus adesse solent. Limpidos et amoenos rivulos per prata properare videas, non magnum ac vastum flumen devolvi.'
${ }^{s}$ Quoted by Arber in the Introduction to his edition of Earle's Microcosmographie, in the English Reprints, p. 7.
${ }^{4}$ See p. 18.
etc.; and the chapter is throughout a simple narrative of his sayings and doings. Hall :-'The Flatterer is bleareyed to ill, and cannot see vices; and his tongue walks euer in one tracke of unjust praises, and can no more tell how to discommend than to speake true... His Art is nothing but delightfull cozenage, whose rules are smoothing and garded with periurie...Like that subtle fish, he turnes himselfe into the colour of every stone...He is the moth of liberal mens coats, the earewig of the mightie, the bane of Courts, a friend and a slave to the trencher, and good for nothing but to be a factor for the Diuell.' The prevalent taste for strained conceits found ample scope in delineations of character such as these. Hall is, however, less affected and wearisome in this way than some of his successors. The discursive element bears a large proportion to the descriptive, but does not overpower it.

He is further distinguished from Theophrastus by a gravity both of subject and of manner. The qualities described by the Greek writer are for the most part rather ridiculous than repulsive; the Evilspeaker is the most seriously odious person whom he has portrayed. But among the vices described by Hall are Hypocrisy, Profanity, Envy. Among the representatives of 'vertues' are the Wise man, the Faithful, the Truly-noble. The blame and the praise awarded to these are uttered with an earnestness, often with a fervour, in which the voice of the preacher is heard above that of the essayist. To judge him on the evidence of this book alone, Hall was a man of warm disposition, of much tender and noble feeling; ingenious, but not very subtle; and with no especial qualification for his task beyond a fancy fertile in illustration. His language would at times rise into something like the stately music of Milton's prose, did not the love of petty conceits too soon dwarf it and drag it down. This, for instance, in the portrait of the Wise Man:
'His free discourse runnes backe to the ages past, and recouers euents out of memory, and then preuenteth Tyme in flying forward to future things; and comparing one with the other can giue a verdict well-neere propheticall : wherein his conjectures are better than another's judgements.'

And this in the Faithful character :
'The celestiall spirits do not scorne his company, yea his service. Hee deales in these worldly affaires as a stranger, and hath his heart euer at home: without a written warrant hee dare doe nothing, and with it, anything. His warre is perpetuall, without truce, without intermission; and his victorie certaine: hee meets with the infernall powers, and tramples them vnder feet. The shield that he euer beares
before him can neither be missed nor pierced: if his hand be wounded, yet his heart is safe : he is often tripped, seldome foiled; and if sometimes foiled, neuer vanquished.'

This talent for rhetoric sometimes carries Hall beyond the bounds of just description. But the commonest blemish of his style is a straining after antithesis. Thus the disregard of the Faithful man for his irreligious parents is called a 'holy carelessness.'

Lastly, there is one example in Hall of an innovation upon the plan of Theophrastus, which later character-writers made more largely. In 'the Good Magistrate' he describes the representative, not merely of certain moral qualities, but of the qualities proper for a certain office. By far the greater part of Overbury's and Earle's sketches are of this kind, treating of the characteristics of a certain station or calling : e.g. 'An Ostler': 'A Pyrate': ‘An Elder Brother': 'A Sexton.' Overbury has in some instances pushed this style to the extreme of grotesqueness, as in his character of A Drunken Dutchman Resident in England.

With these differences of plan, method, and tone Hall is yet a real disciple of Theophrastus. Every sketch contains passages in which the (concise narrative manner of the Greek writer is closely copied. The chapters on the Busiebodie and on the Slothfull Man are perhaps the best instances. Besides this general imitation, a great number of particular touches have been borrowed. One or two examples will suffice to show how directly they have been taken :-

## Theophrastus.

## The Flatterer.

The Flatterer is a person who will say as he walks with another, 'Do you observe how people are looking at you?'

## The Penurious Man.

When a servant has broken a pot or a plate, he will take the value out of his rations.

## The Officious Man.

He will undertake to show the path, and after all be unable to find the way.

## The Distrustful Man.

The Distrustful Man is one who, having sent his slave to market, will send another to ascertain what price he gave.

## Hall.

## The Flatterer.

When hee walks with his friend hee sweares to him that no man els is looked at.

## The Covetous.

If his servant breake but an earthen dish for want of light, hee abates it out of his quarters wages.

## The Busie-bodie.

This man will also thrust himself forward to be the guide of the way he knowes not.

## The Distrustfull.

When hee hath committed a message to his seruant, he sends a second after him to listen how it is deliuered.

Sir Thomas Overbury's 'Characters or Witty Descriptions of the

## Overbury.

 Properties of Sundry Persons ${ }^{7}$ was published in $\mathrm{r} 614^{1}$. Out of eighty sketches only ten can be reckoned as descriptive of intrinsic character. The rest are concerned with such peculiarities as are brought out by certain occupations or positions in life. These are curious as illustrating manners, of which Overbury was a quick observer, and which he could represent with lively skill. For the delineation of character in the proper sense he had little talent. Tricks of behaviour and speech caught his eye; but his reflections are generally trivial, and he had not a fine perception of moral differences. Thus in his chapter on A Proud Man he has confused the characteristics of Haughtiness and Vanity, which could hardly exist in such a union as he depicts. Hall, whose acuteness was not his strongest point, shows oftener and with less effort an insight into the springs of action. The elaborate quaintness of Overbury's language and his faculty for pointed expression render this defect more conspicuous. The novelty of the manner is frequently out of proportion to the originality of the idea. His thoughts seem overdressed; and this, together with the sometimes coarse vehemence of the satire, often gives a vulgar air to his writing. Hallam pronounces the 'Faire and happy Milk-mayd' the best of his characters. It is very pretty, but somewhat too conventional ; and to us there seems to be more true poetry in the similar picture of the Franklin. It would seem as if country life in its humbler phases had had a peculiar attraction for Overbury; that his sympathy was not extended to squires is shown by the portrait of the Country Gentleman.A touch in his description of A Covetous Man suggests that he had made a minute study of Hall. 'He neuer spends candle but at Christmas...in hope that his seruants will breake glasses for want of light, which they doubly pay for in their wages.' Compare Hall's, 'If his servant breake but an earthen dish for want of light, he abates it out of his quarters wages.' Whether he had read Theophrastus or not is less certain. In two places there are curious but not conclusive resemblances:-

[^15]the exception of two small tracts of $\mathrm{I}_{5} 65$ and 1567 , 'Overbury claims the distinction of being the earliest writer of Characters which this country can boast' (ib. p. xI). He overlooks Hall, who came between in 1608.

ГHEOPHRASTUS.

## The Penurious Man.

He is apt also to enforce the right of distraining.

Overbury.
A Covetous Man.
If he euer pray, it is that some one may breake his day, that the beloued forfeiture may be obtained.

A Proud Man. He never salutes first.

He will not permit himself to give any man the first greeting.

A more interesting comparison is suggested by Earle's 'MicroEarle. cosmographie, or a Piece of the World Discovered.' The book contains seventy-eight characters, fifty-four of which appeared in 1628, twenty-three in the following year, and one in $\mathbf{r 6 3 3}$. The name of the author was never formally announced, but it was known at the time that he was John Earle, then a Fellow of Merton College, Oxford. He was in his twenty-eighth year when the first instalment of the Characters was published in 1628 . Of the whole number, about thirty are properly ethical ; the rest are of the same class as those which compose seven-eighths of Overbury's work, and in which the persons are viewed not as possessors of certain qualities but as players of certain parts in life. Earle is not so thoroughly at home with men of all sorts and conditions as Overbury, who had probably seen far more of the world; nor are his reflections mingled so largely as those of Hall with bits of picturesque narrative which point their own moral. But as an analyst of human nature he is immeasurably superior to either. Theophrastus, whose severely simple plan allowed little scope for subtlety, must also yield to him in fine delineation. Earle was not merely ingenious, but had a special gift for the study of character; his humour is of a thoughtful kind which goes beneath peculiarities of the surface to their origin in a bent or warp of the mind, for which it seeks to account; and so, while Hall and Overbury describe traits which are recognised as true and remark smartly upon them, Earle helps us to see why they are there, and gives us a sense of comprehending the whole character better. Thus, speaking of the way in which the flatterer ministers to his patron's self-approbation, Hall says:-

Conscience hath no greater adversarie; for when she is about to play her iust part of accusation, he stops her mouth with good termes and well-neere strangleth her with shifts.

This is a lively expression of the fact, but does not get beyond it. Earle contrives at once to state and to account for it:-

He is one neuer chides you, but for your vertues, as, You are too good, too honest, too religious; when his chiding may seeme but the earnester commendation, and yet would faine chide you out of them too: for your vice
is the thing he has use of, and wherein you may best use him, and hee is neuer more active than in the worst diligences.

Of the relation of flattery to friendship, Hall says:-
Flatterie is nothing but false friendship, fawning hypocrisie, dishonest ciuilitie, base merchandize of words, a plausible discord of the heart and lips.

These ingenious phrases do nothing towards defining wherein the contrast between the flatterer and the friend consists. Earle brings out clearly a particular point of the contrast :-

His looke, conuersation, companie, and all the outwardnesse of friendshippe〈are〉 more pleasing by odds, for a true friend dare take the liberty to bee sometimes offensiue; whereas he is a great deale more cowardly, and will not let the least hold goe, for feare of losing you.

In his chapter on the Male-Content, Hall makes this general remark upon the character:-

Nothing dislikes him but the present: for what hee condemned while it was, once past hee magnifies, and striues to recall it out of the iawes of Time.

This, after all, tells us nothing that we did not know before. Earle, describing a Discontented man, makes an observation which throws a real light on one of the causes by which such a temper is commonly produced:-

He considered not the nature of the world till he felt $i t$, and all blowes fall on him heauier, because they light not first on his expectation.

Overbury's sketch of a Vaine-glorious Coward in Command, and Earle's of a Coward, both dwell chiefly on the bluster under which Cowardice seeks to hide itself. The bearing of the coward in society is thus described by Overbury:-

No man can worse define betweene pride and noble courtesie: he that salutes him not so farre as a pistoll carries level, gives him the disgust or affront, chuse you whether.

Earle places this same arrogance in a far more amusing and instructive light:-

Wonderfull exceptious and cholerick where he sees men are loth to giue him occasion, and you cannot pacify him better than by quarrelling with him.... Men fall out with him of purpose to get courtesies from him, and be brib'd againe to a reconcilement.

A general comparison of Earle with the other two English writers would show that as a rule he has deeper feeling, more acuteness, a finer humour. An instance of what we mean by his deeper feeling occurs at the end of the chapter on a Plaine Country Fellow.

For Death hee is neuer troubled, and if hee get in but his Haruest before, let it come when it wil he cares not.

This shows more sympathy with the man's inner life than would be found in Hall or Overbury. Good examples of his humour and sagacity are these remarks on the Insolent Man:-

He is one that lookes on all men as if he were very angry, but especially on those of his acquaintance, whom hee beates off with a surlier distance, as men apt to mistake him because they haue known him. And for this cause he knowes not you, till you haue told him your name, which he thinkes he has heard, but forgot, and with much adoe seems to recouer....No vice drawes with it a more generall hostility, and makes men readier to search into his faults, and of them, his beginning: and no tale so vnlikely but is willingly heard of him, and beleeu'd.

And these on the Suspitious or Iealous Man :-
He is a fellow commonly guilty of some weaknesses, which he might conceale if hee were carelesse: Now his over-diligence to hide them, makes men pry the more. Howsoever hee imagines you have found him, and it shall goe hard but you must abuse him whether you wil or no.

A close comparison of Earle with Theophrastus would be unfair to both, since the styles in which they respectively excelled were distinct. But if it could be doubted that Earle, a distinguished classical scholar, had studied the Greek Characters then recently made popular by Casaubon, two passages would place it beyond a question:-

## Theophrastus.

## The Avaricious Man.

It is just like him, too, when he is paying a debt of thirty minas, to withhold four drachmas.

## The Boor.

He shows surprise and wonder at nothing else, but will stand still and gaze when he sees an ox or an ass or a goat in the streets.

EARLE.

## A Sordid Rich Man.

Hee loues to pay short a shilling or two in a great sum, and is glad to gaine that, when he can no more.

## A Plaine Country Fellow.

His mind is not much distracted with obiects: but if a goode fat Cowe come in his way, he stands dumbe and astonisht, and though his haste be neuer so great, will fixe here halfe an houre's contemplation.

La Bruyère published in $1688^{1}$ 'Les Caractères, ou les Moeurs La Bruyere. de ce Siècle,' with a translation of the Characters of Theophrastus prefixed to it. He is generally reckoned as the chief modern imitator of Theophrastus; but though, like Hall, he acknowledges the Greek writer as his master, he is not his disciple in the same sense. He borrows from him the conception and the title,

[^16]edition of La Bruyère in the series Les Grands Ecrivains de la France, vol. I p. 9 I.
but not the method of his work. The 'Characters' of La Bruyère are a series of essays on the manners of the day. Each of them treats some large subject in a discursive style; one is 'de la societé,' another 'du mérite personnel,' another 'de la ville,' and so forth. These essays are here and there illustrated with sketches of representative men, which may, indeed, be compared with the characters of Theophrastus, but are slighter and more hastily drawn. Many of them are said to have been portraits of the author's contemporaries ; and the desire of making an unmistakeable personal allusion seems to have been often stronger than that of illustrating principles: Among the best are Arsène, in the essay 'Des Ouvrages de l'Esprit,'-the gloomy genius who belongs to a mutualadmiration society;-Phédon, in the 'Des Biens de Fortune,'-the ostentatiously humble, but sinister man ;-and Cydias, in the 'De la Societe,'-the suggestive talker by profession.

The freer plan of La Bruyere's work, and the more diversified society from which he drew his materials, enabled him to give it an interest far more varied than the Characters of Theophrastus can claim. Hallam's decisision thät 'the Greek writer, with no contemptible degree of merit, has been incomparably surpassed by his imitator, ${ }^{11}$ is in this sense just ; but it must be remembered that the two works cannot be regarded as performances competing in the same line of excellence. Each has its merit, and that of La Bruyère is in perhaps the higher walk; but for this very reason a direct rivalry is impossible.

The French version of Theophrastus is spirited, but is for the most part little more than a paraphrase ; and shows that La Bruyère's conception of a translator's duties was as loose as his knowledge of Greek appears to have been imperfect ${ }^{2}$. The great success, however,

[^17]spear and shield'; thus translating it twice over, and the second time wrongly. M. Servois, his latest editor, observes (p. 86, note 1 ), that no version which La Bruyère can have had before him can have suggested this blunder: it must have been his own. Again in the chapter on árıテтla (De la Défiance, c. XXIII in our translation), after the words $\mu a \dot{\lambda} \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta o \hat{\nu} a$, where the vulgate has a lacuna since supplied by the Vatican MS, he inserts in his text a translation of three distinct conjectures made by Casaubon for the purpose of filling the gap. He did not see, or did not care, that they were proposed as alternatives.
of his book, which in six years went through eight editions, did more than anything before or since to make the name of Theophrastus popular. Imitations were numerous. One of these, Le Théophraste Moderne, attracted some notice on account of a curious mystification of which it was the subject. A pamphlet entitled 'Sentimens Critiques sur les Caractères de Théophraste de Monsieur de la Bruyère,' appeared in 1701 ; in which that work was reviewed in company with the 'Modern Theophrastus,' but far more severely than the latter. In the same year was published 'l'Apologie de Monsieur de la Bruyère'; the anonymous author of this defence took no notice, however, of the criticisms upon the 'Modern Theophrastus.' It was presently known that the 'Modern Theophrastus,' the Criticism, and the Reply, were by the same person, Brillon, a lawyer. He had done himself the honour of attacking his own book in the society of La Bruyère's; but had taken care that it should not sustain such damage as to require the services of an apologist ${ }^{1}$.

[^18]
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| Apéckeia ．．．．．．．．． | V | ．．．II ．．． 42 | ＇Akaipla | 74 |
| ${ }^{\text {º Atrónold ．．．．．．．．．．V }}$ | VI | ．．．XVI ．．． 92 | ＇Adazoneía | 54 |
| へa入ıá．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | VII | ．．．XIX ．．．IO2 | ${ }^{\text {＇Anaicen }}$ | 82 |
| Noгomolía ．．．．．． | VIII | ．．．XX ．．． 106 | ＇Anaicxyntía | 88 |
| ＇Anaicxyntía ．．． | IX | ．．．XV ．．． 88 | ＇Aneגeyetpía | 124 |
| Mikpodoría．．．．．． |  | ．．．XXIV ．．． 118 | ＇Atictía | 116 |
|  | XI | ．．．XVII ．．． 96 | ＇Atrónoia | 92 |
| ${ }^{\text {² Akaipla．．．．．．．．．．．．}}$ | XII | ．．．IX ．．． 74 | ＇Apéckeia | 42 |
| Перієргía．．．．．．．．． | XIII | ．．．X ．．． 76 | AY̧ádeia | 44 |
| ＇Anaicercía．．．．．．X | XIV | ．．．XIII ．．． 82 | Bdeגypía | 96 |
| AY̧өd́deıa ．．．．．．．．． | XV | ．．．III ．．． 44 | $\Delta$ einía | 134 |
| Seicidaimonía．．． | XVI | ．．．XXVIII ． 138 | Seicidaimonía | 138 |
| Memuimoipía．．． | XVII | ．．．XXII ．．．II4 | $\triangle$ Ycxépeia． | 80 |
| ＇Amictía | XVIII | ．．．XXIII．．． 116 | Eipconeía | 50 |
| Дүсхе́pєid ．．．．．． | XIX | ．．．XII ．．． 80 | Kakodoría | 110 |
| ＊Анهía ．．．．．．．．．．．．． | XX | ．．．XI ．．． 78 | Koגakeía | 38 |
| Miкрофiлотimía | XXI | ．．．VII ．．． 60 | Na入ıó | 102 |
| ＊Ane入eyөepía ．．． | XXII | ．．．XXV ．．． 124 | Noyotroita | 106. |
| －Andzoneía ．．．．．． | XXIII | ．．．VI ．．． 54 | Memuimoipía | 114 |
| ＇Yтернфалía ．．． | XXIV | ．．．IV ．．． 48 | Miкрологía | 118 |
| － ¢ı入ía ．．．．．．．．．．．． | XXV | ．．XXVII ．I 34 | Miкрофıлотімі́a． | 60 |
| ${ }^{2}$ Oגiгapxía | XXVI | ．．．XXIX ．．．I48 | ＊Oגirapxía | I48 |
| ＊Oyimatía ．．．．． | XXVII | ．VIII ．．． 70 | ＊Oyimatía | 70 |
| Kako八огía ．．．．．． | XXVIII | ．XXI ．．．IIO | Tepiepría． | 76 |
| Фi入ottonhpĺa ．．． | XXIX | ．．．XXX ．．． 154 | ＇Yтернфалía | 48 |
| Alcхроке́р $\triangle$ ¢ıa．．． | XXX | ．．．XXVI ．．． 128 | ФiлотIOnhpía ． | 154 |

## THE CHARACTERS

In the Order adopted if the present Edition. See page $20 f$.

|  |  |  |  |  | AGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THE FLATTERER ........V.................. | 1 3 | usually | II | ..... | 39 |
| THE COMPLAISANT MAN ...bl.......... | II | .......... | V | ...... | 43 |
| The SURLY MAM | IIL 2 |  | XV | . | 45 |
| - ARROGANT MAN. | IV |  | XXIV | - | 49 |
| The Ironlcal MEAN :.................... |  |  | $1 \sim$ | ....... | 5 I |
| THE BOASTEUEMAN .........t......... | VI | . | XXIII | ...... | 55 |
| The Man of Petty Ambition .u... | $\text { VII }{ }^{\circ}$ |  | XXI | .... | 61 |
| THE LATE-LEARNER ; ... | VIII | ......... | XXVII | ...... | 71 |
| Fhe Unseasonable Man | IX | .......... | XII | ...... | 75 |
| THE OFFICIOUS MAN :.................... | X . | ......... | XIII | ..... | 77 |
| The UnPLEASANT MaN |  |  | XX | -.... | 79 |
| THEE OFFENSIVE MAN........is | XII |  | XIX | .... | 81 |
| THE STUPID MAN . ................ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | XIII |  | XIV | ....... | 83 |
| THE BOOR ..................................... | XIV -5 |  | IV | ...... | 85 |
| THE SHAMELESS MAN | XV | *........ | IX | . | 89 |
| THE RECKLESS MANZ................... | XVI |  | VI | - | 93 |
| THE GROSS MAN. | XVII |  | XI |  |  |
| The Garrulous Man | XVIII |  | WI | $\ldots$ | 101 |
| TEELE LOQUACIOUS MAN | XIX ${ }^{\prime}$ | ......... | VIImO |  | 103 |
| THE NEWSMAKER ........................ | XX | .3........ | VIII | ...... | 107 |
| THE 有VIL-SPEAKER.......6́................ | XXI | -... | XXVIII | "...... | I I I |
|  | XXII | .......... | XVII | ...... | II 5 |
| FHEDDISTRUSSTEL MAN ............... | XXIIY |  | XVIII | - | 117 |
| THE PENURIOUS MAN : | XXIV |  | X | .... | 119 |
| THEMEAN MAV .................. ........ | XXVV | .......... | XXII | - | 125 |
| -The Avaricious Man | XXVI | .......... | XXX | -... | 129 |
| THECOWABD ..................... N. | XXVII |  | XXV | -*.. | 135 |
| RTHLSUPERSTITIOUS PMAN............... | XXVIII | .......... | XVI | ....... | 139 |
| THE OLIGARCH......g......................... | XXIX | . | XXVI | ....... | 149 |
| THE Patron of Rascals...i.......... | XXX | .......... | XXIX | -... | 155 |

## [ $\pi \rho o o$ ímıод





















1. Often before now \&c.] Since the criticism of C. G. Sonntag, published in 1787, on this proem, there has been no doubt among scholars of its spuriousness. The fatuous remark with which it begins, the sensational statement as to the writer's age, and the general feebleness of the whole production betray a clumsy forger. Petersen's conjecture (p. 62) that he probably was not a dweller in Greece seems likely enough. See Introd. p. i8.
2. ninety years and nine] Diogenes ( $\mathrm{v}, 4^{\circ}$ ) says that Theophrastus died at 85 . This, as Zeller says (Philosoph. der Gr. Part II, sect. 2, P. 641 ), is a good deal more probable than the statement here. The only confirmation of the latter is Jerome's assertion (Ep. 34 ad Nepotian. Iv b) that Theophrastus lived to 107: but even there another reading is "Themistoclem.'

8 f. both the good and the worthless.

## [Proem

Often before now have I applied my thoughts to the puzzling question-one, probably, which will puzzle me for ever-why it is that, while all Greece lies under the same sky and all the Greeks are educated alike, it has befallen us to have characters variously constituted. For a long time, Polycles, I have been 5 a student of human nature; I have lived ninety years and nine; I have associated, too, with many and diverse natures; and, having observed side by side, with great closeness, both the good and the worthless among men, I conceived that I ought to write a book about the practices in life of either sort. 10

I will describe to you, class by class, the several kinds of conduct which characterise them and the mode in which they administer their affairs ; for I conceive, Polycles, that our sons will be the better if such memorials are bequeathed to them, using which as examples they shall choose to live and consort 15 with men of the fairest lives, in order that they may not fall short of them.

And now I will turn to my narrative ; be it your part to come along with it and to see if I speak rightly. In the first place, then, I will commence my account with those who have studied 20


#### Abstract

among men] The author of the proem goes on to say that he will describe both sorts. There may have been, in his time, a tradition that the book had once contained descriptions of virtues as well as vices, or this may have been his own opinion; accordingly he writes such a preface as he conceived that the book in its complete state might have had. Petersen, believing the Characters to be extracts from the large work $\pi \epsilon \rho i \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, suggests that the extracter may have begun with the intention of selecting descriptions of virtues also. See Introd. p. 18 .


II. class by class] кarà $\gamma^{\text {évos. Schnei- }}$
der and Ussing understand these words rightly, but strangely say that the promise is not fulfilled, since the Characters, as they have come to us, are not arranged 'in any certain order.' But kard ytvos means only that several classes, $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta$, of characters are to be described, one by one; not necessarily in any particular order. Ast, on the other hand, is wrong, I think, in taking кard. $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0$ s to mean 'generically,' generatim, 'ita ut non singulos vel certos quosdam homines exhibeam, sed hominum mores in universum exprimam.' This would surely be $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ s.







## кодакєías $\alpha^{\prime}$.












1. Flattery] The Definition is defective. It describes the manner in which Flattery affects the interests of the person who practises it; but does not say what it is in respect to the person who is its object ; viz. a desire to please.

After describing the man who conducts himself in society as he ought to do, and observing that for this mean there is no (name," Aristotle says (Eth. Vic. Iv 6): ' Of those who try to give pleasure, he who with no further motive aims at being pleasant is Complaisant ( $\alpha \rho \in \sigma \kappa o s$, see c . II); he who does so in order that advantage may accrue to him in respect of money or anything that money procures is a Flatterer: while he who is peevish about everything is (as has been said) Cross ( $\delta \dot{\sigma \sigma \kappa o \lambda o s — t h e ~ a d \theta a \delta \eta s ~ o f ~ T h e o p h r a s t u s, ~}$ c. III) and Quarrelsome.'

The notion conveyed by the term

колаке ia is not precisely what we usually mean by "flattery,' but something coarser. It meant a sort of extravagant toadyism, practised, not as a fine art, but simply as an industry-as a recognised method of obtaining a livelihood. This tone is unconsciously illustrated by Athenaeus when, in his reminiscences of eminent Flatterers (vi, Pp. 248-260), he speaks of 'Cheirisophus, the flatterer of Dionysius,' 'Callicrates, the flatterer of Ptolemy,' 'Anaxarchus, one of the flatterers of Alexander.' These men had, as it were, been preferred to permanent posts. The remark (Acth. VI, p. 248 § 53) that the $\kappa 6 \lambda a \xi$ ' is not far from the Parasite' is true in so far as material benefit-especially in the form of entertainment-was the object of both. But the $\kappa$ b $\lambda a \xi$ claimed this in right of a supposed personal devotion, the Parasite rather in virtue of his power

Irony ${ }^{1}$, dispensing with preface or many words about the matter. I will begin with Irony and define it; next I will set forth, in like manner, the nature of the Ironical man, and of the character into which he has drifted; and then I will try, as I proposed, to make the other affections of the mind plain, each after its kind.]

## I (II). The Flatterer.

Flattery may be considered as a mode of companionship degrading but profitable to him who flatters.

The Flatterer is a person who will say as he walks with another, 'Do you observe how people are looking at you? This happens to no man in Athens but you. A compliment was paid 5 to you yesterday in the Porch. More than thirty persons were sitting there; the question was started, Who is our foremost man? Everyone mentioned you first, and ended by coming back to your name.' With these and the like words, he will remove a morsel of wool from his patron's coat; or, if a speck io of chaff has been laid on the other's hair by the wind, he will
${ }^{1}$ The Chapter on Irony (V in this ed.) stands first in the traditional order.
to amuse. (The literary development of the type is the subject of a paper by Otto Ribbeck in the Abhandlungen of the Leipzig Academy, IX, 1883 .)
6. the Porch] i.e. the $\sigma$ rod $\pi \sigma \kappa \kappa i \lambda \eta$, the Porch of Paintings: a piazza, not attached to any building, standing at the N.E. corner of the market-place. It was furnished with stone benches, and afforded the kind of shelter for conversation and exercise needed in a warmer climate. Of the paintings on its walls the most famous were Micon's fresco of Theseus and the Amazons, and a fresco of Marathon by Polygnotus. In front stood a row of bronze statues, among which Pausanias (about 180 A.D.) mentions those of Solon and Seleucus.-Two other piazzas of the same kind stood in the Market-place; (1) the Royal Porch, where the 'king' Archon held his court, on the S.W. side;
and (2) the Porch of Freedom, probably to the E. of it-so called from a statue of Zeus Eleutherios.
10. a morsel of wool] Suidas gives крокvidas àфaıpeiv: 'to pick off shreds,' as a proverb for those 'who will do anything for the sake of flattery.' (The proverb is found in a fragment of Aristophanes, 657.) Hesychius explains the word крокилє $\gamma \mu$ bs-' the picking off of shreds in the manner of a flatterer.' According to Plutarch, Valeria, Sulla's last wife, first attracted his notice at the theatre by the attention of removing a thread from his cloak (Sulla, c. 35). Ovid attributes a like flattery to the skilful lover (Amor. III 2, 41) :-

Ah, while I speak, one small speck here doth rest-
Away, base atom, from that snowy breast!
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13. White hairs] So in the Knights, where Kleon and his rival are bidding against each other for the favour of Demus (v go6):-‘ $K$. And I will pluck out your grey hairs and make you young again.'
17. laugh at a frigid joke] Compare Athenaeus VI, p. 249 \$ 55 :-' The same authority (one Hegesander) relates that Cheirisophus, the flatterer of Dionysius, seeing his patron laughing with some acquaintances-(he was too far from them to hear the conversation)-laughed too. When Dionysius asked him why he was laughing when be could not hear what
was said, he answered, " My confidence in you assures me that the remark was amusing ".'
20. his Honour] aưrós, ipse, 'the master'; said especially of the head of a bousehold or of a school. See the Clouds (v 218): 'Strepsiades. Pray, now, who is this person suspended in a basket? Disciple. It is himself. S. And who is "himself"? D. Socrates.'
23. assists at the purchase of slippers] The $\kappa p \eta \pi / s$ was probably a kind of half-shoe, covering the fore part of the foot, and strapped on at the heels. The ordinary Greek foot-covering, the
pick it off; adding with a laugh, 'Do you see? Because I have not met you for two days, you have had your beard full of white hairs ; although no one has darker hair for his years than you.' Then he will request the company to be silent while the great $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ man is speaking, and will praise him, too, in his hearing, and mark his approbation at a pause with 'True'; or he will laugh at a frigid joke, and stuff his cloak into his mouth as if he could not repress his amusement. He will request those whom he meets to stand still until 'his Honour' has passed. He will buy 20 apples and pears, and bring them in and give to the children in the father's presence; adding, with kisses, 'Chicks of a good father.' Also, when he assists at the purchase of slippers, he will declare that the foot is more shapely than the shoe. If his patron is approaching a friend, he will run forward and say, ' He 25 is coming to you'; and then, turning back, 'I have announced you.' He is just the person, too, who can run errands to the women's market without drawing breath. He is the first of the guests to praise the wine; and to say, as he reclines next the host, 'How delicate is your fare!' and (taking up something 30 from the table) 'Now this-how excellent it is!' He will ask his friend if he is cold, and if he would like to put on something more; and, before the words are spoken, will wrap him up. Moreover he will lean towards his ear and whisper with him; or will glance at him as he talks to the rest of the company. 35 He will take the cushions from the slave in the theatre, and spread them on the seat with his own hands. He will say that
hypodema, was a sandal bound under the foot; the 'sandalion,' a sandal with a small upper leather across the toe, but covering less of the fore part of the foot than the 'crepis': the 'embas' was the shoe proper. See Becker's Exc. to sc. xI of the Charicles.
28. the women's market] Mentioned again in c. Xxv as the place from which a female slave is hired. Nothing is certainly known about it. Becker (Char. Exc. to sc. Iv) shows that it probably does not mean 'the market frequented by women, ${ }^{\text {, since }}$ at Athens freewomen never, and female slaves rarely, marketed.

He suggests that it may have been (r) a market in which the sellers were women: (2) a market in which articles chiefly for female use were sold. (So Pollux, X 18, on a passage of Menander, 456 Kock.) Ussing prefers to suppose that it was (3) the place where slave-girls were sold or hired. The word $\delta v a \log$ s in the text seems to imply that the mission was discreditable.
29. to praise the wine] Thus Horace's host Nasidienus had invited Nomentanus to dinner in order that he might call attention 'to anything which was escaping notice' (Sat. II 8, 25).
36. the cushions] As the seats in the
 35 єival.
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theatre were merely semicircular tiers of rock-hewn ledges, those who desired to be comfortable brought their own cushions. Kleon's rival in the Krights pities Demus for the discomforts of the Pnyx:- ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{He}$ (Kleon) does not care how uneasily you sit on the rocks. How different from me, who have had this'-(producing a cushion) -'stitched up as a present for you' (v783). Aeschines (in Ctes. p. $64 \S 76$ ) alleges in proof of the servility of Demosthenes to Macedon that, when Philip's envoys were introduced to the Ecclesia, he ushered them to the place of honour, "and arranged cushions and spread purple draperies.' Ovid says (Art. Am. I 160):-

Small things take triflers: men have owed a place
To smoothing cushions with a dexterous grace.
39. his portrait] The word elkíy here is probably to be understood, not of a painting, but of a portrait-statue or bust. In Diog. Laert. $\mathrm{V}_{52}$ the execution of the 'portrait' of Nicomachus for which Theophrastus left directions in his will is assigned to Praxiteles the sculptor. (Flat-
tering references to the 'house' and the 'portrait' are satirised in Lucian's Inagines, c. 6 and c. 20.)

1. Complaisance] The word rendered
 in the same sense in the Defin. to c. xu. It is not equivalent to $\delta \mu \mu \lambda i a$, but narrower in meaning, denoting chiefly the manner of accosting: see Athen. Vi p. 256 § 16 , - Their (the flatterers') mode of address ( ${ }^{2} \nu \tau \varepsilon v \xi(s)$ is so artistic, so plausible towards all men.'

The Flatterer, according to Aristotle, flatters for money or what money buys: the Complaisant man 'aims at being pleasant with no further object ' $(\mu \eta) \delta b^{\prime}$ $\left.d \lambda \lambda_{0} \tau\right)$. This is a fault ( x ) because to combat the wishes of others is sometimes a duty to them or to oneself: thus Aristotle's Perfectly-behaved man is one who will occasionally 'make difficulties' (סvo. $\chi \in p a(\nu \epsilon \omega \nu)$ for either reason or both : Eth. Nic. IV 6. (2) Because the primary object of the Complaisant man is, not that others may be pleased, but that he may be pleasant. He desires popularity, either
his patron's house is well built, that his land is well planted, and that his portrait is like.
[In short the Flatterer may be observed saying and doing all 40 things by which he conceives that he will gain favour-]

## II (V). The Complatsant Man.

Complaisance may be defined as a mode of address calculated to give pleasure, but not with the best tendency.

The Complaisant man is very much the kind of person who will hail one afar off with 'my dear fellow'; and, after a large display of respect, seize and hold one by both hands. He will 5 attend you a little way, and ask when he is to see you, and will take his leave with a compliment upon his lips. Also, when he is called in to an arbitration, he will seek to please, not only his principal, but the adversary as well, in order that he may be
from mere vanity, or for the sake of influence. When, therefore, he is said to aim at being pleasant ' without any further object,' this does not exclude $a$ selfish object. To be thought pleasant is itself the object which he most covets. He is unmercenary, as contrasted with the Flatterer: but he is not disinterested.

In the pair of portraits which Theophrastus has drawn two salient points of difference may be noted. (I) The Flatterer treats his patron as an admired superior, for whom he displays devotion, but whom it would be impertinent to assure of his goodwill. The Complaisant man treats his associate as an equal for whom he has a warm friendship. (2) The Flatterer, who desires material benefits, is constant to a once-found patron; partly because ripe intimacy is essential to complete success, and partly because he is unwilling to relinquish a certainty. The Complaisant man, on the other hand, desires to be on creditably cordial terms with as large a number of persons as possible.
8. to an arbitration] The system of Arbitration at Athens served in some degree to mitigate the Athenian passion for lawsuits-it being understood that ' the arbitrator looks to equity, as the judge to the law ' (Ar. Rhet. I 13). Arbitrators were of two kinds: (i) Public (these consisted of all Athenian citizens in the sixtieth year of their age,-the last year of military, service, Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, c. 53). A public arbitrator could try any civil cause, if the complainant preferred that course to going before a jury. Or a particular question of fact involved in a civil cause was sometimes referred to them. (2) Private: chosen to settle a dispute by mutual agreement between the parties. In this case there were usually three arbitrators. Two of these were considered as advocates respectively of the two disputants. The third sat as umpire (Demosth. in Neaer. p. 1360 § 45). Here the Complaisant man is one of the advocates. In c. IV the Arrogant man is the umpire.
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#### Abstract

10. that foreigmers speak more Justly] This may be understood merely of general conversation. There were, however, two occasions on which this tendency might find special scope. The mercantile contracts ( $\sigma \dot{u} \mu \beta 0 \lambda \alpha$ ) between the Greek republics provided for the hearing, in the defendant's city, of lawsuits arising out of commerce. In such an action tried at Athens the foreigner would therefore always be the complainant; and the Complaisant juror may be conceived as warmly sympathising with his grievance. Again, when foreign envoys made a representation or a demand before the Ecclesia, the Complaisant citizen would ostentatiously support their claim.

In this instance the man whose sole aim is to please voluntarily offends the sentiment of the majority for the sake of conciliating a small minority. This might at first sight appear inconsistent with his character. But it is, in fact, perfectly true to it. The Complaisant man believes that the regard of any individual can be purchased outright by certain ignoble civilities. Once bought, it is his property; and, on his principle that friendships are to be counted, not weighed, his next object is to secure the regard of some one


else. His citizens are always with him; but if the 'foreigners' are to be enrolled among his acquaintance, this must be done while they are at Athens.
12. to send for the children] The doom of seclusion under which the Women's Apartment lay does not seem to have extended in its fullarigour to the nursery. Children, or at least young boys, were sometimes guests in the diningroom: see Lucian's Dream, c. Ir: 'Come you, too, Micyllus, and dine with us: I will send my boy to dine in the Women's Apartments, that there may be room for you.' But when young people came to table they sat; to recline was the privilege of their elders. See Xenophon's Sympos. I 12, 'Autolycus' (a boy old enough to have won the pancratium, i.e. about 14) 'sat beside his father; the other guests reclined as usual.'
(i3. as like their father as figs]


14. and kiss them] The Flatterer, when he wished to pay his court to the children, felt it necessary to present them with fruit. This illustrates the distinction referred to in the first note to this chapter. As the Flatterer had voluntarily assumed a quasi-menial position, he could not ex-
deemed impartial. He will say, too, that foreigners speak more io justly than his fellow-citizens. Then, when he is asked to dinner, he will request the host to send for the children; and will say of them, when they come in, that they are as like their father as figs; and will draw them towards him, and kiss them, and establish them at his side,-playing with some of them, and $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ himself saying 'Wineskin,' 'Hatchet,' and permitting others to go to sleep upon him, to his anguish.

## III (XV). The Surly Man.

Surliness is discourtesy in words.
The Surly man is one who, when asked where so-and-so is, will say, 'Don't bother me'; or, when spoken to, will not reply.
pect, like the Complaisant man, that his mere good-humour with the children should gratify their father.
16. 'Wineskin'-' Hatchet'] Some child's-game, of which nothing is known. It may have consisted, for instance, in one of the players bringing down his hand edgewise ('hatchet') on the other's clenched fist, before he could snatch it away. That the words are not names which the guest calls the children-as they have usually been explained-is clear from the aúrós in the text, which shows that the children said them too. Casaubon's theory that the 'wineskin' and 'hatchet' were little toys ( $\pi \in \rho \iota \delta \epsilon \rho a \iota a$ ) hung round the children's necks, which the guest takes up and names successively, supposes the children to be infants.
I. Surliness] The Definition is imperfect; for the person described here is discourteous not in words only but in deeds; as when he refuses to sing. Probably the composer of the Definition wished to convey the idea that the Surly man is rough on the surface only, but often kindly beneath it: e.g. he gives money to his friend in difficulties, though with a rude speech.

The conception of à̇ $\theta \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \iota a$ presented here illustrates a general characteristic of these sketches, of which c. XII furnishes perhaps the best example. A word originally of large meaning is considered in that special sense to which social usage had narrowed it. A $\dot{\theta} \dot{\alpha} \dot{d} \delta \eta$ s is properly 'one who pleases himself'; the word might, and did, express every shade of self-will, from the stubbornness of a Prometheus to the caprice of a coquette. But Theo-phrastus-in accordance, probably, with the usage of his day-limits it to one special case. His auddo $\begin{gathered}\text { ds } \\ \text { the man of }\end{gathered}$ morose, unsociable manners; apt to make rude speeches and to be generally ungracious; tenacious, above all things, of his independence, to the extent of grudging homage to the gods; but capable of doing kindnesses, though in a rude way. We know from other sources that the word had come to be used in this special sense-of a certain manner in society; but the quality of this manner is variously described. Already in Euripides (Medea, 223) the aüdons is one who is 'harsh to his fellow-citizens, from want of culture' ( $\pi \iota \kappa p o ̀ s . . . \dot{\text { in }} \mu \mathrm{aillas}$ v̈ $\pi \sigma$ ). The author of the Magna Moralia (prob. later than Aristotle, Grant, Vol. I, Essay I, p. 14)
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describes the aüddons very much as he is described here-' one who will not associate or converse with any man' (I 28). Eudemus, contemporary with Theophrastus, identifies the aúdados with the $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa 0 \lambda o s$, or Cross man, of Aristotle, the opposite of the $\kappa \dot{b} \lambda a \xi$ and $d р \in \sigma \kappa о s$ (Eth. Nic. Iv 6, 9), describing him as ' regulating his life with no respect to
 temptuous' (Eth. Eudem. III 7, 4). This element of 'contempt' becomes the distinctive feature of av̇ $\boldsymbol{v} \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta \iota a$ in the analysis given of it by Philodemus of Gadara, a contemporary of Cicero:-'The so-called
 be compounded of conceit (ol$\eta \sigma t s$ ), arrogance (ímep $\begin{aligned} & \text { фapla), and contemptuous- }\end{aligned}$ ness (ürepoభla).' (De Vitiio x, col. xvi, 39 ed. Ussing.) That is, he thinks too highly of himself (conceit), too meanly of others (contempt), and acts upon his estimate (arrogance). Philodemus adds this example:-Sharing a bath with another person, the Surly man will order hot (or cold) water without previously consulting his associate.

Now this is what the Arrogant man of Theophrastus (c. Iv) would do; but not what his Surly man would do; and it may be proper to point out the main differences between them as conceived by him.
I. The Surly man acts chiefly from a desire to be left alone; though, as proud men are also reserved, he often seems to act from pride. The Arrogant man acts from a desire to enforce the recognition of a fancied superiority. 2. The Surly man repels advances, but does not take liberties. The Arrogant man does both.
6. with their compliments] Xen. Cyrop. viri z, 4: 'Also, when he had occasion to commend any of his domestics, he used to compliment them with presents from his table' ( $̇ \tau \tau \mu \alpha$ à $\pi$ ò $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ т $\quad$ a $\pi \xi\{\eta$ ) $)$.
7. at feast-tide] The great festivals were occasions not only of public sacrifice but of private sacrifices in every house. Portions ( $\mu \epsilon p(\delta \epsilon s$ ) of the flesh were often sent to those friends who were not present at the dinner given after the sacrifice (note on $c . x v, 5)$. Thus, when the Discontented man receives such a present (c. xXII) he complains that it is a poor substitute for an invitation to the dinner. See Ar. Acharn. 1048: 'Slave. Dicaeopolis! $D$. Whom have we here? Sl. A bridegroom has sent you this flesh from the wedding feast.' Plutarch mentions this among the attentions by which Antigonus Gonatas sought to conciliate the founder of the Achaean League: 'Whenever he held a sacrifice at Corinth he

If he has anything for sale, instead of informing the buyers at what price he is prepared to sell it, he will ask them what hè is 5 to get for it. Those who send him presents with their compliments at feast-tide are told that he 'will not touch' their offerings. He cannot forgive a person who has besmirched him by accident, or pushed him, or trodden upon his foot. Then, if a friend asks him for a subscription, he will say that he cannot io give one; but will come with it by and by, and remark that he is losing this money also. When he stumbles in the street he is apt to swear at the stone. He will not endure to wait long for anyone; nor will he consent to sing, or to recite, or to dance. He is apt also not to pray to the gods.
used to send portions of the flesh to Aratus at Sicyon' (Arat. c. 15). The Pitcher-feast (the second day of the Anthesteria) was especially an occasion for such offerings: see note on c. XXVI, $3^{1}$.
ro. for a subscription] See note on c. $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{I}_{4}$.
14. nor will he consent to sing] i.e., to take his turn in the $\sigma \kappa 6 \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu$, or 'catch,' which the company are singing over their wine. Each guest, though not in regular order, usually sang a short stanza or verse. In Athenaeus (xv, p. $695 \$ 50$ ) the first singer gives an alcaic stanza on the dangers of the sea; the second takes him up with a quatrain in the style of a nursery rhyme; the third, fourth, fifth and sixth then go through the stanzas on Harmodius and Aristogeiton.-In the Clouds, Pheidippides incenses his father by acting as the aú $\theta a ́ d i \eta s$ does here. 'First I requested him to take the lyre, and sing a song of Simonides, the Shearing of the Ram; but he quickly objected that to play the lyre and sing at dessert was an old-fashioned custom ${ }^{\text { }}$ (vv. 1355 ff .).
14. to recite] $\dot{\rho} \eta \sigma t s$ meant especially a speech from a tragedy. Demosthenes gives as instances of p pricets the prologue of the Hecuba and the Messenger's speech from an unknown play (de Coron. p. $3{ }^{15}$ $\S 267$, cf. Ar. Wasps, 580). The declamation of such a passage seems to have been
accepted at entertainments as a substitute for a song. Thus, when Pheidippides haughtily refuses to sing, his father requests him 'at least to take the myrtle branch and say something from AeschyIus'; and finally 'he chanted a speech ( $\hat{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \hat{\beta} \eta{ }^{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ ) from Euripides' (Clouds, 1371 ). Aeschines speaks of his rival 'telling the Senate a long story about the young Alexander-how he played the lyre to us over our wine, declaimed some speeches, and sang see-saw catches ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota к \rho о и ́ \sigma \epsilon L s$ ) with another youth' (in Timarch. p. 24 § 160 ).
14. to dance] See note on c. IX, 2I.
15. not to pray to the gods] This touch alone momentarily lifts the aüád ${ }^{2} s$ of Theophrastus from his petty sullenness into something of that more tragic obstinacy which the old poets associated with aj̇dodeca. In the Prometheus Vinctus avi $\theta a \delta \eta s$ is the word used to describe, on the one hand, the stubborn patience of the sufferer,-on the other, the inflexible resolve of Zeus (vv. 928, 985). It was aủ $\theta \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon c a$, stubborn self-reliance, says Plutarch (Crass. 19), which prevented Crassus from recalling an ill-omened speech which had excited the superstitious fears of his men: see note on c. XIII, 2I f. In this, its sterner sense, aú $\theta$ á $\delta \eta s$ would exactly describe Virgil's contemptor divom Mezentizes.

## $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \phi \alpha \nu i ́ a s \delta^{\prime}$.










I. Arrogance] The relation of Arrogance, as treated by Theophrastus, to Surliness has been spoken of in note I to c. III. In regard to Aristotle's system, Arrogance is a species of what he terms
 site extreme being Mean-spiritedness, and the middle-state Lofty-mindedness. A remark which Aristotle makes in speaking of these qualities is worthy of attentionviz. that the Vain man may possess the same things (e.g. ability, wealth, etc.) which go to justify the Lofty-minded man's claim to high consideration; but the Vain man's claim is invalid on moral grounds. 'Those who possess these advantages without virtue are neither entitled to deem themselves worthy of great things, nor are they properly called Loftyminded.... They mimic the Lofty-minded man, while they do not resemble him,i.e. they do so in such things as they can; the actions which are according to virtue they, of course, cannot do; and at the same time they look down upon others. Now the Lofty-minded man looks down upon others justly (for he judges truly); but most people do so at random ( $E$ th. Nic. Iv 3, 20).

Casaubon considers the Arrogance described here as related, not only to Surli-
ness, but to Boastfulness (c. vi). But Boastfulness and Petty Ambition (c. viI) are referable to a principle distinct from that of Arrogance,-the desire, namely, of honour, as distinguished from opinion concerning one's own worthiness for honour.
3. he will see him after dinner] The Ironical man acts, from a different motive, in the same way: see note on $c . v$, ro.
3. when he is taking his walk] Plut. Thes. c. 35: 'Some say that he stumbled and fell accidentally, while taking his walk, as usual, after dinner.' The young Autolycus, in Xenophon's Symposium, leaves the party early 'to take his walk' ( $\varepsilon$ is $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \pi a \pi o v:$ IX 1). Zeus, in Lucian's Zeus Tragoedus, says to the other gods,-- We were entertained in the Peiraeusas many of us, that is, as Mnesitheus invited to the sacrifice. Then, after the libation, you went your various ways, as it pleased you; but I-for it was not very late-went back to the town to take my evening stroll ( $\tau o \delta \delta_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\iota \nu} \delta \nu$ ) in the Cerameicus' (c. 15). (The plaintiff, in the speech of Demosthenes Against Conon, p. 1258 § 7, was taking his usual evening walk in the market-place with a friend, when he was assaulted by the defendant.)
4. to recollect benefits which he has

## IV (XXIV). The Arrogant Man.

Arrogance is a certain scorn for all the world beside oneself.
The Arrogant man is one who will say to a person who is in a hurry that he will see him after dinner when he is taking his walk. He will profess to recollect benefits which he has conferred. As he saunters in the streets, he will decide cases for 5 those who have made him their referee. When he is nominated to public offices, he will protest his inability to accept them, alleging that he is too busy. He will not permit himself to give any man the first greeting. He is apt to order persons who have anything to sell, or who wish to hire anything from him, to 10 come to him at daybreak. When he walks in the streets, he will
conferred] i.e. he will remind others in a patronizing manner that he has placed them under obligations; which may or may not be true, for the ambiguous фárкeiv, 'to allege,' leaves it doubtful. This trait illustrates the difference between Arrogance and Lofty-mindedness. It is characteristic of the Lofty-minded man, as Aristotle observes, to remember whom he has benefited (Eth. Nic. IV 3, 25). The Arrogant man (who is a bad imitation of the Lofty-minded, ib. 21) does not only remember,-he proclaims that he remembers.
6. who have made him their referee] See note on C. II, 8.
6. when he is nominated to public offices] Almost all public officers (including the archons) were appointed by lot; others-as the ten Generals and all ambassadors-by show of hands in the Ecclesia. The suffrages of the people have nominated the Arrogant man to an office of the latter kind; but, as the appointment is invalid without his acceptance of office, the present tense is used, and he is said to be 'in process of being elected' ( $\chi \in \iota \rho o \tau o \nu o \dot{\mu} \mu$ ยvos). Instead of accepting, he makes an oath before the Ecclesia that he cannot serve; assigning, not a definite reason, such as illness or want of means, but the vague one that he
is 'too busy.' See Demosth. de Fals. Legat. p. 379 § 124, where the brother of Aeschines takes a physician with him to the assembly, and makes oath of his brother's inability to serve on an embassy. From the version of this incident given by Aeschines, we learn a detail-viz. that an oath of this kind could not be made before the Senate, but only before the Ecclesia (Aeschin. de Falsa Legat. p. 40 § 95).
9. the first greeting] The first $\chi$ aipe was expected, of course, to come from the inferior. Micyllus, in Lucian's Dream (c. I4), thus describes his meeting with an acquaintance who had suddenly grown rich : 'The other day I saw him approaching, and said " Hail, O Simon." But he, indignant: "Servant, desire that needy person not to clip ( $\kappa a \tau a \sigma \mu<\kappa \rho(\nu \varepsilon \iota \nu)$ my name. My name is not Simon, but Simonides".'
iI. When he waiks in the streets] Athenian criticism on demeanour in the streets appears to have been severe. Athenaeus quotes two verses of Alexis-
Nothing, in my opinion, is so low
As walking out of just time in the streets:
(dंppú $\theta \mu \omega \mathrm{s}:$ Ath. I, p. 21 § 38). In the speech against Pantaenetus (Dem. adv. P. p. 982 ) it is anticipated that he may say of the defendant:-'Nicobulus is an
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unpopular man ; he walks fast, talks loud, and carries a walking-stick' (the stick ioplying an affectation of Spartanism; note on c. VII, 20) ; and after contrasting his own moral worth with that of the plaintiff, Nicobulus adds: 'Such, Pantaenetus, am I who walk quick, and such are you who walk composedly' (ár pémas). Aeschines is described 'walking through the marketplace with his cloak down to his heels, stepping as high as Pythocles '-(another orator of the Macedonian party) Demosth. ale Fails. Legat. p. 442 § 314 . Plato expressly mentions 'walking quietly ( $\eta \sigma u \chi \hat{\eta}$ ) in the streets' as a mark of $\sigma \omega \phi p o \sigma$ 诸: Charmid. p. 159 в.
17. When he is anointing himself, or bathing] The exclusion of a visitor at such a time scarcely reaches the modern idea of Arrogance. But this is a good illustration of that hostility to domestic privacy which was bred in the citizens of a Greek republic at once by the temper of their race, by the physical conditions of their life, and (not least) by democratic
sentiment. The first symptom in Pausanies of a transition to Persian manners was that 'he began to make himself diffcult of access' (Thuc. I I30). Menelaus, in Euripides, reproaches Agamemnon with having become, on his accession to power, 'hard for his friends to approach, keeping within bolted doors and seldom
 344). Agesilaus stole away the influence of Lysander because the latter 'affected a haughty reserve ( $̇ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{v} v e \tau o$ ), being difficult of access, while the former delighted to be accessible to all' (Yen. Ages. 9, 2) ; and Plutarch, contrasting the same persons, describes the one as 'popular' ( $\delta \eta \mu$ отик $6 s$ ), the other as 'vulgar' (фортıкbs: Slut. Ages. 7, 2:8, 4).
19. push the counters apart] A difficulty has arisen concerning some item of the account. Instead of allowing the groups of counters on the counting-board to remain stationary until this difficulty has been settled, the Arrogant man desires his slave to break up the groups
not speak to those whom he meets, keeping his head bent down, or at other times, when so it pleases him, erect. If he entertains his friends, he will not dine with them himself, but will appoint a subordinate to preside. As soon as he sets out on a journey, $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ he will send some one forward to say that he is coming. He is not likely to admit a visitor when he is anointing himself, or bathing, or at table. It is quite in his manner, too, when he is reckoning with any one, to bid his slave push the counters apart, set down the total, and charge it to the other's account. In 20 writing a letter, he will not say 'I should be much obliged,' but 'I wish it to be thus and thus'; or 'I have sent to you for' this or that; or 'You will attend to this strictly'; or 'Without a moment's delay.'


## V (I). The Ironical Man.

Irony, roughly defined, would seem to be an affectation of the worse in word or deed.
( $\delta \omega \omega \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} v$ )-to form the counters in a line at the foot of the board, representing the total as it now stands-and to make out a bill accordingly. Compare note on c. XIII, 3 .
20. in writing a letter] Philodemus describes the Surly man (whom he considers as a variety of the Arrogant, see note on c. III, r) as 'one who in writing a letter will not add "Hail" at the beginming, or "Farewell" ( ${ }^{*} \rho \rho \omega \sigma 0$ ) at the end" (De Vitizis x , col. xvii 25 ed. Ussing).
I. Irony] It is defined here as 'an affectation of the worse,' literally ' on the side of worse' ( $\dot{\pi l} \chi \chi \in i \rho o \nu)$, i.e. of selfdepreciation. Aristotle (Eth. Nic. II 7)
 Toy, ' pretence on the side of less,' i.e. conscious understating (or underacting) of the truth; and in the Eudemian Ethics (III 7) the Ironical man is described as
 representing himself for the worse.' Both passages have contributed to the definition in the text; the latter supplying $\epsilon \pi l$ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\mathbf{o}}$
$\chi \in \hat{i} \rho o \nu$ (instead of $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda a \tau \tau o \nu\right)$, the former $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \pi$ ot $\eta \sigma t s$. From their fusion results a phrase which is faulty and inexact, but of which the general meaning is clear.

This sketch forms a remarkable chapter in the history of the word Irony; first, because of the restricted sense in which it is already employed by a pupil of Aristotle; and secondly because the conception, while thus narrowed, seems also to have become indistinct.

It is necessary to recall the sense in which Irony is understood by Aristotle (Eth. Vic. Iv 7 §§ a ff.). 'It seems to be the tendency of the Boastful man to lay claim to creditable things, either when they do not belong to him, or in a greater degree than they belong to him. The Ironical man, on the contrary, tends to disclaim or to depreciate things which do belong to him. The intermediate character, being (so to say) "matter-of-fact" (avieєкaбтos) is truthful in his life and in his speech, confessing the attributes which are his, and neither exaggerating nor
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extenuating them....Ironical persons, leaning to understatement, impress one as being more refined in character; for they seem to speak with a view, not to advantage, but to avoiding pomposity. And moreover it is creditable things which such persons especially disclaim; as, for example, did Socrates.' The general characteristic of the Ironical man is, then, that he holds in reserve, for whatever purpose, something of his available power. This purpose may be an earnest dialectic one, like that of Socrates. Or it may be to avoid ostentation or check impertinence; as Aristotle's Lofty-minded man is ©ironical' to the common crowd (Eth. $N$. IV 3, 28). Or the purpose may be merely playful; as Anacharsis in Lucian says that the Athenians were reputed 'ironical' in conversation (Anach. c. 15).

Theophrastus has in most of his portraits embodied those traits which are generic to the character described. His Flatterer, his Avaricious man, his Boaster are fairly representative of the classes who flatter, hoard, or boast. But his picture of the Ironical man, judged by his master's standard, is strikingly inadequate. He does not show us the man whose habit it is-either in earnest or in jest, now for the discomfture of pretence, now for the friendly insinuation of reproof or praise-
to keep on the inside edge of the truth He describes merely a person who takes a cynical pleasure in misleading or inconveniencing others by the concealment of his real feelings and intentions.

But not only is the conception of this portrait narrow; it is also unfaithful to the essence of the quality portrayed by Plato and defined by Aristotle. True Irony is a masked battery, a screen assisting the more effective use of a real power which it veils. But the person described by Theophrastus appears to deceive for the sake of deceiving; no touch in the picture suggests that he has any meaning or purpose in reserve. His irony resembles rather a curtain on the stage, with nothing behind it but the mechanism which sustains the illusion. Again, when he is described as expressing incredulity and cautioning another person against too ready belief, this is a misplaced characteristic. The ironical and the sceptical mind have, perhaps, much in common; but the avowal, as distinguished from the insinuation, of unbelief is not a trait of Irony.

The characters of Theophrastus are essentially popular, interpreting the notions currently attached in society to certain epithets. In the present instance this fact, while lessening the author's respon-

The Ironical Man is one who goes up to his enemies, and volunteers to chat with them, instead of showing hatred. He will praise to their faces those whom he attacked behind their 5 backs, and will sympathise with them in their defeats. He will show forgiveness to his revilers, and excuse things said against him; and he will talk blandly to persons who are smarting under a wrong. When people wish to see him in a hurry, he will desire them to call again. He will never confess to anything ro that he is doing, but will always say that he is thinking about it. He will pretend that he has 'just arrived,' or that he 'was too late,' or that he 'was unwell.' To applicants for a loan or a subscription he will say that he has no money; when he has anything for sale, he will deny that he means to sell; or, when ${ }_{15}$ he does not mean to sell, he will pretend that he does. Hearing,
sibility for the defects of his portrait, heightens the significance of these defects themselves. It shows that a word most flexibly and delicately expressive, a word contrived to include, without confounding, innumerable shades of grave or playful tone, had scarcely passed into currency when it was debased. Already in the time of Aristotle's pupil 'irony' is popularly understood in a sense almost wholly bad , and the fine precision of the term has been lost. (In his note on Eth. N. Iv 7 § 3 Sir A. Grant has noticed this swift decay.)

The definition speaks of ' words and deeds': but this sketch supplies no true example of practical irony. As in verbal irony there is a contrast between the thought and the expression, so in practical there must be a contrast between the apparent and the real character of the action: as when Timon (to borrow an illustration from Bp Thirlwall's famous essay) gave the thieves gold to ruin them. (The definition is regarded as spurious by Gomperz in the Sitzungsberichte of the Vienna Academy, 188g. The character of the $\epsilon l \rho \omega \nu$ is discussed by Ribbeck in the Rheinisches Museum, xxxi ( 1876 ) $3^{8 \mathrm{r}}-400$. )
6. in their defeats] when they are
defeated in lawsuits: for this meaning of $\dot{\eta} r \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$ see cc. xVII, $10, \mathrm{xxx}, \%$.

1o. to call again] This resembles a trait ascribed to the Arrogant man (c. Iv). But the Arrogant man puts off his visitor for the sake of asserting his own consequence; the Ironical man, merely because it is of his character to be evasive. The caller presses, perhaps, for a definite answer to some proposal which he has already made. The Ironical man (who has made up his mind, but enjoys mystification) replies-' I am afraid that I have not quite decided...Could you call tomorrow?'
12. he will pretend that he has 'just arrived'] I understand this and the next two clauses as being the reasons which the Ironical man alleges for his ignorance of what has been passing in the world. He is in a company where some one asks him-'Have you heard what happened at A's house?' He replies (knowing the facts, but wishing to elicit the speaker's view of them) ' $I$ have only just returned to town,' or 'I came too late for it,' or 'I have been ill for the last few days.' That $\mu a \lambda a \kappa \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ refers to illness, seems certain from c. x , where $\delta \mu a \lambda a \kappa \iota \zeta b \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ is 'the invalid.'
14. a subscription] Epavos-such as
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was made for a man in difficulties by his friends. Compare cc. III, vi, xxv. It was usually understood that such assistance was a loan: see c. Xxir. There were also at Athens regularly organized societies which, as well as the subscriptions paid to them, were called épa. oo o These seem to have been partly diningclubs, partly associations for mutual relief in case of need. Demosthenes (in Meid. p. $574 \S$ 184) alludes to both sorts of 'subscription'-that which was raised privately on occasion among friends, and that which was paid to a club. He is insisting on the practical value of a good character:-'I believe that all men in the course of their lives pay in subscriptions for their own benefit-not those merely (1) which individuals raise, or (2) for which collecting officers ( $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau a l$ ) are appointed, but others also. For instance -we have among us a man considerate, humane, merciful to many: to such a man it is right that like measure should be meted by all, if ever he come to want or
into peril of the law.' This custom of the tepavos furnishes a favourite metaphor to the orators: e.g. Dem. in Aristog. I p. 776 § 22: 'Everything that each man among us does by the injunction of the law is his contribution ( $\varepsilon \rho a \nu o s$ ) as a citizen of the commonwealth.'
I. Boastfulness] a $\lambda$ ajovela is with Aristotle the fault, in respect to truth, on the side of excess, as 'irony' on the side of defect; and the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda a j \omega \nu$ is one 'who lays claim to creditable things which do not belong to him, or in a greater degree than thisy belong to him' (Eth. N. xv 7). It is remarked in that chapter that "those who boast for the sake of reputation lay claim to things for which men are praised or congratulated; those who boast for the sake of gain, to things which are available to others, and of which the non-possession may escape notice; to the character, for instance, of a clever seer or doctor.' The $\dot{d} \lambda a j \omega \nu$ of Theophrastus belongs to the former class; and accordingly pretends to wealth, generosity, etc. Aristotle further
he will affect not to have heard, seeing, not to have seen; if he has made an admission, he will say that he does not remember it. Sometimes he has 'been considering the question'; sometimes he does 'not know'; sometimes he is 'surprised'; some- 20 times it is 'the very conclusion' at which he 'once arrived' himself. And, in general, he is very apt to use this kind of phrase: 'I do not believe it'; 'I do not understand it'; 'I am astonished.' Or he will say that he has heard it from some one else: 'This, however, was not the story that he told me.' 'The 25 thing surprises me'; 'Don't tell me'; 'I do not know how I am to disbelieve you, or to condemn him'; 'Take care that you are not too credulous.'
[Such the speeches, such the doublings and retractions to which the Ironical man will resort. Disingenuous and designing $3^{\circ}$ characters are in truth to be shunned more carefully than vipers.]

## VI (XXIII). The Boastful Man.

Boastfulness would seem to be, in fact, pretension to advantages which one does not possess.
remarks that 'irony' may be pushed into $\dot{a} \lambda \alpha{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ovel}, \mathrm{a}$, ${ }^{\text {as }}$ in the case of the Spartan style of dress; for both excess and extreme defects are in the nature of boastfulness.' The delineation of Theophrastus does not touch this more subtle form of the quality; and his $\begin{gathered} \\ \lambda a j \\ \omega\end{gathered} \nu$ will therefore be adequately rendered by 'boastful,' as Menander's was by the Latin gloriosus (Plaut. Mil. Glor. 11 1, 18).
' Boastful,' however, does not seem to be a perfect rendering for $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} \omega^{\prime} \nu$ in its most general sense. 'Boastful' implies pretension of a more direct, explicit kind than is necessarily intended by the Greek word; which included many more artistic forms of self-assertion. Thus a fashionable soothsayer might have been termed d̀ $\lambda$ aj $\omega^{\prime} \nu$; but would not be described in English as "boastful.' Perhaps 'Swaggerer,' in the extended sense in which it
is sometimes heard now, would convey the general notion of the word more faithfully. The simpler and more usual rendering, 'boastful,' has, however, been preferred here, since it was adequate to the occasion; and also because 'Swaggerer, ${ }^{2}$ in its proper sense as applied to demeanour, answers more nearly to the Greek $\sigma a \lambda a \kappa \omega ́ \nu ~(A r . ~ R h e t . ~ I I ~ x v i) . ~ . ~$

The Aristotelian contrast between Irony and Boastfulness is not effectively maintained in the two sketches of Theophrastus; partly because the Irony of Theophrastus is not that of Aristotle (see note on $\mathrm{c} . \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{I}$ ) ; partly because the relation of the Boastful man to truth is, for the purpose of this sketch, less important than the motive of his actions, viz. a desire of reputation. In this he resembles the man of Petty Ambition (c. vir), but with a difference:-the latter places
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honour in trifles; the Boaster pretends to things which a majority of men do, in fact, honour. (The character has been discussed in Ribbeck's Alazon, Leipzig, 1882.)
3. in the bazaar] The bazaar, סeî $\gamma \mu$, where merchants displayed samples of their wares, was on the shore of the Peiraeus, where there were other places of business, e.g. the Corn Market ( ${ }^{\mathrm{\lambda} \lambda \phi 1 \tau 6-}$ $\pi \omega \lambda \iota s$ $\sigma$ тod, Ar. Eccl. 682). That it was close to the quays appears from Xenophon's account of the descent made upon the Peiraeus by Teleutias in 389 b.c. 'Some of his men, too, sprang ashore into the bazaar, and, seizing some merchants and skippers, carried them on board' (Hellen. v r, 21). Compare Lysias frag. 45 87: 'As he could not walk, they carried him on the sofa to the bazaar, and showed him in that state to many Athenians and foreigners.'-On the reading $\delta$ taseú $\gamma \mu a \tau \iota$, see Crit. App.
4. the great sums which he has at sea] Money lent on bottomry ( (aviuk ${ }^{2}$ ) was lost to the lender in case of disaster to the ship: 'the contract ( $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) providing, as is the invariable rule, for the repayment of the money in case of the ship coming safe into harbour' (Dem. adv. Zenoth. p. 863 § 5). Hence the rate of interest was high: Dem. adz. Polycl. p. 1212 § 17 speaks of עautuk̀े $\dot{\epsilon} \pi b \gamma \delta o o \nu$, i.e. money thus lent at 12 is per cent. Cf. Xen.

Vect. III 9, 'He gets, as on bottomry, about 20 per cent.' ( $\epsilon \pi l \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau 0 \nu$ aj̉ $\frac{\hat{\varphi}}{}$ $\gamma(\gamma \nu \epsilon \tau a l)$.
5. money-lending butsiness] The bankers ( $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon \zeta$ 亿itau) who kept the tables in the market-place were generally moneylenders ( $\delta a \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma T a l$ ) too; but money-lending was also carried on, both on a great and on a small scale, as a distinct business. Alciphro's Letters relate some of the bitter experiences of countrymen in their dealings with " the town usurers.' A fisherman who requires a new net has recourse to such help. 'Then that shrivelled Chremes, with contracted brows, who eyes all men like a wild bull, enamoured, perhaps, of my boat, relaxed his severe, unsmiling face; lifted his eyes; smiled softly on me, and professed himself ready to do me any service....But when, the time having come, he demanded back principal and interest without allowing one day's grace, I recognised my old friend whom I remembered sitting at the Diomeian gate,-the possessor of the crooked stick, the enemy of all men, Chremes of Phyle.' He sells his wife's necklace to 'Pasion the banker,' pays the usurer-and vows ' never again to go to one of the city money-lenders, though he should be worn to a shadow with hunger first ' (Alc. III 3).
ro. with Alexander] On the reading Eưádópov, see Crit. Appp. vi 8.-Compare the strain in which the Miles Gloriosus of

The Boastful Man is one who will stand in the bazaar talking to foreigners of the great sums which he has at sea; he will discourse of the vastness of his money-lending business, 5 and the extent of his personal gains and losses; and, while thus drawing the long-bow, will send his boy to the bank, where he keeps-tenpence. He loves, also, to impose upon his companion by the road with a story of how he served with Alexander, and on what terms he was with him, and what a io number of gemmed cups he brought home; contending, too, that the Asiatic artists are superior to those of Europe; and all this when he has never been anywhere out of Attica. Then he will say that a letter has come from Antipater-'this is the

Plautus (Menander's 'A $\lambda a \zeta(\omega \nu)$ boasts of his exploits in Asia (Act I Sc. 1, etc.).

Ir. gemmed cups] Compare Juvenal v 37 ff :-—If Virro's own hands are beakers on which the tears of the Sunmaidens have stiffened, and saucers embossed with beryl. You are not trusted with gold-or, when it is given to you, a sentinel is planted on the spot, to count the gems and watch your sharp nails. Excuse him; there is a fine and admired jasper there; for Virro, like many, shifts from his fingers to his cups those gems which the successful rival of jealous Iarbas used to put on the outside of his scabbard." Golden cups inlaid with gems ( $\phi \iota d \lambda \alpha \iota \lambda \iota \theta o-$ $\kappa \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \eta \tau o \tau \quad \chi \rho \cup \sigma a i ̂)$ are mentioned among the presents made to a favourite by the Persian king, Athen. II p. 48 § 3 I .
13. when he has never been anywhere out of Attica] For $\dot{\eta} \pi 6 \lambda c s$, meaning, not Athens merely, but Athens with her territory, Attica, compare Ar. Peace 250: 'Poseidon. Woe to thee, Sicily! How wilt thou, too, perish!-Trygaeus. How that poor country ( $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda s$ ) will be carded to shreds!' So 'seagirt cities' for 'islands' Aesch. Eum. 77.-So far from having seen the wonders of the East, the boaster has not even crossed Cithaeron or passed the Isthmus. The Athenian feeling against unnecessary travel receives intense expression in Plato's Laws (xir p. 950 A ):-'It is the tendency of inter-
course between cities to mix manners of the most various kinds, strangers inoculating each other with new-fangled notions
 likely to inflict upon a community wellgoverned under proper laws an injury more serious than any other; but to the majority of cities, as living under laws in no wise good, it is of no consequence that they are contaminated by welcoming strangers among themselves, and by flaunting forth (ėıксرudjovias) in their own turn to other cities, whenever any man, young or old, takes a fancy for going abroad in any way or on any occasion.' It is then proposed (p. 950 D ): ' In the first place, let no one under forty years of age be permitted to go abroad on any pretence whatever. Next, let absence from Athens on private affairs be permitted to no man : on public business, to heralds, embassies, and perhaps to some sacred missions.' Absence on military service is, of course, excepted. In the Crito, Socrates imagines the laws complimenting him on having never once left Attica on any private business (p. 52 B ).
14. Antipater] The reference is probably to that period. (322-319 B.C.) during which Antipater was absolute master of Athens. When Alexander went to Asia in 334 B.c. Antipater was left regent of Macedonia; and on the king's death in 323 he was reappointed to that
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post. A league of the Greek States, headed by Athens, was formed against him; and the Lamian war ensued. This was terminated by the Macedonian victory at Crannon in the autumn of 322 . Athens, now helpless, accepted Antipater's terms; 12,000 of the poorer citizens were de-ported,--the richer remnant being little more than 9000 ; the leaders of the patriotic party, including Demosthenes and Hyperides, were banished; and a Macedonian garrison was quartered in the Peiraeus. In the following year (32I) Antipater succeeded Perdiccas as supreme regent, and thus became actual head of the whole Macedonian empire. He died in the first half of 319 , bequeathing the regency to Polyperchon. See c. xx , where there is a reference to the latter half of 319 B.c. (In the interval between the end of the Lamian war in October 322 and the death of Antipater in the first half of 319, Antipater was in Macedonia on three occasions only:-( I ) late in 322 , when his daughter was married to Craterus; (2) at the end of the winter, between the Aetolian war and the Asiatic expedition; and (3) between his return from Asia, early in 320 , and his death. It was only on this last occasion that he stayed long enough in Macedonia to make it possible for the $\dot{d} \lambda a j \omega \bar{\omega}$ to pretend that he had received
three invitations from Antipater. See Cichorius in the Leipzig edition, p. lviif.)
16. privilege of exporting timber] from Macedonia, the great timber-market of Greece, to Athens. Xen. Hellen. vi I, II. 'Holding Macedonia, the country from which the Athenians import their timber, we shall of course be in a position to build many more ships than they can.' Compare the pseudo-Demosth. Speech 'On the Treaty with Alexander,' p. 219 § 28 (in reference to Alexander having asked leave to have some boats built at the Peiraeus): 'Of course it cannot be said that timber for shipbuilding is plentiful at Athens and has failed in Macedonia,the country which supplies it on the cheapest terms to any foreigners who require it.' When Brasidas took Amphipolis in 424 B.C. one of the causes of the alarm at Athens was that that city was useful ' in sending them timber for shipbuilding' (Thuc. IV IO8).
16. free of duty] i.e. free of the Macedonian duty upon exports. It is improbable that Antipater would have interfered to remit the Athenian tax (two-per-cent, $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta к о \sigma \tau \eta$, Boeckh P.E. III 4) on imports: besides this would have been called eivar $\omega \gamma \eta$, rather than $\epsilon \xi a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$, àt $\tau \lambda$ ńs. Compare Andocides de Reditu p. 2I § II: 'I supplied your army at Samos
third'-requiring his presence in Macedonia; and that, though 15 he was offered the privilege of exporting timber free of duty, he has declined it, that no person whatever may be able to traduce him further for being more friendly than is becoming with Macedonia. He will state, too, that in the famine his outlay came to more than five talents in presents to the distressed 20 citizens; ('he never could say $\mathrm{No}^{\prime}$;) and actually, although the persons sitting near him are strangers, he will request one of them to set up the counters; when, reckoning by sums of six hundred drachmas or of a mina, and plausibly assigning names to each of these, he will make a total of as many as ten talents. 25 This, he will say, was what he contributed in the way of charities; adding that he does not count any of the trierarchies or public services which he has performed. Also he will go up to the sellers of the best horses, and pretend that he desires to
-the Four Hundred having already seized the government here-with spars for oars, as Archelaus (king of Macedon 413-399 B.c.) was a family friend of mine, and allowed me to cut down and export as many as I pleased.'
ry. that no person whatever may be able to traduce him further] He alleges, as his motive for declining the offer, his wish to avoid the denunciations of informers, who might accuse him of having too close relations with the Macedonian government. As Athens was at this time absolutely subject to Antipater, who had taken vigorous measures to clear it of all but Macedonian partisans, these fears may appear strange. But a fact noticed by Plutarch shows that, heavy as was the yoke, enough of public spirit was stirring beneath it to cause at least a general impatience. In 319 B.C.-three years after the introduction of the Macedonian garrison-the Athenians were importuning ( $\epsilon_{\nu} \nu \chi \lambda \frac{0}{v} \nu T \omega \nu$ ) Phocion to intercede with Antipater for its removal (Plut. Phoc. c. 30). In such a state of the public mind the reception of special favours from the regent might well be a dangerous distinction.
19. (in the famine] Probably that of $330-326$ в.c. Cp. Dem., Or. $34 \S 39$, and A. Schaefer, Dem. u. s. Zeit, iii $295^{2}$.)
20. in presents to the distressed citizens] On these charities, Epavol, see note on $\mathrm{c} . \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{I} 4$.
23. to set up the counters] See note on c. 1v, 19 .
23. reckoning by sums of six hundred drachmas] 100 drachmas $=1$ mina : 60 minas $=1$ talent. The boastful man first states that he has given ' more than five talents' (about $£ 1200$ ) in charity. He then proceeds to verify his statement. Taking the counting-board, he arranges the counters in small groups to represent the items- ' 600 drachmas ( $=6$ minas, about $£ 24$ ) to $A$; one mina, $£ 4$, to $B ' ;$ and so forth. When at last the items are cast up, they make a total of more than $£ 2400$, instead of $£ 1200$; and it becomes evident that his first estimate was prompted by excessive modesty.
27. trierarchies or public services] See note on c. xxix, 26.
29. the best horses] At Athens horses were in a special sense what Aeschylus calls them-'ornaments of wealth' (P.V. 474). The keeping of
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horses, especially for the great contests, seems to have been regarded as in a manner a duty which was incumbent upon rich men-their proper contribution to the public splendour. See Demosth. $a d z$. Phaenipp. p. 1046 § 14: ' In one thing only can Phaenippus the defendant be proved to have shown public spirit towards you, judges: he is a good and spirited owner of horses (imтот $\rho 6 \phi o s . .$. $\phi i \lambda \sigma \tau(\mu 0 s)$ '-where the irony does not disturb the fact that, in the popular view, this was public spirit. Compare Xen. Hipparch. I 12: '(you may win over parents) by explaining this to them,-that. their sons will be forced to keep horses, if not by you, by their fortune; but that, if they begin to ride under your auspices, you will deter them from giving extravagant or mad ( $\mu$ avc $\kappa \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ) prices for horses.' Miltiades was 'of a house which kept four-horse chariots' (for the contests: Her. vi 35). Some of the good breeds were branded in the flank (év loxlocs, Anacr. 28, 2). The 'samphoras' and 'koppatias' (marked with the old letters $\operatorname{san}$ خ) and koppa 9) are known from the Clouds 23, 122: and Strabo mentions a 'wolf' brand in Italy (v 1,9 ). The 'koppatias' of Pheidippides cost 12 minas,
about $£ 48$ (Clouds ${ }^{23}$ ) : the same sum is the value of a horse in Lysias de maled. p. I33 § 10. In the speech of Isaeus de Dicaeog. hered. the rival claimant is taunted thus: 'You have never possessed a horse worth more than 3 minas' ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r} 2}$ : p. 55 § 43).
30. the upholstery mart] In that part of the market-place where the frames ( $\kappa \lambda \hat{\imath} \nu a l$ ) of couches and beds were sold, the coverlets, rugs, pillows-everything included in the term i $\mu a \tau \tau \sigma \mu 6 s$, 'bedding'-could probably be bought. too. Luxurious drapery for couches was a specially eastern luxury; thus, when Artaxerxes sent Themistocles 'a silverfooted bed and costly coverings,' he sent therewith 'a person to strew them; observing that the Greeks did not under-'
 $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu \nu v^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$, Athen. II p. 48 § 3 I). In the Frogs (v 544) 'coverlets from Miletus' are mentioned; the same which are said in the Georgics to be ' of great price' (III 306). It was specially noted as a sign of the degeneracy of Spartan manners when they began 'to use coverings for their couches of the present large size and costly workmanship, superbly embroidered; so that some of the guests invited shrank
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buy; or, visiting the upholstery mart, he will ask to see draperies 30 to the value of two talents, and quarrel with his slave for having come out without gold. When he is living in a hired house he will say (to any one who does not know better) that it is the family mansion; but that he means to sell it, as he finds it too small for his entertainments.

## VII (XXI). The Man of Petty Ambition.

Petty Ambition would seem to be a mean craving for distinction.

The man of Petty Ambition is one who, when asked to dinner, will be anxious to be placed next to the host at table. He will take his son away to Delphi to have his hair cut. He 5
from resting their elbows on the cushions' (Athen. IV p. 142 § 20).
I. Petty Ambition] Compare with this character what Aristotle says of the रaûvol, or Vain (Eth. N. IV 3):-'They set themselves off with dress and outward show ( $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \iota$ ) and the like, and wish their advantages to be manifest, and talk about them, as if they expected to receive honour by means of these things.' But the $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о ф \iota \lambda о$ ттцаs does not necessarily, like the $\chi$ aûvos, overrate himself; he only overrates those things on which he founds his claim to honour. In ostentation, again, he resembles the $\dot{a} \lambda a \xi \dot{\omega} \nu$, But he places honour in the trifles which he really possesses ; the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda a \zeta \omega \bar{\nu}$, in greater things which he does not possess. If some editors had not maintained that part of this chapter suits the äpeaкоs (see Crit. App. vir 1), it would have seemed needless to point out the wide difference between the characters. The complaisant man desires to be popular for what he is; the $\mu \iota к \rho о ф \iota \lambda o ́ \tau \iota \mu \circ s$, to be admired for what he has.
4. placed next to the host] Plutarch says (Quaest. Conv. I 3, I):-‘Different places (at table) are honourable with different nations.... With the Greeks, the
first. With the Romans, the last place on the middle couch, which they call the consular.' Here, as the context shows, 'the first' place, said to be that of honour among the Greeks, must mean the first on the first couch: and if Plutarch and Theophrastus are to be reconciled, it must be supposed that the host was second on the first couch. In Plato's Symposium, however, Agathon, the host, is placed on the last or lowest couch,ёбхатоs катакєі $\mu \in \nu$ оs ( p .175 C ); as the Roman host was usually summus in imo (though in Hor. S. 2, 8, 20 medius in imo). Probably there was no invariable custom.-Contests for precedence at table supply Lucian with some good touches. See the Dialogues of the gods c. 13: 'Zeus. Cease, Asclepius and Heracles, quarrelling like men. These things are unseemly and improper at the dinner-table of the gods. Heracles. But Zeus, would you have this druggist recline at table above me?... Zeus. Cease, I repeat, and do not disturb our party...Heracles, you may well allow Asclepius to take precedence of you. He died first.'
5. to Delphi to have his hair cut] On completing his 16th year (Bekker, Anecd. 255) an Athenian boy became technically










 on his formal enrolment among the者 $\phi \eta \beta_{0}$ did not take place till the 18th year) ${ }^{1}$. His long hair was then cut off, and a lock dedicated (usually) to some river-god,-as Orestes, in Aeschylus, offers his to the Inachus (Cho. 6); the first-fruits of the living body being thus symbolically offered to water, 'nourisher of youth' (кouporрb申оs). Athen. xi p. 495 § 88: 'Youths about to cut off the lock offer to Heracles a large cup filled with wine, which they call Oinisteria; and, having poured a libation, give it to the company to drink.' The old custom was to offer the lock to Apollo at Delphi -a place especially suitable to the rite in its inner meaning, since the abundance of water there was probably the chief reason for which Delphi was chosen as the central seat of worship (Curtius Hist. Gr. bk II c. 4). Compare Plut. Thes. c. 5: 'It being at that time still the custom that those who were passing out of boyhood into youth should go to Delphi and offer to the god a lock of their hair, Theseus went thither; and from him they say that a spot is still called the Thesea.'
6. an Aethioplan] The intercourse with the East then recently opened by Alexander's expedition had brought back slaves into fashion. Compare Alciphro's Letters (which refer to this period) II 2,5: 'From that moment he has not ceased sending me every kind of luxury,-dresses,
gold ornaments, maids, footmen, Indians male and female.' In the Rhetorica ad Herennium (prob. of Cicero's age) the pretender to wealth directs his slave to borrow an Aethiopian, and come for him to the baths (iv 50,63 ).
8. his hair cut very frequently] See note on c. Xxiv, 27 .
ro. anoint himself with unguent] Instead of using (at the baths or the gymnasium) plain olive-oil, he uses a thick perfumed unguent, хpïбнa. See Xen. Anab. IV 4, I3: ‘Abundant material for unguent ( $\chi$ р $\hat{\mu} \boldsymbol{\jmath}$ ) was found in the place, which they used instead of olive-oil (àv $\tau^{\prime}$ è $\lambda$ aiov). It was obtained from hog's lard, sesame, bitter almonds, and terebinths. The latter supplied also a liquid perfume ( $\mu \dot{v} p o \nu$ ).'-In Xenophon's Symposium II 3 the host proposes after dinner to send for $\mu$ ípov. Socrates objects, ob. serving that 'the olive-oil used in the gymnasia' is the only one which it befits a man to use.
II. the bankers' tables] A fashionable lounge. Plat. Apol. p. I7 C: 'Do not be surprised' (Socrates says) 'if you hear me defending myself in the same terms which I am wont to use in the market-place at the bankers' tables, where most of you have heard me.' Plutarch de Garrul. § 21 gives examples of the three kinds of reply which may be made to the question 'Is Socrates at home?' (r) The necessary; as 'Not at home.'
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will be careful, too, that his attendant shall be an Aethiopian: and, when he pays a mina, he will cause the slave to pay the sum in new coin. Also he will have his hair cut very frequently, and will keep his teeth white ; he will change his clothes, too, while still good; and will anoint himself with unguent. In the market- 10 place he will frequent the bankers' tables; in the gymnasia he will haunt those places where the young men take exercise; in the theatre, when there is a representation, he will sit near the Generals. For himself he will buy nothing, but will make purchases on commission for foreign friends-pickled olives to 15 go to Byzantium, Laconian hounds for Cyzicus, Hymettian
(2) The polite; as 'Not at home; he is at the bankers' tables.' (3) The superfluous; as "Not at home. He is at the bankers' tables, awaiting some Ionian strangers, for whom '-_etc. etc.
12. Where the young men talke exercise] Besides the palaestras or wrestlingschools, Athens had at this time three large gymnasia, provided with wrestlingrooms, baths, grounds for running and javelin practice, etc.-the Lyceum, the Cynosarges, and the Academy. These were open to persons of all ages; but separate parts were assigned to adults (i.e. persons above 20), ephebi (18-20), and boys. The ephebeum was a large hall with seats placed round it, opening off the colonnade which ran round the great court of the gymnasium. Here the best performances would generally be seen, and here, consequently, the man of petty ambition would find himself where he always desired to be-in the most popular resort.
13. near the Generals] In the Birds (794) Aristophanes mentions $\tau \dot{o}$ ßoviev$\tau \tau \kappa 6 \nu$, 'the senatorial places' in the theatre near the orchestra; and in the Wasps (575) the Strategi of that day are described as sticklers for their 'places of honour.' But it would seem that the seats for high officials were not very definitely marked off. See Demosth. in Meid. p. 572 § 178 , where the $\pi d \rho \in \delta \rho o s$ or coadjutor of the archon lays hands
upon a person who 'was taking posses-
 and attempts to expel him from the theatre. The incident shows that the public had access to the immediate neighbourhood of the official seats. In Plato's time a place in the ' orchestra-circle' could be obtained for 'a drachma at the most' (rod': Apol. p. 26 E ). Compare Hor. Ep. I I, 67 :
Grow rich, grow rich by fair means or by all, And view sad Pupius from a nearer stall.
16. Laconian hounds] A small breed of red dog (fulvus Laco, Hor. Epod. 6, 5), which the ancients supposed to have been got by a cross with a fox (Arist. $H$. A. vili 27). Pindar (frag. 73) speaks of 'the Laconian hounds, in chasing wild beasts keenest of all things that move'; Sophocles (Ai. 8) gives them the epithet 'true-scenting'; Virgil praises 'Sparta's swift small hounds' (Georg. III 405). Compare the Midsummer Night's Dream Iv, I, ' My hounds are bred out of the Spartan kind...A cry more tunable Was never holla'd to nor cheered with horn In Crete, in Sparta, nor in Thessaly.'
16. Cyzicus] in Mysia on the Propontis; once a dependency of Athens. The treaty of Antalcidas ( 387 b.c.) gave it, with the other towns of Asia, to the Persian king. At the death of Alexander it fell under the government of Leonnatus; and on his death in 322 under that of Antigonus.
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19. a satyr ape] a kind of shorttailed ape, to which the Greeks gave the name of tityrus. The Tityri, mythical companions of Dionysus, are sometimes identified with, sometimes distinguished from the Satyrs. There was also a kind of ape called $\sigma$ árupos (Ael. H.A. 16, 21: Plin. H.N. IV viri 54 , etc.): whether it was the same as the tityrus, does not appear. (In the Leipzig edition of 1897 it is suggested that the tityrus may have been a kind of bird, mentioned in Hesychius. But its identification as 'a shorttailed ape' is supported by Scholia in the Paris ms B and in the Munich Epitome, doubtless derived from a similar scholium on Theocritus (Diels, Theophrastea, pp. 15, 18).)
19. Sicillan doves] Philemon, the comic poet (circ. 330 B.c.), praises Sicily, among other things, for its doves (Athen. xiv p. 658 § 76 ). And Nicander (circ. x60 b.c.) is quoted in Athen. IX p. 395 § 5 I as saying, 'keep wheat-fed pigeons in thy house; or doves of Sicily, whom neither hawk nor falcon vexes.'
19. deer-horn dice] The áaтpáya入ou mentioned here (tali) were numbered on four sides, the other two being round: the кúßot (tesserae) on all six. Astragali, as the name implies, were properly knucklebones; here they are of the horn of the gazelle ( $\delta 0 \rho \kappa$ ás). In Athen. v p. $194 \S 22$ it is said that the capricious temper of Antiochus Epiphanes used to show itself in the unequal value of his presents:-'to some he would give deer-horn dice,-to others, dates,-to others, gold.' In

Lucian Amor. c. 16 a disconsolate lover amuses himself by throwing (to obtain an omen) 'four dice of the horn of the African gazelle ( $\Lambda \iota \beta \cup \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ סорк $\delta s$ ).' ('Deerhorn dice' are included in an inventory of the temple of Asclepius for 339-8 в.c., Corp. Inscr. Att. 11 766, 23.)
19. Thurian vases] 'Thurian' vases are not mentioned elsewhere. The peculiar shape meant by otporfoinos is explained by the description of an olive-jar in Appuleius Flor. I 9, 35 as 'onionshaped' (lenticulari forma), 'round and squat' (pressula rotunditate $=\sigma \tau \rho o \gamma \gamma$ údos).
20. walking-sticks with the true Laconian curve] The custom of carrying a walking-stick seems to have been regarded at Athens as especially Spartan. In the Ecclesiazusae (74) the women provide themselves with 'Laconian walkingsticks and men's dresses.' The fashion must have been common; for the invalid in the speech of Lysias (de Inval. p. 169 § 12) speaks of himself as 'using two walking-sticks, while other people use one.' The painter Parrhasius-a contemporary of Lysias-who affected personal splendour, is described as 'leaning on a cane studded with gold rings' (Athen. XII p. 543 § 62). In Demosth. adz. Pant. p. 982, however, 'carrying a walking-stick ' is mentioned as an offensive trait; either as suggesting an affectation of Spartanism, or as a mark of dandyism : see note on c. IV, II.

2I. a curtatn] a piece of tapestry hung on the walls of his dining-room. The tapestry which fell at the dinner-
honey for Rhodes; and will talk thereof to people at Athens. Also he is very much the person to keep a monkey; to get a satyr ape, Sicilian doves, deerhorn dice, Thurian vases of the approved rotundity, walking-sticks with the true Laconian curve, 20 and a curtain with Persians embroidered upon it. He will have a little court provided with an arena for wrestling and a ballalley, and will go about lending it to philosophers, sophists, drill-sergeants, musicians, for their displays; at which he himself
party of Nasidienus, and showered dust upon the table, was probably hung on the walls: Hor. S. II 8, 54. Horace speaks of 'the dinners of poor men without tapestries or purple': Od. III 29, 14.The subject of the embroidery is a victory of Greeks over Persians; as the Painted Porch at Athens (c. I 1. 6) was 'frescoed with the trowsered Medes'; and as, in the Roman theatre (Virg. Geo. III 25), 'Wrought on the gorgeous curtain, Britons rise.'
22. a little court provided with an arena] Xen. de Rep. Athen. II Io: 'Rich men have in some cases private gymnasia and baths with dressing-rooms.'
22. ball-alley] Various games with the hand-ball were popular in Greece; and a public gymnasium probably always. included a $\sigma \phi a \iota \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \iota v$. Horace tells us that he used to play 'the threecornered game' (of catching the ball) before taking the bath: $S$. 1 6, r26.
23. to philosophers] for a conversazione, such as in the Protagoras takes place at the house of Callias; where Socrates finds Protagoras pacing the colonnades with his 'sacred band' ( $\chi$ op 6 s) of disciples. Hippias and Prodicus are also there,--the latter quartered, so full is the house, in a store-room (Prot. p. 315 D). Plato's Callias is, in this respect, very much what the $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о ф \iota \lambda \delta \tau \iota \mu$ os aspires to be. The arcades surrounding the court of a public gymnasium were fitted with seats ( $\bar{\xi} \xi \delta \rho a \iota)$ and large semicircular benches ( $\dot{\eta} \mu t \kappa \dot{v} \kappa \lambda i a)$ ' where philosophers, rhetoricians, and literary men in general could sit and converse' (Vitr. V ri, 2).
23. to sophists] i.e. to professors of rhetoric. As rhetoric was the most important branch of the encyclopaedic practical education which the "sophists" professed to give, the term 'sophist' came to be more and more nearly identified with 'rhetorician'; until, under the Empire, it appears as its recognised synonym. Thus the rhetorician Libanius (circ. 340 A.D.) is expressly styled 'the Sophist.' --The miniature gymnasium was lent to the philosopher for a conversazione; it is lent to the 'sophist' for a formal declamation, or for one of those continuous florid expositions in which these professors loved to indulge. Compare Juv. VII 39:

## If to declaim is your aspiring bent,

Your patron's dingiest premises are lent.
24. drill-sergeants] who gave lessons in the use of the arms carried by the hoplite, i.e. the pike ( $\delta 6 \rho v$ ), the short sword, and the large oblong shield ( $\delta \pi \lambda$ 只 $)$ ). Thus they were not mere fencing-masters, but, like the Roman campidoctores, drill-sergeants. The scene of Plato's Laches is laid at the place where one of these men had just been displaying his dexterity ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \in \epsilon \kappa \nu \cup ̛ \mu \varepsilon \nu 0 \nu$, p. 171 E ); and the professional teaching of drill for money is there, as in the Euthydemus p. 272 D, spoken of as something new. Athenaeus quotes a statement that 'scientific fence under arms ${ }^{3}$ ( $\left.\delta \pi \lambda \lambda \mu a \chi l a s ~ \mu a \theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s\right)$ was first taught by one Dameas of Mantinea (Iv p. 154 §4). Compare Plut. an seni ger. s. resp. c. 18 p. 793 D : 'We do not leave our bodies absolutely without exercise when we can no longer use spades or
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jumping-weights ( $\dot{d} \lambda \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in s$, to give an impetus), or throw the quoit, or fight under arms ${ }^{\prime}(\dot{o} \pi \lambda о \mu a \chi \in i \nu)$. To the Greek States, which (except Sparta) had only a militia subject to little constant discipline, the professional drill-sergeants would be useful: comp. note on c. $\mathrm{x}, 9$.
24. musicians] Stratonicus, a contemporary of Theophrastus, is said to have been the first who made the advance from the playing of the cithara without any accompaniment ( $\psi \iota \lambda \grave{\eta} k \iota \theta \dot{a} p \iota \sigma t s$ ) to symphony ( $\pi$ o $\quad v \chi 0 \rho \delta(a)$, and took pupils in concerted music ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \nu \nu(\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu)$, and constructed a score ( $\delta$ (á $\gamma \mathrm{p} \alpha \mu \mu \mathrm{a}$ ): Athen. VIII p. $35^{2} \S 4^{6}$. We ought probably to understand $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o \nu i c o l$ here of this symphony-playing-then a novelty.
28. the skin of the forehead] For the meaning of the Greek word, see Her. VII 70: 'They had upon their heads the forehead-skins ( $\pi \rho \circ \mu \epsilon \tau \omega \pi i \delta i a$ ) of horses, flayed off with the ears and mane.' The skin of the victim's forehead is hung up, with garlands round it, over the doorway leading from the vestibule ( $\pi \rho b \theta v \rho o v$ ) into the court of the house. (In later writers it is called $\beta$ ouк $\rho \dot{v} v o \nu$, a term adopted in modern archaeological literature. The skin of the forehead is included in the cut reproduced on p. 175 of the Leipzig edition from Conze's Archäologische Unter-
suchungen auf Samothrake, I pl. 62.) Compare, for the form of the ostentation, Ar. Acharnians 989: 'He has thrown out these feathers before his door as a sample of his fare' (i.e. to inform passersby that he has had game for dinner).
30. a procession of the knights] The 1200 knights, commanded by the two Hipparchs and by the ten Phylarchs of the tribes, paraded publicly on several occasions. These occasions were chiefly of three classes: ( I ) the great festivals, especially the Panathenaea, to which the Chorus of Knights in Aristophanes allude, saying that their fathers were 'worthy of the robe' (Kn. 566) : and the Dionysia, Xen. Hipparch. 3 § z. (2) Certain periodical reviews, held, according to Xenophon, in four places,-in the grounds of the Lyceum; in the grounds of the Academy; in the hippodrome; and at the port of Phalerum: Xen. Hipp. 3, I. (3) Special occasions of public rejoicing or mourning, when the goddess on the acropolis was to be thanked or entreated. -The Roman Knights had but one annual ceremony corresponding to this; the transvectio, on the ides of July, to the temple of Castor in the forum from the temple of Mars without the wall.
32. putting on his cloak] In the procession a mantle ( $\chi \lambda a \mu \hat{v}^{\prime}$ ), instead of
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will appear upon the scene rather late, in order that the 25 spectators may say one to another, 'This is the owner of the palaestra.' When he has sacrificed an ox, he will nail up the skin of the forehead, wreathed with large garlands, opposite the entrance, in order that those who come in may see that he has sacrificed an ox. When he has been taking part in a pro- 30 cession of the knights, he will give the rest of his accoutrements to his slave to carry home ; but, after putting on his cloak, will walk about the market-place in his spurs He is apt, also, to buy a little ladder for his domestic jackdaw, and to make a little brass shield, wherewith the jackdaw shall hop upon the ladder. 35 Or if his little Melitean dog has died, he will put up a little memorial slab, with the inscription, a SCION OF MELITA. If he
the ordinary cloak, was probably worn,'purple and embroidered' acc. to the Schol. on Ar. Knights 566; as the Roman Knights paraded in the trabea or toga with purple stripes. The $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о ф \iota \lambda o ́ \tau \iota \mu o s$ does not shock public taste by walking about in this. He resumes his ordinary cloak ( $i \mu$ व́t $\tau 0 \nu$ ), and leaves the clinking of his spurs to hint the circumstance of which he is vain. (Originally only a single spur was used, Xenophon, de re equestri, 8, 5. The text is the earliest authority for two.)
(34 f. a little brass shield, wherewith the jackdaw shall hop upon the ladder] On p. 166 of the Leipzig edition there is a reproduction of a painting on a vase at Athens, Collignon's Catalogue, no. 566, representing a small crested bird, with its wing protected by a round shield. The note on p. 168 mentions a gem, with a crane on the point of climbing a ladder.)
36. his little Melitean dog] Plin. H. N. III 26: 'Next comes Corcyra, called Melaena (the Black), between which and Illyricum is Melita, from which Callimachus (circ. 280 b.c.) states that the little "Melitean" dogs take their name." The Black Corcyra is now Curzola; and this Melita is the long, narrow island s.e. of it, now called Meleda, in N. lat. 42, close to the eastern shore of the Adriatic. On these islands' see Sir G. Wilkinson's Dalmatia and Montenegro, I p. 257. This old account preserved by Pliny is
more to be trusted than Strabo's (vi 2) remark that Malta was the home of the breed, -a natural guess. 'Melitean' dogs had all the privileges of the modern lapdog. In Lucian de merced. cond. § 34, a lady requests a philosopher to carry 'Myrrhine': 'It was absurd to see the little dog peeping out of his cloak just under his chin, and barking in her small voice (such is the Melitean breed), and licking the philosopher's beard.' One of Alciphro's Letters expresses a. slave's terror at the accidental poisoning of ' Plangon, the little Melitean dog which we keep as a tame pet for the mistress, (III 22). (A vase-painting in the Annali dell' Instituto, 1852 t, reproduced in Edmonds and Austen's edition, p. 30, exhibits an Athenian youth taking a walk with his dog in front of him. Above the dog is the inscription, $\mathrm{Me}_{\mathrm{h} \ell \mathrm{ralce} .)}$
( 36 f. a little memorial slab] In the Anthologia Palatina, viI 211, we have the epitaph of a 'Melitean' dog. Several Greek inscriptions on pet-dogs are cited by Mr E. L. Hicks in the Journal of Hellenic Studies, III 130 f , where it is suggested that we should either make $\mathbf{K} \lambda \dot{d} \delta o s$ the name of the dog, or alter it into кá入入os. On p. 165 of the Leipzig edition we have a reproduction of a Lycian tablet carved with the figure of a small dog seated above his epitaph.)
37. a scion of Melita] The master








desires to proclaim that his dog was of the choice Melitean breed; and this he does in a characteristically high-flown phrase. Compare with $\kappa \lambda \lambda_{\delta} \delta$ os the poetical. use of $\varepsilon_{p \nu o s, ~}^{\theta d \lambda \lambda o s, b \text { bos, } \pi \tau b \rho \theta o s \text {. On the }}$ conjecture $\kappa a \lambda$ oss for $\kappa \lambda \alpha \delta o s$, see Crit.App.
38. a brass ring] Probably one of those which were worn as amulets, and which were supposed to have a protecting, or, for the sick, a healing virtue. The invalid, having recovered, dedicates to Asclepius the ring which, by the god's blessing, has helped to cure him. Compare Ar. Plutus 881: 'Informer. Where have you got this cloak? Just Man. I do not care for you; for I wear this ring which I bought from Eudemus for tenpence. Inf. But there is no charm against an informer's bite.' Clemens Alex. Strom. I p. 334 B: 'Execestus the tyrant of the Phocians used to wear two charmed rings
 their clink against each other the right moments for action. He died, however, by the hand of an assassin; though not before the clink had warned him, as saith Aristotle in his Polity of the Phocians.' (Hicks, l.c., 133, notices the rings of gold and iron in a treasure-list of the Parthenon, 398 B.C. It has, however, been suggested that we should here accept the proposal to alter $\delta \alpha \kappa \tau \cup \dot{\lambda} \iota o \nu$ into $\delta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \cup \lambda o \nu$, the votive offering of a bronze representation of a finger being specially appropriate in a 'temple of Asclepius.' Such fingers are actually mentioned in an inventory of the Asclepieum at Athens. See Crit. App.

A votive finger found in Cyprus is figured in Schreiber's Atlas, Xv II.)
38. in the temple of Asclepius] The Athenian Asclepieum stood on the slope of the Acropolis at the s.w. corner: Paus. I 21, 4. Plutarch, inquiring why the Roman temples of Aesculapius are always outside the city walls, observes that 'the Greeks have their temples of Asclepius placed on open and tolerably high ground ; and that his great Hellenic shrine -that at Epidaurus-was at some distance from the town' (Quaest. Rom. $\$ 94$ p. 286 D). This circumstance may have assisted the efficacy which a brief sojourn at the god's temple was supposed to have for invalids: Paus. II 27,63.
39. daily burnishings and oilings] The $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho \circ \phi \iota \lambda 6 \tau \mu \mu \sigma$, having dedicated a ring which, like that in Aristophanes (see n. $3^{8) \text {, is worth perhaps tenpence, visits }}$ the temple daily in order to see that it is kept in a state creditable to the donor.
40. from the presidents of the Senate] Public sacrifices on behalf of the state were frequently offered by the Senate of Five-Hundred, the members of the presiding section ( $\pi \rho \nu \tau \dot{d} \dot{\partial} \epsilon \epsilon$ ) conducting the ceremony. The place was probably either the Prytaneumadjoining the Senate-House on the north side, or the Metroum (temple of the Mother of the gods) on its south side. That the occasions were frequent appears from Antipho de choreut. p. 146 $\S 45$, where the duty of 'conducting rites (lepotoctiv) and sacrificing on behalf of the democracy' is spoken of as one which the
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has dedicated a brass ring in the temple of Asclepius, he will wear it to a wire with daily burnishings and oilings. It is just like him, too, to obtain from the presidents of the Senate by 40 private arrangement the privilege of reporting the sacrifice to the people; when, having provided himself with a smart white cloak and put on a wreath, he will come forward and say: 'Athenians! we, the presidents of the Senate, have been sacrificing to the Mother of the Gods meetly and auspiciously; 45 receive ye her good gifts!' Having made this announcement he will go home to his wife and declare that he is supremely fortunate.
prytanis had repeatedly performed during his five weeks of office.
41. the privilege of reporting the sacrifice] The more formal and systematic state-religion of Rome restricted the privilege of reporting the auspices (nuntiatio) to the magistrate who presided when they were taken; or to the augur who acted as his deputy. Here the $\mu<\kappa \rho o-$ $\phi \iota\langle\sigma \tau \iota \mu$ os obtains it as a personal favour; but, as appears from his address to the people, he was at least one of the fifty presidents of the Senate. (Hicks, l.c., 135 f., points out that the public decrees of Athens, ' from the middle of the fourth century onwards, are full of $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho \circ \phi \iota \lambda о \tau \iota-$ $\mu i \alpha$ '; and that, in the inscriptions after 300 b.c., 'instead of the national concerns of Greece, we have reports of how such and such officials have performed certain sacrifices.' 'The political importance of the prytanes' (or 'presidents of the Senate') 'having declined before the days of 'Theophrastus, it was natural that more prominence should be given to their religious functions.' 'Special mention is made of their Report of the favourable nature of their sacrifices.' 'In the time of Theophrastus, these Reports were taken as a matter of course.' But' there were already individuals who were glad to make' them 'an occasion of personal parade,' ib. 138-140.)
42. a smart white cloak and wreath] Aesch. in Ctes. p. 46 § 77 (speaking of
the joy shown by Demosthenes at the death of Philip) :...' Though his daughter was but a week dead, before he had mourned for her or discharged the fitting rites, he put on a garland, clad himself in white, and proceeded to offer burnt sacrifice.'
45. to the Mother of the Gods] In her temple on the east side of the Marketplace, immediately south of the SenateHouse. Here were kept the graven tablets of the laws (Lycurg. in Leocr. p. $156 \S 66)$ and the original drafts of the decrees of the Ecclesia (Aesch. in Ctes. p. $80 \S 187$ ). Athenio (afterwards leader of the Servile war) is said to have stolen some of these aútóypaфa from the Metroum during a popular tumult (Ath. v p. $2 \mathrm{I}_{4}$ § 53). (See also Pausanias I 3, 5, with Frazer's note.)
46. receive ye her good gifts] A regular formula. See no. 54 of the $\pi \rho o o t \mu a$, or exordia for public speeches, ascribed (though improbably) to Demos-thenes:-'Our (senatorial) province has been duly discharged for you. We have sacrificed to Zeus the Saviour, to Athene, and to Victory; and these sacrifices have been fair and prosperous for you. We have sacrificed also to Persuasion and to the Mother of the Gods and to Apollo; and here also the sacrifices were favourable...Receive, therefore, these blessings at the hands of the gods.'

## ówıäías $\eta^{\prime}$.








I. Late-learning] The man described here is one who, from whatever cause, was prevented in his youth from acquiring those accomplishments which were included in the Greek idea of a liberal education, and which belonged to one or other of its two higher branches,- 'music' and 'gymnastics.' He comes in later life into the society of people with whom his early education places him at a disadvantage; and a sense of this makes him ambitious to repair the defect. Instead, however, of taking up self-culture at the point and in the branches which mature years prescribe, he falls into the error of M. Jourdain. He attempts to start afresh; to acquire, by sudden application, things which must be learned early and gradually; and which, even if they could be learned to good purpose now, demand more time than a man ought to spend in sacrificing to the graces.

Just as, in the man of Petty Ambition, the love of honour is made mean by a low estimate of what is honourable, so in the
 $\delta_{\iota} \delta a \sigma \kappa \sigma_{\mu \epsilon \nu}$ s is made absurd by a wrong choice of studies. The best point in the character is its respect for culture; the weakest, its pride in accomplishments which seem precious because they have long been admired from a distance.

These were the ideas ordinarily conveyed by the word $\delta \psi \iota \mu a \theta$ its,-a term analogous, from ane point of view, to 'pedant.' Timaeqs called Aristotle $\delta \psi<-$
$\mu a \theta \hat{\eta}$ бофьтTท'p, 'a pedantic sophist,' for presuming to criticise the Locrian polity (Polyb. XII 9, 4). Gellius notes the tendency to bring in new or obsolete words in writing and speaking as ' $a$ vice of latelearning, which the Greeks call $\dot{\delta} \iota \iota \mu 01 a$ ' (XI 7, 3). 'You know how insolent,' says Cicero, 'are late-learners' (Fam. IX 20, 2). In ridiculing the taste for interlarding Latin with Greek, Horace himself sets an example of abstinence, by paraphrasing into seri studiorum the term for which his own language supplied no equivalent ( $S$. 1, 10, 2 I).
3. passages for recitation] See note on c. III, 5 .
5. 'Right Wheel,' 'Left Wheel'] To turn towards the right was to turn 'towards the spear-hand'; to the left, 'towards the shield-hand ' (or, for cavalry, $\epsilon \pi l i n l a \nu$, 'towards the bridle-hand'). Thus, Xen. Cyr. vil 5, 6, $\mu \in \tau \in \beta$ á $\lambda_{0 \nu T o ~}^{\text {, }}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ ' $a \sigma \pi i \delta a$, 'they wheeled to the left.' Xenophon often uses the phrases in reference to slantwise marching: e.g. $\dot{\pi} \pi l$ obv $\dot{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a$, to lead one's men on their own right (Anab. IV 3, 26). See his Lacon. Resp. in, 8: 'The Lacedaemonians do with the greatest ease even those things which drill-sergeants consider most difficult. When they are marching in column ( $\epsilon \pi l \kappa \xi \rho \omega s$ ), one section ( $\bar{\ell} \nu \omega \mu 0 \tau l a)$ of a company is, of course, behind another. Now if, at such a moment, the enemy appear in front in phalanx, the word is passed to the commander of each section

## VIII (XXVII). The Late-Learner.

Late-learning would seem to mean the pursuit of exercises for which one is too old.

The Late-Learner is one who will study passages for recitation when he is sixty, and break down in repeating them over his wine. He will take lessons from his son in 'Right Wheel,' 5 'Left Wheel,' ' Right-about-face.' At the festivals of heroes he will match himself against the boys for a torch-race; nay, it is just like him, if haply he is invited to a temple of Heracles, to throw off his cloak and seize the ox in order to bend its neck


#### Abstract

to form in front, coming up upon the left ( $\epsilon l$ ls $\mu \dot{́} \tau \omega \pi о \nu \pi a \rho^{3}$ à $\sigma \pi l \delta a$ кäl $\left.\sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota\right) . '$ 6. at the festivals of heroes] Be cause no festival common to all the heroes is mentioned by Greek writers, this allusion has been treated as obscure. But each of the heroes had his own festival. Such were the Theseia at Athens, the Aiaceia at Aegina, the Aianteia at Salamis, the Diocleia at Megara. The terms in which Thucydides mentions the honour paid to Brasidas at Amphipolis imply that an annual festival, eoprty, was always celebrated in memory of a canonised hero (V II). In Plutarch's praecepta de ger. resp. c. $15 \S 7$ a man is spoken of as 'giving the banquet in some festival at  revas); and probably, where tradition pointed to the grave,-as in the case of Eurystheus, buried at Pallene near Athens, Eur. Her. 1031,-the festival would be held there. Compare the honours paid by Alexander to the tomb of Achilles at Sigeum, Arrian I II.


7. for a torch-race] The most probable account of the torch-race is that it was contested by two or more parallel chains of runners; along each a torch was passed; and the runners of that chain which carried its torch most quickly to the goal were collectively the winners. The length of the course at the great festivals was about half-a-mile. True to his principle of beginning at the begin-
ning, the Late-Learner does not compete with the ${ }^{2} \phi \eta \beta o l$, but enters for the boys' race. (Torch-races were held, not only at the Panathenaea and the festivals of Hephaestus and Prometheus, but also at those held in honour of heroes. These last continued throughout the night, Corp. Inscr. Att. II add. n. 453 b, $\dot{\eta} \rho \Psi^{\prime} о \iota s . . . \pi a \nu-$ $\nu u \chi i \delta a s$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$. The Ephebi took part in such races at the Theseia and Aiąnteia, Corp. Inscr. Att. I 466, 9, ràs

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{Ala} \mathrm{\nu} \mathrm{\tau} \mathrm{\epsilon}(\omega \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \pi о \mu \pi \eta \nu \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \in \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu$

8. to a temple of Heracles] Small chapels or shrines of Heracles were probably numerous in Attica,-his worship being associated with that of Theseus. See Plut. Thes. $35^{\text {'Theseus, on his }}$ release (from Hades, by Heracles), returned to Athens; and all those sacred enclosures ( $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \eta$ ) which were formerly his, and which had been set apart for him by the city, he consecrated to Heracles, and called, instead of Thesea, Heraclea.' The same legend is given by Euripides, H. F. ${ }_{1327}$, where these sanctuaries are spoken of as existing 'throughout the land' ( $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v} \chi^{00 \nu \delta s}$ ). Heracles had also an altar in the outer Cerameicus: Paus. 130 § I.
9. seize the ox] The $\delta \psi \iota \mu a \theta$ n's has been invited by a friend to assist at a sacrifice. Eager to display his strength,











he throws off his cloak and seizes the head of the victim,-drawing it back so as to expose the throat to the knife. So IL. I 459, 'they drew back the head and cut the throat' ( $\alpha \cup \in \notin p u \sigma a \nu \kappa \alpha l \neq \sigma \phi a \xi \alpha \nu)$. The word $\tau \rho a \chi \eta \lambda i 5 \omega$ was used of a wrestler seizing his adversary by the throat, and bearing back his head: Plut. de curios. 12, 'See the athlete with his neck in the grip of a boy' ( $\tau \rho a \chi \eta \lambda \iota \zeta b-$ $\mu \in \nu o \nu)$.-There is no special fitness in the sacrifice of the ox to Heracles; it was the ordinary victim at a sacrifice of the more costly kind: see c. viI, 27. A bull was probably the peculiar victim in the worship of Heracles and Theseus,-the two bull-slayers of legend; and it was also one of the three animals (suovetaurilia) offered to the heroes generally: see Diod. IV 39.
10. palaestras] He scoms the promiscuous company at the gymnasia, and goes to the palaestras, the regular wrestling schools: see note on c. XIX, 16.
II. at a conjuror's performance] See note on c. XVI, 6. The conjuror's entertainment is here varied by songs. It has been proposed, but needlessly, to read $\theta \epsilon \alpha \mu \mu \sigma \iota$, i.e. 'stage plays.'
11. Sabazius] On this character of Dionysus see note on c. xxviir, 9 .
12. to acquit himself best] In the Speech de Corona Aeschines is described as assisting his mother in the mystic ceremonial by which she professed to
purge guilt; instructing the candidates when to rise from their knees, and prescribing the formula which they were to recite (p. 313 § 259). The candidate for initiation in the rites of Sabazius is anxious to be perfect in a lesson of this kind.
13. on a tenth-day festival] On the tenth day after birth a child received its name, the parents holding a sacrifice ( $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha, \eta \eta \nu \quad \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \iota \nu$ ) and entertaining their friends. Peisthetaerus in the Birds replies to the begging poet who pretends that he has long sung the praises of the new Cloud-city, 'Have I not this very moment held its tenth-day festival, and named it like a child?' One of the objects of entertaining a large company on this occasion was similar to that which was served by the wedding-feast-viz, to secure witnesses in case the legitimacy of the child should afterwards be disputed: see Demosth. Adv. Boeot. de nom. p. 1001 § 22, Isaeus de Pyrrhi her. p. 45 § 7o.
r7. to play the flute with him] The $\delta \psi c \mu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} s$ aims at distinction in the two especially liberal branches of Greek education; gymnastics and music. The Roman feeling (under the Republic at least) that there was something unmanly in being skilful on a musical instrument was very different from the Greek. Aristotle speaks of such skill as 'worthy of a free man, and honourable ( $\dot{\epsilon} \in \cup \theta \in \rho \iota \rho \nu$ кal ка入ウ่ : Polit. viII 3). In Plato's Lawes
back. He will go into the palaestras and try an encounter; at io a conjuror's performance he will sit out three or four audiences, trying to learn the songs by heart ; and, when he is initiated into the rites of Sabazius, he will be eager to acquit himself best in the eyes of the priest. Riding into the country on another's horse, he will practise his horsemanship by the way; and, falling, 15 will break his head. On a tenth-day festival he will assemble persons to play the flute with him... He will play at tableaux vivants with his footman; and will have matches at archery and javelin-throwing with his children's attendant, whom he exhorts, at the same time, to learn from him,-as if the other knew 20 nothing about it either. At the bath he will wriggle frequently, as if wrestling, in order that he may appear educated; and, when
it is recommended that a boy should have music lessons from the age of thirteen to that of sixteen (p. 809 E ).
14. play at tableaux vivants] Nothing whatever is known as to the nature of the amusement called $\mu$ ккро̀ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \iota \alpha \nu \tau a$ $\pi a i \zeta \epsilon \omega$ : nor is the text certain: see Crit. App. Ast proposed to read malєtv: 'he will fence at a tall dummy'-the du $\delta \rho(a) s$ serving the purpose of the wooden post at which Roman swordmasters taught their pupils to cut and thrust: 'Who has not seen the wounds of the post?' Juv. vi 247 . As this exercise could scarcely be competitive, Ast wished to transfer $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\dot{\alpha} \kappa 6 \lambda o u \theta o \nu$ to the next clause. Coray read
 statuette between his hands (to harden them)." This curious interpretation was suggested by a passage in Diog. Laert. (VI 23), which says of Diogenes the cynic that, to harden his frame, he used 'to roll on smooth sand in summer, and in winter to embrace statues covered with snow.'
15. archery and javelin-throwing] Both these were among the exercises of the gymnasium; but they were esteemed in very different degrees. Archery was not a subject of contest at the great festivals; and the bowmen of Greek armies in historical times were usually of an inferior social grade; at Athens,

Scythian slaves, at Sparta, Helots (Xen. Hellen. Iv 5). Javelin-throwing, on the other hand, was one of the five exercises of the pentathlum at the great contests, and was therefore systematically practised from boyhood. One of Antiphon's speeches turns on a case of a boy having accidentally shot another 'while practising the javelin with his fellows at the gymnasium' (Tetral. II 3 § 3).
20. to learn from him] Compare Plutarch de fort. Alex. II c. I: 'Philip, also, was in these things (jealousy of professional artists) smaller and more puerile than his true self, because his accomplishments had come late (ín' $\quad \dot{\psi}$ ццuatias). Thus they say that when he was once wrangling with a harper about the execution of a passage, and fancied that he was confuting him, the man smiled quietly and answered, "Far from you, O king, be the degradation of understanding these things better than me."'
21. at the bath] See note on c. xIV 28.
(21. he will wriggle frequentily] The term $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \circ$ is applied to wrestlers in Theocritus xxiv 10g.)
22. that he may appear educated] The popular Greek ideal of a good education is expressed in Plato's Theages p. 122 E : 'Did not your father have you educated in the same things in which
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all other gentlemen's sons (oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa d \dot{a} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu v i \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \hat{s}$ ) are educated-for instance, letters, harp-playing, wrestling, and other exercises?' Arist. Polit. viil 3, 'There are chiefly four branches of educationletters, gymnastics, music, and (in some cases) painting...for painting, also, seems useful in enabling one to judge better of artists' work.' It is interesting to compare the popular with the higher Greek conception of 'the educated man.' Aristotle says (Eth. iv 1, 3) that to the consideration of every subject may be brought two valuable things-first, special knowledge ( $\epsilon \pi เ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ ), and secondly, 'a
 The man of special knowledge is the arbiter of fact; the 'educated' man is the critic of method. So in Plato's Erastae (p. 135 D) it is said that the philosopher is able, as becomes 'a freeborn and educated man,' to follow the statements of the special artist ( $\delta \eta \mu \mathrm{L}-$ oupyos) better than the general company can; and Socrates observes that this makes the man of culture, like the
pentathlete, 'a sort of second-best all
 p. 136 A).
(23. practise dancing steps] A terra-cotta from Myrina representing the typical old-man of Attic comedy, dancing and throwing kisses with his right hand, is reproduced in the Leipzig edition, p. 228, from the original in Vienna.)

1. Unseasonableness] This, in its general sense, includes another character described by Theophrastus,-that of $\pi \in \rho-$ epyia, Officiousness. But between Unseasonableness in its strict sense and Officiousness there are two points of difference. The unseasonable man does the wrong thing at the wrong time; the mistake of the officious man consists either in doing a thing (in itself opportune) too well, or in undertaking it when it is beyond his power. The officious man always acts with a kind purpose, and has his attention habitually directed to the needs of others: the unseasonable man blunders chiefly through thinking too exclusively of himself.
women are near, he will practise dancing-steps, warbling his own accompaniment.

## IX (XII). The Unseasonable Man.

Unseasonableness consists in a chance meeting disagreeable to those who meet.

The Unseasonable man is one who will go up to a busy person, and open his heart to him. He will serenade his mistress when she has a fever. He will address himself to a 5 man who has been cast in a surety-suit, and request him to become his security. He will come to give evidence when the trial is over. When he is asked to a wedding, he will inveigh against womankind. He will propose a walk to those who have just come off a long journey. He has a knack, also, of bringing io a higher bidder to him who has already found his market. He loves to rise and go through a long story to those who have


#### Abstract

4. serenade] The 'comastes' was not always the midnight reveller armed with 'flambeaux and levers and bows that threaten the barred doors' (Hor. Od. III 26, 6). Sometimes he is merely the prototype of the modern serenader. Such is the 'comastes' in Theocritus (III r); such the player of the 'quavering flute' against whom Horace warns Asterie (Od. III 7, 20). Compare Lucian Marin. Dial. I 4. 'Galatea. Polyphemus is quite musical too. Doris. Oh, Galatea! We heard his singing when be went to serenade you the other day' (ómóтє  6. cast in a surety-suit] Sureties were required by Athenian law in two cases chiefly: (1) in public causes, for the appearance of the accused on the day of trial. If he failed to appear, his surety became liable to the penalty for contempt of court; and, in consideration of the risk run, the surety was allowed to hold the bailee in confinement till the day for his appearance (Xen. Hellen. I 7, 35, 


public and certain private causes, surety was taken for the satisfaction of the judicial award. If the principal made default, his surety was liable for the money, and was sued in a 'surety-suit' (E่ $\gamma$ रóns $\delta$ (к $)$ ). But this responsibility was limited to one year from the time when the principal's liability was incurred (Dem. adv. Apat. p. goi).
8. he will inveigh against womankind] He does this in their presence; for the wedding-feast was the one entertainment in which Greek manners permitted respectable women to take part. Plato proposed that the statutable wed-ding-party should consist of twenty persons, ten of either sex (Laws VI p. 775 A ). At the wedding-feast described by Lucian, the women, with the bride, are placed on one side of the table, the male guests on the other (Symp. cc. 8 ff .). (At the same feast, a tirade against marriage was received with laughter because it was unseasonable, ws
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15. and Incurring expense] Since, after a sacrifice, it was usual to entertain friends: see note on c. $x v, 5$.
19. assisting at an arbitration] As an advocate of one of the two parties: see note on c. in, 8 .
21. Who is not yet drunk] The Roman 'nemo saltat sobrius' implied that dancing was altogether incompatible with the dignity of a freeborn man. This was not the Greek feeling. The remark in the text only means that dancing, the ultimate expression of joy, in when a man dances in cold blood. Cf. Athen. XIv p. 629:-‘Well says Damon the Athenian that songs and dances must come when the soul is at all stirred. Liberal and beautiful souls impart the same qualities to their dances and songs; souls of the opposite kind,
the opposite. Wherefore also the saying of Cleisthenes the despot of Sicyon was witty, and the sign of a cultivated understanding. Having seen, as they say, one of his daughter's suitors dance in a vulgar manner-it was Hippocleides the Atheman -he said that "he had danced off his marriage"; deeming, as it seems, that the soul of the man resembled his dancing.' (Cf. Her. vi 129.)

1. Officlousness] The desire to please, either by rendering an extraordinary service or by performing an ordinary one unusually well, is present in every act ascribed to this character. 'Officiousness' therefore seems to render it better than the more literal 'Overbusiness,' which is too harsh. The distinction between dipt$\sigma \kappa \epsilon l a$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon p \gamma i a$ scarcely needs to be pointed out; the good-will in the latter
heard it and know it by heart; he is zealous, too, in charging himself with offices which one would rather not have done, but is ashamed to decline. When people are sacrificing and incur- 15 ring expense, he will come to demand his interest. If he is present at the flogging of a slave, he will relate how a slave of his own was once beaten in the same way-and hanged himself; or, assisting at an arbitration, he will persist in embroiling the parties when they both wish to be reconciled. And, when he is 20 minded to dance, he will seize upon another person who is not yet drunk.

## X (XIII). The Officious Man.

Officiousness would seem to be, in fact, a well-meaning presumption in word or deed.

The Officious man is one who will rise and promise things beyond his power; and who, when an arrangement is admitted to be just, will oppose it, and be refuted. He will insist, too, on 5 the slave mixing more wine than the company can finish; he will separate combatants, even those whom he does not know; he will undertake to show the path, and after all be unable to find his way. Also he will go up to his commanding officer, and ask when he means to give battle, and what is to be his order io

[^20]c. XXVII the uncontrollable terror, of a badly-trained militiaman. Touches like these well illustrate the character of the Athenian military force-one which it shared with that of every Greek state except Sparta. Xenophon says with truth that the Spartans alone were "true artists in war; the other Greeks, hasty amateurs ( $\alpha$ útoס $\chi \in \delta \iota a \sigma \tau \alpha l$ ) in campaigning' (Lac. Polit. 13, 5).
ro. When he means to give battle] Compare Plutarch Demetr. c. 28: 'It is said that when Demetrius was a boy he asked his father (Antigonus) when they were to march. Antigonus replied in anger: "Are you miserable lest you should be the only person who does not hear the trumpet?" $"$
10. What is to be his order] The
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present tense implies in Greek a certain obsequiousness which makes the indiscreet zeal more absurd. - $\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ 'to pass the word,' which the commander gives to his lieutenants and they to their subalterns. The $\pi \varepsilon \rho l \epsilon \rho \gamma o s$ must be supposed to be a brigadier commanding (as 'taxiarch') the infantry or (as 'phylarch') the cavalry of his tribe.
r4. a deceased woman's tombstone] Casaubon doubted whether ruvaikts meant the man's own wife; but, to say nothing of the fact that her husband is mentioned among those who zevere estimable, this would have been Tभ̂s $\gamma$ uvaucbs or $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ aữov̂ $\gamma$ vuackós: see XVIII, XxiII, xxiv, xxvili. Some relative of the $\pi \varepsilon \rho l$ epyos is meant, whose funeral it devolved upon him to superintend.
14. the name of her husband] It may be inferred from this passage that it was usual at the time to write upon a woman's tomb merely her own name, with perhaps that of her husband, if she had been married, or of her father, but not both. There is a very evident emphasis
upon ruvackos: the strangeness of the fuller inscription consists in the fact that the tomb is a woman's. The same feeling which placed a woman's glory in the absolute silence of her life (Thuc. II 45) may have suggested-what, indeed, it made inevitable-that her tombstone should say little. Plato was legislating for his own sex only, when he permitted tombstones to record 'the praises of the deceased in not more than four heroic verses' (Lazes xv p. 958 E ). Pausanias notices it as peculiar at Sicyon that 'they add no inscription, but after simply stating the name of the deceased, without intimating his descent (oủ тãpbecy $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ), bid 'Farewell to the dead' (II 7, 3). (The tombstone of a native Athenian woman was usually inscribed with 'her own name and the name of her father and his deme. If, however, she were married, her husband's name and deme were always given...In no case do we find the name of the woman's mother given, as it is by the Officious man. Neither is he right, in the case of an
for the day after tomorrow. When the doctor forbids him to give wine to the invalid, he will say that he wishes to try an experiment, and will drench the sick man. Also he will inscribe upon a deceased woman's tombstone the name of her husband, of her father, and of her mother, as well as her own, with the $\mathrm{r}_{5}$ place of her birth; recording further that 'All these were Estimable Persons.' And when he is about to take an oath he will say to the bystanders, 'This is by no means the first that I have taken.'

## XI (XX). The Unpleasant Man.

Unpleasantness may be defined as a mode of address which gives harmless annoyance.

The Unpleasant man is one who will come in and awake a person who has just gone to sleep, in order to chat with him. He will detain people who are on the very point of sailing; 5 indeed he will go up to them and request them to wait until he

Attic woman, in naming her birth-place.' Phrases like $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau 0 i=\pi d \nu \tau \epsilon s$ are not found on Attic tombstones; E. L. Hicks, in Journal of Hellenic Studies, iii 141-3.)
(r8. this is by no means the first that I have taken] Similarly, in a fragment of Menander, $569:-$
${ }^{\text {' }}$ Glycera, what mean these tears, I swear to you,...
I, who have swom full many a time before.')
I. Unpleasantness] The epithet 'harmless' ( $\alpha \nu \varepsilon v \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta s)$ with which the 'annoyance' given by the character is qualified, seems merely an attempt by the composer of the Definition to indicate that $\lambda u{ }^{\prime} \pi \eta$, 'pain,' is not to be understood in a material sense. Thus the Shameless man, for instance, does not merely offend the taste, but sometimes inflicts positive damage, $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \eta$,-as on the butcher from whom he steals tripe. The Unpleasant man on the other hand -says the Definition-is annoying in an aesthetic sense only.

The outlines of this Character are not
firmly drawn; the traits which it includes do not seem distinctly referable to any one dominant moral quality: it is altogether a slight sketch, put together from observations and impressions which have not been thoroughly sorted or analysed. It has elements in common with at least three characters which are elsewhere treated separately and fully:-I. The Unpleasant man is unseasonable. He disturbs a friend's sleep that he may talk to him, and keeps a ship waiting while he takes a walk. 2. He is boastful; as when he speaks of his cistern and of his cook. 3. He is gross, i.e. a coarse jester; as in the question which he addresses to his mother.

No one of these tendencies is strongly marked; but they are so blended as to form a whole which would, in English phrase, be most nearly described by Illbreeding; meaning thereby a want of tact which is not accidental, but is due to a defect, natural or engendered, in sure good-feeling.






 $\pi a \nu \delta o \kappa \epsilon i o ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \cdot \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ả $\epsilon i$ ' кaì тoùs фílous aítồ





## $\delta \nu \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha s ~ \iota \beta^{\prime}$.







[^21]Dialogues of the Dead (XI 4) the shades of two philosophers converse mournfully on the uselessness of instilling truths into minds which have no power to retain them :-'It was just the case of these daughters of Danaus, for ever refilling the sieve-like cask.'
16. Will show off the qualities of his parasite] He draws attention, at his own table, to the appetite of his parasite,-incites him to buffoonery,and, in short, displays him as one of his possessions. The abject condition of the professional Athenian parasite is vividly set forth in Alciphro's Letters,-who, in this as in other things, seems to have drawn upon the poets of the Middle and New Comedy. The parasite is described as ever hesitating between two evils-on the one hand, gaunt hunger-on the
has taken a stroll. He will take his child from the nurse, and feed it from his own mouth, and chirp endearments to it, calling it 'papa's little rascal.' He is apt, also, to ask before his relations, 'Tell me, mammy,-when you were bringing me into io the world, how went the time?' He will say that he has cool cistern-water at his house, and a garden with many fine vegetables, and a cook who understands dressed dishes. His house, he will say, is a perfect inn-always crammed; and his friends are like the pierced cask-he can never fill them with $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ his benefits. Also, when he entertains, he will show off the qualities of his parasite to his guest; and will say, too, in an encouraging tone over the wine, that the amusement of the company has been provided for.

## XII (XIX). The Offensive Man.

Offensiveness is distressing neglect of the person.
The Offensive man is one who will go about with a scrofulous or leprous affection, or with his nails overgrown, and say that these are hereditary complaints with him; his father had them, and his grandfather, and it is not easy to be smuggled into his
other, not indignities merely, but blows, cuffs, all manner of ill-usage from his patron and his patron's guests (iII 6, 7 , 49). His position is unbearable: he thinks of taking to the road with a band of brigands who lie in wait at the Scironian rocks for travellers to Corinth; he attempts small parts at the theatre, and implores his brother parasites to come and applaud; he even tries country life; but it is in vain; he always relapses into the old dilemma between starvation and maltreatment (III 70, 7I). The parasite in Plautus and Terence holds, if not a higher, at least a safer position.

The word 'parasite' is said to occur in a bad sense first in a fragment of Arārōs ('Apapós) the son of Aristophanes, whose first piece, acc. to Suidas, was acted in Ol. rol (376-372 b.c.: Meineke, Firag. Com. Gr. I 343, III 273; Kock, II 2r5). In older times 'parasite' was a term of
honour, meaning a person appointed to assist the magistrates in celebrating sacrificial feasts, and otherwise called $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \theta o \iota \nu o s:$ Athen. p. 234 § 26.
I. Offensiveness] The appropriation of the word $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho e c a$ to the special sense which it bears here is remarkable. It is perhaps the strongest example of a characteristic common in some degree to all these sketches-that they treat general terms simply in reference to the particular meaning, however arbitrary, which the social usage of the day had fixed upon them: see c. III, note 1. It may be accidental, but seems worthy of notice, that twice in the Philoctetes of Sophocles $\delta v \sigma \chi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \in \iota a$ is used precisely in the sense to which it is restricted here-when the sufferer speaks of the annoyance which his malady must cause to those with whom he sails: vv. 473, 900.
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6. rancid oil] Compare Juvenal v 88 :-
Your humbler sauceboats know the grosser oil Which came in wherries from Jugurtha's soil ; Which helps the Moor to bathe in peace at Rome, And guards his countrymen from snakes at home.
7. a thick tunic] He wears the lightest summer mantle over such a tunic as is worn only in winter. Aristophanes in the Birds ( $7 \mathrm{I}_{4}$ ) speaks of the time when-

The swallow brings us news,
'Tis time to sell the winter cloak and buy the summer blouse:
and Horace of the man who wears-
In June a cape, a jersey when it snows.
( $E_{p p .} \mathrm{I}$ xi 18.)
I. Stupidity] In Eth. $N$. II 7 Aristotle observes that there is no proper name for those who care too little about pleasure; but proposes to call them insensible (avaíaضtro). The word is
used here in a general meaning, of one whose 'perceptions' are slow. All the phases of this slowness described by Theophrastus have a common charac-teristic,-inattention to the immediate present. It is because the $\dot{d} v a l \sigma \theta \eta r o s$ is seldom thinking of what he is doing at the moment that his actions leave no stamp upon his memory, and that he forgets an engagement just formed. For the same reason, when social pressure hurries him into speaking or acting on the instant, he is apt to say or do mechanically something which does not suit the occasion.
3. after doing a sum] In c. vi it is said of the Boastful man that, when sitting in a public place among strangers, he will ask one of them to 'set up the counters' ( $\theta$ eival $\tau$ ds $\psi$ भो申ous) in order to verify a boast which he has made. These
family...He will use rancid oil to anoint himself at the bath; and will go forth into the market-place wearing a thick tunic, and a very light cloak, covered with stains.

## XIII (XIV). The Stupid Man.

Stupidity may be defined as mental slowness in speech and action.

The Stupid man is one who, after doing a sum and setting down the total, will ask the person sitting next him 'What does it come to ?' When he is defendant in an action, and it is about 5 to come on, he will forget it and go into the country; when he is a spectator in the theatre, he will be left behind slumbering in solitude. If he has been given anything, and has put it away himself, he will look for it and be unable to find it. When the death of a friend is announced to him, in order that he may ro come to the house, his face will grow dark-tears will come into his eyes-and he will say 'Heaven be praised!' He is apt, too, when he receives payment of a debt, to call witnesses; and in winter-time to quarrel with his slave for not having bought

[^22]take part in the 'mourning' ( $\tau \delta \kappa \hat{\eta} \delta o s$ ) then made, was thought unfeeling neglect : Isocr. Aegin. p. 390. See Demosth. adv. Macart. p. 107 I § 64, 'These female relations he invites both to be present at the laying out of the dead, and to follow him to the grave.' Plut. de Consol. ad Ux. c. 3 , 'This also is mentioned with surprise by those who visited the house (oi $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu b \mu \epsilon \nu 0$, i.e. during the $\pi \rho 6 \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau s)$, that you have not put on mourning...nor was there any show of splendour or pomp about the burial.' So in the Andria (106) I 1,79 , the mourner often (frequens) visits the house of death.
13. call witnesses] as if he were making, instead of receiving, a payment. Compare Dem. in Phorm. p. 9 r 5 § 30 , 'I suppose you all know that (these men) borrow with few witnesses, but call many when they pay.'
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15. cucumbers] In the Peace (roor) Trygaeus prays 'that the marketplace may be full of good things-large garlic, early cucumbers, apples, pomegranates.' But the Stupid man forgets that it is not even spring yet.
16. make his children wrestle] Through mere dull inadvertence he incites his children to continue their violent exercise long after signs of fatigue have begun to appear. Athletics filled a large place in the life of a Greek; but his instinct for moderation in this as in other things is often marked. See Plato's Erastae p. I33 E (where Socrates wants to show that polymathy is not philosophy), 'Pray, now, do you consider that in the gymnasia heavy work ( $\phi(\lambda o \pi o \nu(a)$ is athleticism ( $\phi$ i $\quad$ oरvuvaбla) ?' Aristotle says that gymnastic science is the knowledge of the moderate in toil: Eth. N. I 6,4.
17. and run races] Eur. Medea 46,
'Here come in my children from their races'- $\epsilon \kappa \tau \rho b \chi \omega \nu$, where a variant is $\epsilon \kappa$ т $\rho \circ \chi$ ©̂̀ ( $\tau \rho 0 \chi$ bs) 'from their hoops.' Mr Sheppard understands $\tau \rho 0 \chi \dot{d} \zeta \in \omega$ here of trundling hoops; but elsewhere the word always means to run races. (It is equivalent to $\tau \rho \in \chi \in L \nu$ in Xenophon, Cyrop. II 4, 3, Anab. vir 3, 46, Hellen. vil 2, 22.) An anonymous critic suggested $\tau \rho o \chi l \zeta \in \omega$ : but this (though supported by the analogy
of $\sigma \phi a \iota \rho l \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu)$ does not occur in the sense of 'driving a hoop.' Probably the word for that would have been к $\rho \iota к \eta \lambda a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, or perhaps $\tau \rho \circ \chi \eta \lambda a \tau \varepsilon i ้ \nu$,-certainly not $\tau \rho 0-$ $\chi$ んdj $\zeta \epsilon L$, as Ast suggests.
18. When it is raining] The point concealed under the corrupt text is probably of the kind which the most intelligible of the restorations affords. The dual $\sigma \theta \eta$ tos makes one of his verbal blunders. Ussing supposes the general sense to have been: 'When it rains, he praises the fine weather, and does things which can be done only when it is fine.' But probably even the avalot $\begin{gathered}\text { moos, if (for }\end{gathered}$ instance) he went out to dig, would discover that the weather was unpropitious.
19. the Sacred Gate] Sulla, in 86 b.c., broke into Athens by levelling 'that part of the wall which is between the Peiraic and the Sacred Gate'; and the ensuing massacre in the neighbourhood of the agora 'spread over the whole Cerameicus within the Dipylum' (Plut. Sulla I4). The Dipylum (superseding the Thriasian Gate) was on the N.w. side of Athens; the Peiraic was on the s.w.; the Sacred Gate was probably between them, and was so called because it led (as did also the Dipylum) to the Sacred Road to Eleusis. Now the Outer Cerameicus, upon which the Sacred Gate,
cucumbers; and to make his children wrestle and run races $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ until he has exhausted them. If he is cooking a leek himself in the country, he will put salt into the pot twice, and make it $\mid$ uneatable. When it is raining, he will observe 'Well, the smell from the sky is delicious' (when others of course say 'from the earth'); or, if he is asked 'How many corpses do you suppose 20 have been carried out at the Sacred Gate?' he will reply, 'I only wish that you or I had as many.'

## XIV (IV). The Boor.

Boorishness would seem to be ignorance offending against propriety.
as well as the Dipylum, would thus open, was the cemetery for those who were honoured with public burial. See the Birds, 395 :-'The Cerameicus shall receive us: for, in order that we may have a public funeral, we will tell the Generals that we died in battle with the enemy in Birdland.'-For a discussion of the conjecture 'Hpias $\pi \dot{u} \lambda a s$, see Critical Appendix.

21, I only wish that you or I had as many] The Stupid man, in absence of mind, answers as if he had been asked (for instance) 'How many minas do you suppose that Glaucon is worth?' Thus inadvertently he speaks words of fearful omen; for he associates death with himself and with his questioner by a wish. For a precisely similar instance of ávala$\theta \eta \sigma i a$ betrayed into $\delta v \sigma \phi \eta \mu i a$, see Plutarch Crass. 19: "And from Crassus himself, as he was addressing the soldiers, fell an utterance which agitated and appalled them. He directed them to break down the bridge over the river, in order that no one might return. And whereas he ought, when he perceived the strangeness (dirotlay-a euphemism) of the phrase, to have recalled and explained it to those whom his words had terrified, he neglected through obstinacy to do so.'-For the form of the expression $\delta \sigma \sigma$ érol
 $\tau \iota \gamma^{\epsilon}$ роוто, 'give me pelf for myself.'
I. Boorishness] The sense of $\dot{a} \mu a \theta l a$ in the Definition is illustrated by Eur. Med. 223, 'harsh to his fellow-citizens from want of culture' (duadlas it $\pi$ o).

The selection of the Rustic as a definite type is remarkable. Small as Attica was, the demarcation between town and country life was sharply drawn. As Athens grew in wealth, the richer part, indeed, of the country population were more and more attracted to it; and Isocrates, speaking in 380 b.C., can already contrast his own time with the days when 'the houses and establishments in the country were handsomer than those within the walls, and when many of the citizens did not even come to town for the festivals' (Areop. p. 150 § 52). But there remained a frugal farmer-class, strongly conservative of the old simplicity, totally strange to the life of the city, and rarely-in some cases, never-visiting it. A vivid picture of this class-probably derived in part from the Greek comic dramatists-is given in the Letters of Alciphro, of which the imaginary writers belong to the age of Theophrastus. The temptations which beset the rustic on his visits to Athens are forcibly described. A farmer sends in his son to sell wood and barley; the young













man sees a philosopher at the Academy, and to his father's dismay comes back a Cynic (III 40). Another, having been sent in to buy earthenware, is betrayed into a ruinous carouse; a third, after disposing of his figs and nuts, goes to the theatre, and is thrown into ecstasies of wonder and terror by a conjuror (III 17, 20). The rareness of such visits is also marked. In one letter a young Attic farmer requests a neighbour to be his guide in a first visit to Atbens; he longs to see 'what this thing may be which they call town' ( $\begin{array}{ll}111 & \left.3^{1}\right) \text {. In another, a }\end{array}$ son implores his mother to 'come and see the splendours of the town before her dying day'; for, though distant but a few hours' journey, she has never seen them (III 39).

It was from the intellectual, quite as much as from the aesthetic side, that an Athenian viewed Rusticity. Aristotle calls the man incapable of a joke-the opposite extreme to the Buffoon-aypor kos, a Rustic; and, when he afterwards changes his word, it is only to substitute for it another (á $\gamma$ pos) which expresses in a still stronger form the result of living too much in the country. The sketch which Theophrastus gives us is so far
defective that it contrasts rusticity, not with town intelligence, but merely with town elegance.
3. a posset] The кvкє ${ }^{\prime} v$ (a favourite dish with the Attic peasant, Aristoph. Peace, 1169 ) was a sort of thick posset, made with wine, barley-meal, grated cheese, and honey, and sometimes flavoured with thyme. The rustic carries the fragrance on his breath into the Ecclesia.
5. his shoes too large for his feet] In the Knights (317) Cleon is accused of having sold bad shoe-leather 'to the country people'; so that 'before they had wom the shoes a day, they were too large by a couple of spans.' Compare Hor. Sat. I 3, 30; 'He may be laughed at because be is shaved in a somewhat rustic fashion-because his toga falls to his heels-because the loose shoe will hardly cling to his foot.'-Cf. note on c. 1,23 .
6. talks confdentially to his own servants] Greek manners, unlike Roman, permitted familiarity with slaves. After telling a story to illustrate the fear in which a Roman slave stood of his master, Plutarch adds, -'but the Attic slave will tell his master, as he digs, the terms of the

The Boor is one who, having drunk a posset, will go into the Ecclesia. He vows that thyme smells sweeter than any perfume; he wears his shoes too large for his feet; he talks in 5 a loud voice. He distrusts his friends and relatives, but talks confidentially to his own servants on the most important matters; and recounts all the news from the Ecclesia to the hired labourers working on his land. Wearing a cloak which does not reach the knee, he will sit down. He shows surprise and wonder at nothing 10 else, but will stand still and gaze when he sees an ox or an ass or a goat in the streets. He is apt also to take things out of the store-room and eat them; and to drink his wine rather strong. He will help the bakery-maid to grind the corn for the use of the household and for his own ; he will eat his breakfast while 15 he shakes down hay for his beasts of burden; he will answer
last Convention; so perfect is their familiarity' (de Garrul. 18). Xenophon says :'We have given to our slaves the right to talk like equals (loच $\begin{gathered}\text { opla) with freemen, }\end{gathered}$ just as to resident-aliens the right of so talking with citizens'; and he explains the indulgence by the fact that in a naval State, which requires the personal service of its citizens, the industries must be in the hands of the slaves, who will grow rich, and must then be kept in good humour (de republ. Ath. I 12).-The Rustic's rusticity consists, then, not in conversing with his slaves, but in conversing with them on important matters, which, with a surly reserve, he withholds from his own family.
8. hired labourers] Slavery did not altogether swamp the labour-market. Poor men, chiefly foreigners, found employment as artisans, farm-labourers, or domestics: see Plat. Rep. 371. Lysis, in Plato's dialogue, says that his father's chariot was driven at the games by a hireat charioteer (Lys. p. 208 E ), while the groom mentioned in the same passage is a slave. The shrine of Eurysaces in the market-place is mentioned by Pollux as the place at which 'those who ply for hire used to congregate.'
11. When he sees an ox or an ass or a goat] Compare Earle's Character of a Plaine Country Fellow:-'His mind is not much distracted with objects; but if a good fat Cowe come in his way, he stands dumbe and astonisht, and though his haste be never so great, will fixe here halfe an houres contemplation.'
r3. drink his wine rather strong] Temperate drinkers always put more water than wine into the bowl. Five parts of water to two of wine appears to have been a favourite mixture (Athen. $x$ p. $426 \S 28$ ). In a fragment of one of the comedies of Eupolis the Wine-God is thus greeted on his appearance-

Hail, Dionysus: are you ' Five-and-two'?
Hesiod ( $O p$. 594) recommends three parts of water to one of wine,-the mixture which in Horace (Od. III 8, I3) the Graces are said to approve. As to stronger compounds, a poet in Athenaeus (II p. $3^{6}$ § 2) says-
Half-wine half-water is a maddening drink; Wine without water brings paralysis.
The Spartan Cleomenes was supposed to have gone mad through having learned from the Scythians to drink wine neat (Her. vi 84).
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#### Abstract

17. the dog] The house-dog which kept watch in the hall. In Ar. Lysist. 1213 the servant at the door warns importunate visitors to 'beware of the dog.' The arrival of a welcome guest is thus described in some verses quoted by Athenaeus (I p. 3 §4):- First, the hall-porter is all smiles-the dog Wags a pleased tail-and some one hastes to set A. chair, unbidden.


2I. If he has lent his plough] It is impossible not to be struck by the frequent allusions in these sketches to loans between neighbours of things used in housekeeping or farming. Thus the Penurious man (xxiv) is one who 'forbids his wife to lend salt, or a lamp-wick, or cummin, or verjuice, or meal for sacrifice, or garlands, or cakes'; cf. cc. Xv, xxiII, xxvi. Such touches remind us that the social life of Attica was, in the best sense, homely; and of the saying of Pericles, that Athenians understood філока入єî̀ $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ єủveגelas. Compare

Xenophon Mem. II 2, 12. 'Well,' said Socrates, 'and do you not wish to be on good terms with your neighbour, that he may give you a light for your fire when you want one?'
24. hides] $\delta t \phi \theta \in \rho a l$ were sometimes worn by country people. A rustic in the Clouds (72) is described as 'clad in leather, driving in his goats from Phelleus.' (We may also compare, in the first scene of Menander's Epitrepontes,


25. the New Moon] The first of the (lunar) month was fair-day at Athens. Ar. Wasps iyI, 'I want to sell my ass; for it is new moon': Knights 43, 'this man bought a slave at the last new moon.' A public sacrifice, at which the archon presided, was held on the acropolis on this day. Demosth. Aristog. I p. 800 (urging the jury to be true to their oaths), 'How (else), when you go up to the acropolis at the new moon, can you pray the
a knock at the door himself, and call the dog to him, and take hold of his nose, saying 'This fellow looks after the place and the house.' When he is given a piece of money, he will reject it, saying that it is too smooth, and thereupon will take another 20 instead ; and, if he has lent his plough, or a basket or sickle or bag, and remembers it as he lies awake, he will ask it back in the middle of the night. On his way down to Athens he will ask the first man that he meets how hides and salt-fish were selling, and whether the archon celebrates the New Moon 25 to-day; adding immediately that he means to have his hair cut when he gets to town, and at the same visit to bring some salt-fish from Archias as he goes by. He will also sing at the bath; and will drive nails into his shoes.

## XV (IX). The Shameless Man.

Shamelessness may be defined as neglect of reputation for the sake of base gain.
gods to bless Athens and to bless each one of you?'
28. salt-fish] As fresh fish was the favourite delicacy at Athens, so salt-fish was the cheapest and commonest food. While Dicaeopolis, in the Acharnians, having made peace for himself, is preparing to dine on pheasants and thrushes, Lamachus ruefully provides himself with the fare of a campaigner-onions and salt-fish (Ach. 1100). There were shops expressly for its sale in the market-place (c. XVI), and it was also sold at the citygates (Ar. Knights 1246). Cargoes of salted thunnies, mackerel, etc., were imported from the Hellespont and the Euxine: Athen. III p. 116 § 85.
28. will sing at the bath] At the public baths, no less than in the streets or at the theatres, manners were on their trial. The term 'Triballians,' which Demosthenes uses in the general sense of 'roysterers,' meant especially, according to one old lexicon, those who behave with ill-breeding at the baths. The Shameless
man (xv), the Offensive man (xir), and the Late-learner (viri) all make the baths a place for the display of their characters. The Rustic sings in mere gaiety of spirit. Horace complains of more deliberate offenders:-'Some recite their works in the forum; not a few at the bath' (Sat. I 4, 75): and Martial says of an irrepressible reciter-'I fly to the baths-you still buzz at my ear' (III 44, I2). Seneca too reckons among the nuisances of those resorts 'the man who likes to hear his own voice' (Ep. 56). One of the temptations may have been the vaulted roof.

1. Shamelessness] The clause in the Definition-'for the sake of base gain'is significant. It is the key, as will presently be explained, to the special and limited sense in which Theophrastus considers Shamelessness. Compare the pseudo-Platonic Definitions p. 416: 'Shamelessness is a state of mind tolerant of ignominy for the sake of gain.'

Shamelessness in its general sense-














#### Abstract

'the not-shrinking from doing disgraceful things' (Eth. N. iv 9)-comprehends three characters described by Theophrastus: r. Shamelessness (avauб $\chi$ vivia) in his special sense: 2. Recklessness or the Abandoned character ( $\dot{\pi} \pi 6 \nu o \Delta a$ ): 3. Grossness ( $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda u \rho l a)$. We will attempt to discriminate these; having regard, not to the ideas which the terms might or ought to convey, but merely to the positive sense in which Theophrastus has used them.


(I) His Shameless man, then,-whom it will be convenient to distinguish as the man of Shrewd Effrontery-is one who is restrained by no scruple from committing those small injustices for which there is a practical impunity. He is not at war with society; he does not outrage it by any grave misdemeanour, or even by any eccentricity so violent that a brazen jocularity cannot carry it off. The strength of his genius lies in this,--that, while he is habitually guilty of sharp practice in his dealings with the world, and while he knows that the world knows it, he is able to suppress every trace of consciousness that he is not generally respected and beloved. The first trait given by Theophrastus is the most expressive. He dines out at a time when he was socially bound to be dispensing instead of receiving hos-
pitality. But, instead of betraying em. barrassment, he gaily assumes the licence of a privileged and especially popular guest.
(2) The Reckless or Abandoned man
 whereas the man of Shrewd Effrontery represses, for the sake of gain, an instinct of shame probably feeble from the first, the Reckless man has fiercely cast off a sense of shame which may once have been fine. The breach between him and his self-respect is complete and irreconcilable, transforming his whole character, and driving him into grotesque forms of self-insult. The man of Shrewd Effrontery is on good terms with the world; the Reckless man is a social outcast.
(3) The Gross man differs from the other two chiefly in this,-that he stands morally on a higher, aesthetically on a lower level. He does 'shameless' things neither, like the man of Shrewd Effrontery, with a view to advantage, nor, like the Reckless man, in a sort of desperation; but naturally, with the relish of a coarse nature for monstrous jests, which seem to him the more humorous if they extort signs of disgust. But, if he is in more violently bad taste, he is less immoral than the other two; for his offences are less voluntary, and, on the whole, of

The Shameless man is one who, in the first place, will go and borrow from the creditor whose money he is withholding. Then, when he has been sacrificing to the gods, he will put away 5 the salted remains, and will himself dine out; and, calling up his attendant, will give him bread and meat taken from the table, saying in the hearing of all, 'Feast, most worshipful.' In marketing, again, he will remind the butcher of any service which he may have rendered him; and, standing near the scales, 10 will throw in some meat, if he can, or else a bone for his soup; if he gets it, it is well ; if not, he will snatch up a piece of tripe from the counter, and go off laughing. Again, when he has taken places at the theatre for his foreign visitors, he will see the performance without paying his own share; and will bring $\mathrm{I}_{5}$
a lighter kind. He does not defraud his neighbour, like the man of Shrewd Effrontery; nor, like the Reckless man, leave his mother to starve.
5. When he has been sacriffcing] As in Homeric, so in later times, a sacrifice was usually followed by a feast. Thus, in one of Antipho's speeches, a man has a sacrifice to perform to Zeus Ctesius in the Peiraeus: he makes it the occasion of giving a farewell dinner to a friend who is about to sail (de Venef. § 16). The sacrifice in honour of any domestic event, e.g. the naming of a child, or an athletic victory ( $\delta є \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu, ~ \nu \iota \kappa \eta \tau \eta p \iota a ~ \theta u ́ \varepsilon \iota \nu)$ -always implied the entertaining of friends. After public sacrifices, in like manner, the people were feasted (Isaeus de Astyph. hered. § 2 r), a regular portion of bread and meat being given to each person (Plut. Symp. II Io, 7). To hold a sacrifice without giving a dinner would have been thought inhospitable; to dine out on the same day, shameless.
6. calling up his attendant] A Roman custom allowed the guest to hand to his slave, stationed behind him, delicacies which he wished to reserve for use at home: see Athen. IV p. 128 \& 2, where, at an elaborate wedding-banquet, the slaves in attendance on their masters carry baskets, which are soon filled. But on ordinary occasions it was thought
ill-bred to use this privilege: see Lucian's Symp. c. 2, FTermot. c. 2; Martial II 37. And there is no proof that the custom was tolerated at all by earlier Greek manners: at Rome it may have been connected in origin with the client's dole. Here the Shameless man is of course represented as taking an unusual liberty. A similar trait is mentioned of the Avaricious man, who, at a club-dinner, asks for a dish for his slaves (c. XXVI).
8. in marketing] See note on c. XVII, 12.
13. When he has taken places at the theatre] Having his house full of guests, perhaps at one of the festivals, he takes a certain number of places for a series of performances at the theatre. His visitors pay for the tickets; but, on the first day, he contrives to go himself in the place of one of them; and, emboldened by success, brings on the second day his children and their 'pedagogue' in the room of others. Similarly the Avaricious man (c. Xxvi) 'seizes the opportunity of taking his boys to the play, when the lessees of the theatre grant free admission.' The ordinary price of admission was two obols,-rather more than $3 d$.,-which the State furnished to poor people at the festivals. Foreigners probably had to take their places through citizens; and foreign women at least seem to have been
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restricted to a particular part of the house. In a fragment of Alexis the women complain, 'we have to sit at the theatre in the back rows, as if we were foreigners' ( $\xi \in \nu a l$ : Alex. frag. 25, I Meineke).
18. and borrow bariey] See note on c. XIV, 2 I .
20. the coppers in the baths] for heating the water. A shower-bath was sometimes taken by having water dashed over the head; and this office was performed by the bathman. See Plat. Rep. I p. 344 D , 'Thrasymachus now thought of going, after having, like a bathman, dashed his discourse over our ears in a full torrent.' The Shameless man does this for himself, and thus finds a pretext for depriving the attendant of his fee. ( $£ \mu a \nu \tau \varphi \hat{\varphi}$ 及a入avévo $\omega$ was a proverbial phrase for doing a thing for oneself. Zenobius III 58.)

1. Recklessness] On the difference between this character and those which precede and follow it, see c. XV, note I . The term duovevonuevos contrasts a former
with an actual state; before a man can be desperate he must have hoped. The Definition fails to mark this; but the Character marks it throughout. It is the picture of a person who has gone from bad to worse, until he retains just so much remembrance of a more respectable self as serves to give him a frantic pleasure in insulting his own dignity. He is ready to be even a crier or a cook; a statement which shows how advantageous is the original position supposed for the now Reckless man. The ideas conventionally attached to the words
 pseudo-Demosth. in Aristog. I p. 780§32: 'Do you not see that in his policy there is no calculation, no restraining sense of honour (aidis), but that recklessness (airbyoca) is its guide? Or rather, his policy is utter recklessness,--that worst of evils to the man upon whom it comes, a thing terrible and cruel to all,-to the State, intolerable. For the reckless man ( $\delta$ axrovevoquévos) has given himself up,has no care for the safety which calcula-
his sons, too, and their attendant the next day. When anyone secures a good bargain, he will ask to be given part in it. He will go to another man's house and borrow barley, or sometimes bran; and moreover will insist upon the lenders delivering it at his door. He is apt, also, to go up to the coppers in the baths,- 20 to plunge the ladle in, amid the cries of the bath-man,-and to souse himself; saying that he has had his bath, and then, as he departs,-'No thanks to you!'

## XVI (VI). The Reckless Man.

Recklessness is tolerance of shame in word and deed.
The Reckless man is one who will lightly take an oath, being proof against abuse, and capable of giving it; in character a coarse fellow, defiant of decency, ready to do anything ; just the person to dance the cordax, sober and without a mask, in 5 a comic chorus. At a conjuror's performance, too, he will collect
tion can ensure, -and prospers, if he does prosper, against expectation and against probability.' Plutarch makes callousness to ill repute the essence of $\alpha \pi b y o t a$ (Alcib. 13, 4).
3. being proof against abuse, and capable of giving it] The aor. 入otoo$\rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} p a l$ is here, as in Demosthenes, deponent, having an active sense, 'to revile': see Crit. App. The Reckless man cannot only listen unmoved to reproaches ( $\kappa$ ккиิs $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ), but can retort them.
5. to dance the cordax] The author of the Clouds, taking credit to himself for the propriety of his muse, instances some things which she has eschewed. Among these it is specified that she has 'never mocked bald men, nor danced the cordax' (540).
5. sober] Cf. Demosth. Olynth. II p. 23 § 19: 'The rest of (Philip's) court consists of brigands and flatterers and such-like persons, capable of dancing, when intoxicated, dances which I would rather not name to you.?
5. without a mask] Demosth. de Falsa Legat. p. 433 § 287 : 'men at the very sight of whom you would cry outthe blackguard Nicias and the execrable Curebion, who plays comic parts in the procession without the mask' (i.e. at the Dionysia). Observe the article: the (indispensable) mask.
6. at a conjuror's performance] Jugglers, puppet-showmen and the like travelled about to the fairs and festivals at towns. Plutarch compares persons who circulate absurd opinions to men 'dragging about a sort of conjuror's apparatus and booth (munalay) on their backs' (de fac. Lunae 8). In Plato's Republic (vir p. 514 B) the wall over which the prisoners in the cave see images flit is compared to the 'screens which conjurors set between themselves and the spectators, over which they show their tricks.' Sometimes they were allowed to perform in theatres (Athen. I p. 19 § 16: Alciphr, III 20). The tricks were of the established type-bringing fire out of the mouth (Athen. IV P. I29
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§ 3), swallowing knives (Plut. Lyc. 19), making pebbles pass from one cup to another, or producing them from the mouth or ears of a spectator (Alciphr. III
 Symposium, 1I I, and Isocr. XV 213.)
8. the free-pass] to $\sigma \dot{\cup} \mu \beta \circ \lambda o \nu$ appears to mean a token or ticket given by the conjuror to his friends, or paid for, before the performance commenced. Compare Ar. Plut. 278, 'why do you not go?Charon offers you your ticket' ( $\tau \delta \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu-$ Boخov $\delta(\delta \omega \sigma \iota)$-with allusion to the tickets given to jurymen when they entered court, and on presenting which they received their pay. (See Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, col. 32, 14 and 37,2 , and figs. 4 and 5 in Frontispiece, ed. Sandys.)
9. an inn-keeper] The unpopularity of inn-keepers arose partly, no doubt, from the general feeling in ancient Greece against taking money for hospitality; but they were also infamous, as a class, for extortion. See a curious passage in Plato's Laws, XI p. 918 D: 'On this account (eagerness for gain) all the lines of life connected with retail trade, commerce, inn-keeping, have fallen under suspicion and become utterly disreputable...A man opens lodgings, for the sake of trade, in a lonely place, a long way from anywhere. He receives bewildered travellers in barely tolerable quarters, or affords warmth, quiet, and rest in his close rooms to people driven in by angry storms. And then, after receiving them as friends, he does not provide them with hospitable entertainment in accordance with that reception,
but holds them to ransom, -like captive enemies whom he has got into his clutches, -on the most exorbitant, unjust, rascally terms. It is these offences, and others like them, shamefully common in all such callings, which have brought discredit upon such ministration to men's need.' But though it was discreditable to keep, it was not so to frequent an inn. The Athenian ambassadors to Philip stay at inns (Dem. de F. Legat. p. 272); and Dionysus in the Frogs (II4) inquires which are the best inns on the road to Hades.
9. a tax-farmer] Andocides de Myst. p. 17 § $133:-$ Agyrrhius became chieffarmer of the two-per-cent. tax two years ago, buying it for thirty talents; and had for his partners the whole set who muster under the white-poplar' (the spot at Athens where the tax-contracts were sold); 'you know what they are like.'
10. a crier's] The Homeric 'herald' was also ambassador, 'messenger of Zeus and men' (Il. I 334); his office was sacred and his person inviolable. The house of the Heralds at Athens were the priestly representatives of this bygone dignity. But the modern 'herald'-the crier who made proclamation in the Ecclesia or in the market-place-seems to have been on a level with the Roman praeco. Speaking of the shifts to which poor poets are reduced, Juvenal says: 'Others have not thought it too low or base to become criers' (VII 5).
II. a cook's] The meals of an Athenian household were usually prepared by the female slaves; only on
the pence, going along from man to man, and wrangling with those who have the free-pass, and claim to see the show for nothing. He is apt, also, to become an inn-keeper or a taxfarmer; he will decline no sort of disreputable trade, a crier's, 10 a cook's; he will gamble, and neglect to maintain his mother; he will be arrested for theft, and spend more time in prison than in his own house.

And he would seem, too, to be one of these persons who collect and call crowds about them, ranting in a loud cracked I $_{5}$ voice and haranguing them ; meanwhile some will approach, and
special occasions was a man-cook hired from one of the shops in the market-place in which the business of professed cook was combined with that of butcher. When Aristippus was reproached with employing a professional orator in a lawsuit, 'Well,' he answered, 'and, when I give a dinner-party, I hire a cook' (Diog. II $7^{2}$ ). The earliest mention of a mancook as part of the establishment is said by Athenaeus to have occurred in a writer who lived about 280 b.C.: Athen. XIV p. 658 § 22. Commenting upon the luxury brought in at Rome by the Asiatic conquests, Livy says: 'Then it was that the cook, esteemed and treated by the ancients as the vilest of slaves, began to be prized' (xxxix 6).
II. he will gamble] Aeschines in Timarch. p. $8 \S 53$ : 'He spent his days in a gambling-house, where the fightingstage ( $\tau \eta \wedge \lambda a$, a board with a ring chalked upon it) is set out, and they match fight-ing-cocks, and play at dice.' Alciphr. III 54 : 'Perhaps you will ask me why I am crying, or how I came to have my head broken, or why this flowered cloak of mine is torn to tatters? I won at dice. Would that I never had! What business had I to match my weak self against sturdy young men? No sooner had I swept all the stakes on the table towards me, and broken their bank, than they made a general rush at me. Some pounded me with their fists, others used stones, others tore my clothes. I clung fast to my money, determined to die
rather than give up to them any part of my winnings. Well, for a time, I made a good fight of it, standing the showers of blows, resisting the wrenching fingers, and sitting still like a Spartan who is being flogged on Orthia's altar. At last, however, I grew faint, and allowed the ruffians to take their plunder.'

Ir. will...neglect to maintain his mother] Lass of civil rights was the legal penalty for proved neglect of parents. Aeschin. in Timarch. p. 4 §28: 'And whom did our lawgiver condemn to silence (in the Ecclesia)? Evil livers. And where does he make this clear? 'Let there be' he says 'a scrutiny of the public speakers, in case there be any speaker in the Ecclesia who is a striker of his father or mother, or who neglects to maintain them or to give them a home.' Solon, however, enacted that 'no son should be compelled to maintain a father who failed to have him taught some trade' (Plut. Sol. 22).
12. will be arrested for theft] The Greek term $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a c$ implies that the man is caught in the fact and taken at once before the Commissioners of Police ('the Eleven'). According to the letter of Athenian law in the time of Demosthenes, theft was a capital crime in three cases: ( I ) theft to the value of more than 50 drachmas, or about $£_{2}:$ (2) theft to the value of more than io drachmas (8s.) from the gymnasia, the baths, or the ports: (3) theft of anything by night (Dem. in Timocr. p. 736 § 113).
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#### Abstract

20. some public gathering] mavzrupts is a word of general meaning. He chooses for his displays a time when Athens is full; either a market-day or a festival. As the great festivals were occasions of buying and selling, $\pi$ auprovpus seems, at least in later Greek, to have meant especially the fair coincident with a festival: see Paus. X 32, 9 (describing a festival in Phocis): 'On the last of the  selling slaves, and, indeed, all beasts of burden.' 22. excusing himself on oath] He is concerned with law-suits in one of three capacities,-as defendant, as plaintiff, or as witness. In the last case he sometimes attends the courts, bringing a mass of papers; but he sometimes makes oath that he knows nothing of the matter. This was $\epsilon \xi \beta \mu \nu v \sigma \theta a l$. Those who, when


cited, refused either to give evidence or to take this oath, were liable to a fine of 1000 drachmas. Demosth. in Neaer. p. 1354: 'I call Hipparchus himself before you. I will compel him to give evidence, or to excuse himself on oath according to law.'
23. in the breast of his cloak] which was worn deep, and served as a bag or purse. Theocritus says, speaking of the niggardly spirit of the age, 'Everyone keeps his hand in the bosom of his robe' (i.e. guards his pockets closely: XVI 17).
25. to be a captain of market-place hucksters] i.e. to be patron and subsidizer of the retail-traders ( $\kappa$ á $\pi \eta \lambda o t$ ) who kept taverns and eatinghouses in the marketplace, and who were, as a class, in bad repute. He lends them small sums with which to carry on their business, and goes the round of their shops to levy his
others go away without hearing him out; but to some he gives the first chapter of his story, to others an epitome, to others a fragment; and the time which he chooses for parading his recklessness is always when there is some public gathering. Great 20 is he, too, in lawsuits, now as defendant, now as prosecutor; sometimes excusing himself on oath, sometimes attending the court with a box of papers in the breast of his cloak and satchels of note-books in his hands. He will not disdain either to be a captain of market-place hucksters, but will readily lend 25 them money, exacting, as interest upon ten-pence, two-pence half-penny a day; and will make the round of the cook-shops, the fishmongers, the fish-picklers, thrusting into his cheek the interest which he levies on their gains.
[These are troublesome persons, for their tongues are easily 30 set wagging abusively; and they talk in so loud a voice that the market-place and the workshops resound with them.]

## XVII (XI). The Gross Man.

Grossness is not difficult to define; it is obtrusive and objectionable pleasantry.
interest. He has himself been described as áyopaîbs tıs. See Crit. App.
26. two-pence half-penny a day] The drachma $=6$ obols: this is therefore 25 per cent. a day. Compare Plaut. Epid. I 1, 5: 'He actually borrowed this money from a usurer at Thebes on daily interest,-a sesterce for every silver mina." Taking the mina at rather more than $£ 4$, and the sesterce at $2 d^{2}$., this would be about 74 per cent. a year. Menippus, the Cynic, 'was a moneylender by the day, and was called the day-lender' ( $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho o \delta a \nu e \iota \sigma \tau \eta \eta_{s}: ~ D i o g . ~ v i ~$ 99).
27. the cook-shops] Isocrates implies that in his time the shops of this kind in the market-place had a better class of customers than formerly: for he says that then 'no decent servant, even, would have thought of eating and drinking in a tavern' (Areop. p. 149 § 49). See, how-
ever, the story in Plutarch Demosth. 60 :'Diogenes once saw in a tavern Demos-thenes-who was ashamed and shrank back. "The more you shrink back," he said, "the more you will be in the tavern."'
28. thrusting into his cheek] Ar. Eccl. 818: 'I had been selling grapes, and came back with my cheek full of copper coins.'
29. the interest...on their gains] aidd то̂̂ ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \nabla \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau o s$, 'out of their receipts from what they sell,' $\epsilon \mu \pi 0 \lambda \hat{a} \nu$ meaning not merely 'to buy,' but 'to gain by traffic.' Isaeus de Hagn. hered. p. 88 § 43: 'Besides these he left furniture, cattle, barley, wine, fruit, by which they made ( $\left.\epsilon_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \pi \sigma 6 \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu\right) 4900$ drachmas.'
32. the worishops] See note on c. x VII, 15 .

1. Grossness] $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda u \rho \delta s$, in its graver sense, was nearly equivalent to Black-














guard. But it was used also in a lighter sense, to describe that kind of coarse buffoon whom Aristotle calls $\beta \omega \mu \nu \lambda \delta \chi o s$ (Eth. N. II 7, 13). See Plato's Republic p. 338 D , where Thrasymachus says, in reference to his opponent having used what he considers an extravagantly unfair
 'Socrates, you are a buffoon.' In this sketch the graver and lighter meanings are blended; but the latter predominates. It is impossible to find an exact equivalent in English. 'Buffoon' has acquired too polite associations. 'Blackguard' is, on the whole, too grave for the character intended here, 'Gross' appears least inadequate. It does not, indeed, interpret the humorous side of the character; but then neither does its Greek original,-the humorous sense attached to $\beta \delta \in \lambda \nu \rho \delta s$ being conventional.
2. hiss the actors] A demonstrative Athenian audience did not always confine themselves to hissing. Demosthenes, taunting Aeschines with his ill-success on the stage, remarks that the tragic contests in which he used to take part were 'contests for his life,' from which he frequently came off 'with wounds' (de

Coron. p. 314); i.e. he was pelted. Again, de Fals. Legat. p. 449: "When he played the woes of Thyestes and the Trojan war, you drove him off the boards with your hisses, and all but stoned him to death.' Lucian describes an impersonation of Ajax so vivid that 'the whole house went mad at once along with Ajax, -they danced, shouted, tore off their clothes ${ }^{1}$ (de Salt. 83).
5. When the market-place is full] 'Full market' was an expression for the hours from about 9 A.m. to noon. See Her. IV I8r (speaking of a spring in the oasis of Ammon): 'through the hour of dawn it is warm; at full market colder; noon comes, and it is intensely cold.' Again, III 104: '(the Indians) have the sun hottest in the early morning,-not, like others, at noon, but from sunrise to the breaking-up of market' (i.e. midday, when people went home to a siesta : see note on c. Xxiv, 28).
6. myrtleberries] a favourite delicacy at dessert. Athenians, according to a poet in Athenaeus (xiv p. 652 D), 'sing the praises of myrtleberries, of honey, of the portals of the acropolis, and fourthly of dried figs.'

The Gross man is one who will insult freeborn women; who, in a theatre, will applaud when others cease, and hiss the actors who please the rest of the spectators. When the market-place is 5 full, he will go up to the place where nuts or myrtleberries or fruits are sold, and stand munching while he chatters to the seller. Then he will call by name to a passer-by with whom he is not familiar; or, if he chance to see persons in a hurry, he will cry 'stop'; or he will go up to a man who has lost a great law- ro suit and is leaving the court, and will congratulate him. He will do his own marketing, and hire flute-players; moreover he will show to everyone who meets him the provisions that he has bought, with an invitation to come and eat them; and will explain, as he stands at the door of a barber's or perfumer's 15 shop, that he means to get drunk. His mother having gone out to the soothsayer's, he will use words of evil omen; or, when

9f. will cry 'stop'] Terence alludes to this as a well-worn practical joke: Phormio v vi 7: 'Antipho. Hi, Geta! Geta (who is running in the opposite direction). There you go again. Is there anything new or wonderful in being called back when one has set out running? ${ }^{\prime}$

II f. he will do his own marketing] The ordinary practice, except among the very poor, was to send a slave to market: see (for a somewhat earlier period) Xen. Mem. I5, 2 : ' Would we take a present of such (a worthless slave) to be our attendant or our marketer?' It is observable that in these Characters the persons, besides the $\beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda u p b s$, who are named as marketing for themselves are the Shameless man (c. Xv) and the Penurious man (c. xxiv); others have their provisions bought by slaves (cc. XIII, xxiri). At the fishmarket, however, where the chief dainty was contended for, gourmands seem to have watched their own interests: Aesch. in Tim. p. 9 $\$ 65$, 'who is there among you who has not been to the fishmarket and seen what sums these. people spend? Alexis vividly describes a citizen haggling with a fishmonger for a pair of mullets (frag. XII 2 Meineke).
15. a barber's or perfumer's shop] Lysias de inval. p. 170 § 20: 'Each man has his favourite lounge; one frequents a perfumer's shop, another a barber's, another a shoemaker's, and so forth; the most popular establishments being those nearest the market-place.' PseudoDemosth. in Arist. I p. 786 (describing an unsociable person), 'He never frequents any of the barbers' or perfumers' shops in the town, or indeed any of the workshops.'
17. to the soothsayer's] Some persons invoked assistance of this kind in very small domestic difficulties. See c. XXVIII: 'If a mouse gnaws through a meal-bag, he will go to the expounder of sacred law.' Nicias, according to Plutarch, kept a prophet ( $\mu$ ávrts) at his house, whom he used to consult 'ostensibly about public affairs; but chiefly, in fact, about his private concerns, and especially about silver-mines' (Nic. c. 4).
17. will use words of evil omen] His mother is seeking a revelation of the will of the gods; to utter, at such a moment, words which will offend them, is not only to thwart her prayer, but to expose her to their anger. To 'blaspheme,' in the Greek sense, was not merely to speak against the gods, but to speak, when they were
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deemed present, as at a sacrifice, of any dismal subject, distasteful to the bright and gracious visitants. Clytaemnestra complains that the lamentations of Electra prevent her from sacrificing to the gods; Philoctetes is left on Lemnos because his cries of pain make offerings and libations unavailing (Soph. El. 632, Phil. 8). See the striking passage in Plato's Laws (vir p. 800 в): 'Suppose, I say, that when a sacrifice had been performed and the victims duly burnt, some individual, the man's son or perhaps brother, standing near the altar and oblations, should break into all manner of ill-omened words-should we not say that his utterances would cast a gloom-a sense of whispered and foreshadowed evil -upon his father and upon all his house? ?
18. Will drop his cup] A bad omen,
-what the Romans called caducum auspicium. When Crassus was on his fatal march into Armenia,-a march discouraged by many omens,-a sacrifice was held soon after crossing the Euphrates; when the augur handed to Crassus the liver of the victim, he dropped it. 'Then, seeing that all present were deeply troubled, he said, smiling, "Such is old age; but at all events no arms shall be dropped"' (Plut. Crass. 19).

1. Garrulity] The epithet 'ill-considered' in the Definition embodies the distinction drawn by Theophrastus between Garrulity and Loquacity. It is a difference, not of quantity, but of quality. The Loquacious man is possibly able; he is certainly ambitious; it is his tendency to treat a subject in a large manner, with copious, if not always apt, illustration.
people are praying and pouring libations, he will drop his cup, and laugh as if he had done something clever. Also, when the flute is being played to him, he alone of all the company will 20 beat time with his hands, and trill an accompaniment; and will reprove the player, asking why she did not stop sooner. And, when he desires to spit, he will spit across the table at the cupbearer.

## XVIII (III). The Garrulous Man.

Garrulity is the discoursing of much and ill-considered talk.
The Garrulous Man is one who will sit down beside a person whom he does not know, and first pronounce a panegyric on his own wife; then relate his dream of last night; then go through in detail what he has had for dinner. Then, warming to the 5 work, he will remark that the men of the present day are greatly inferior to the ancients; and how cheap wheat has become in the market; and what a number of foreigners are in town; and that the sea is navigable after the Dionysia; and that, if Zeus

The Garrulous man is necessarily weak; talking is, with him, not an ambition, nor exactly a pleasure, but rather an acquired physical need; and, being neither inventive nor logical, he can neither rise out of the tritest topics nor pursue any one of these. Loquacity wearies, Garrulity irritates; the one--as Theophrastus saysinduces sleep; the other, fever.

The specimen of Garrulity given in this chapter seems not inartistic. It is characteristic, as has been said, of the Garrulous man that he is incapable of pursuing a subject,-his remarks being either wholly unconnected, or connected by an inadequate link, the chain in the latter case being seldom long. Now the discourse in the text shows both the absolute and the feebly-disguised solution of continuity. The topics are:-(1) His wife; suggesting his dream upon the bed of which she is the partner. (2) His dinner (absolute change of subject). (3) The Inferiority of the moderns (do.).
(4) The Cheapness of wheat in the marketplace (do.); suggesting (i) the Strangers seen there; who suggest (ii) the Dionysia, for which they may have come; and this (iii) the Navigable Season; leading to (iv) the Crops, and (v) his own Farmingplans, which remind him of (vi) the Difficulty of living, and (vii) the Goodfortune of Damippus, who could afford so great a torch at the Mysteries; these suggest (viii) Temples generally, especially the Odeum.-(5) His indisposition yesterday (absolute change of subject). 'Yesterday' suggests (i) To-day, and what day of the Month it is; which suggests the Calendar generally, and so (ii) the Festivals which are its landmarks.
9. after the Dionysia] i.e. the 'great' Dionysia. The four festivals of Dionysus fell in four successive months: (r) The 'Rural' in December; (2) the 'Lenaea' in January; (3) the 'Anthesteria' in February; (4) the 'great' or 'city'
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Dionysia in March. About this time sets in the northern etesian; followed each day, after the sunset lull, by the south-breeze now called the 'embates.' 'Never, except in the short winter season, is there any uncertain irregularity in wind and weather; the commencement of the fair season-the safe months, as the ancients called it-brings with it an immutable law followed by the winds in the entire archipelago; every morning the north-wind arises from the coasts of Thrace, and passes over the whole islandsea' (Curtius, Hist. Gr. trans. Ward I p. 13 f.). With it came the merchants 'flying over the sea in spring-time like birds of passage to all foreign cities' (Plato Laws XII P. 952 E). It was the special pride of Athens that, unlike some other cities, she excluded no foreigner, not even enemies, from anything which she could teach or show (Thuc. II 39).
12. set up a very large torch at the Mysteries] The Lesser Mysteries of Demeter were celebrated at Athens at the end of February ; the Greater at Eleusis at the end of September. These lasted nine days. On the fifth, a procession of
the fully-initiated ( $\varepsilon \pi \sigma \pi \tau a l$ ) and of those initiated in the Lesser rites ( $\mu \dot{v} \sigma \tau a l$ ) walked from Athens to Eleusis, carrying torches, and led by the torch-bearer ( $\delta ¢ \delta 000 \chi o s$ ). They remained there two days; on the sixth night the mystae became epoptae; next day they returned to Athens. It seems probable that, on the evening of the fifth or 'torch' day, there was at Athens a sort of illumination, when those who did not go to Eleusis burned torches before their doors. These torches symbolised the search of Demeter for Persephone; precisely as the lamps burnt at the night-festival ( $\lambda \nu \chi$ докаia) at Sais symbolised the search of Isis for Osiris, and were burnt throughout Egypt on that night before the houses of those who could not attend the festival (Her. II 62). (The text probably refers to the custom of setting up large sculptured representations of torches in front of temples. Examples of these may be seen in reliefs from Samothrace, in coins of Cyzicus and Megara, and at Eleusis itself (Studniczka on p. 24 of the Leiprig edition). A coin of Megara showing Demeter lighting a colossal torch' is re-
would send more rain, the crops would be better; and that he io will work his land next year; and how hard it is to live; and that Damippus set up a very large torch at the Mysteries; and 'How many columns has the Odeum?'; and that yesterday he was unwell; and 'What is the day of the month?'; and that the Mysteries are in Boëdromion, the Apaturia in Pyanepsion, $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ the rural Dionysia in Poseideon. Nor, if he is tolerated, will he ever desist.
[He who would not have a fever must shake off such persons, and thrust them aside, and make his escape. It is hard to bear with those who cannot discern between the time to trifle and the 20 time to work.]

## XIX (VII). The Loquacious Man.

Loquacity, if one should wish to define it, would seem to be an incontinence of talk.
produced on p. 4 of Edmonds and Austen's edition from the original in the British Museum, Cat. of Coins, Att. \&sc., XXII 3.)
13. the Odeum] or 'Music-hall.' Athens had three such buildings: (I) the Odeum near the fountain 'Enneakrounos' (Paus. 18 § 6, 10 § r); older, according to Hesychius, than the theatre of Dionysus. On one occasion three thousand hoplites were called together in it: Xen. Hellen. II 4 § 9. It was appareutly a semicircular building, arranged on the general plan of a Greek theatre, except that it was roofed for the sake of sound. (2) The Odeum of Pericles, which is probably the one meant here; built about 440 B.C. at the s.E. comer of the acropolis. The form was round. It had a pointed roof, said to be in imitation of the tent of Xerxes; in the interior 'many seats and columns' (Plut. Per. 13). (3) The Odeum built about 150 A.D. at the S.w. corner of the acropolis by Herodes Atticus, and called after his wife the 'Odeum of Regilla.' It was the largest in Greece, the interior diameter being about 240 ft (Paus. VII

20 §3).
15. the Apaturia] Between the Mysteries in September, and the 'Rural' or local celebrations of the Dionysia in December, fell in October the Apaturia; a festival kept in nearly all Ionic cities, and having for its objects (I) the recognition of a common descent from Ion, and, through him, from his father Apollo, whom Ionians worshipped as Apollo Patroius; (2) the maintenance of the ties of clanship subordinate to this common tie; children being then enrolled in their father's 'phratria.'-Ephesus and Colophon alone, whose inhabitants claimed to be the purest Ionians, were forbidden by a religious scruple to celebrate it (Her. I 147).
x. Loquacity] It is well defined as 'incontinence (ảкрaria) of talk'; for, while Garrulity drops its unconnected remarks with dull persistence, Loquacity is fluent and eager. Compare Ar. Frogs 838: 'a mouth unbridled-intemperate ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho a \tau \epsilon s$ ) -of which the gates stand ever wide.'-See note on c. XVIII, I.


















7. Do you tell me so? don't forget, \&c.] i.e. 'You astonish me: take care that you do not involve yourself in a selfcontradiction.' See Crit. App.
16. he will go into the schools] Aeschines (in Timarch. p. 2 § 12) quotes an ancient law providing for the strict privacy of schools. 'Let it not be lawful for those above the age of boys to enter (the schools) while the boys are there, except for the son, brother, or son-in-law of the master; and, if anyone enter contrary to this rule, let him be punished with death.' The very terms, however, in which Aeschines refers to this ordinance as embodying the old feeling on the subject imply that it had become obsolete.
16. the palaestras] here in the strict sense-schools of wrestling and boxing. 'Gymnasium' properly meant a place of more general resort and of more various resources, including grounds for running
and archery, javelin-ranges, baths, \&c.Physical education probably began very early. Plato recommends that the distinctive discipline for boys and for girls should begin at six years of age-that of a boy with lessons in riding and in the use of the bow, javelin, and sling; 'letters' are to come at the age of ten (Lazes vir p. 794 C). Aristotle thought that the active training of mind and body might begin at the seventh year (Politics vil 1 7).
20. the news from the Ecclesia] On the text see Crit. App. The meaning probably is that, on the breaking up of the Ecclesia, the $\lambda a{ }^{\prime} \lambda o s$ obtains a summary of the debate from some one who was there, and retails it to others. At the time when these Characters were probably written, the number of Athenian citizens, i.e. of persons privileged to attend the Ecclesia, was comparatively small. The following measure had been

The Loquacious Man is one who will say to those whom he meets, if they speak a word to him, that they are quite wrong, and that he knows all about it, and that, if they listen to him, 5 they will learn; then, while one is answering him, he will put in, 'Do you tell me so ?-don't forget what you are going to say'; or 'Thanks for reminding me'; or 'How much one gets from a little talk, to be sure!'; or 'By-the-bye'--; or 'Yes! you have seen it in a moment'; or 'I have been watching you all along to 10 see if you would come to the same conclusion as I did'; and other such cues will he make for himself, so that his victim has not even breathing-time. Aye, and when he has prostrated a few lonely stragglers, he is apt to march next upon large, compact bodies, and to rout them in the midst of their occu- 15 pations. Indeed, he will go into the schools and the palaestras, and hinder the boys from getting on with their lessons, by chattering at this rate to the trainers and masters. When people say that they are going, he loves to escort them, and to see them safe into their houses. On learning the news from the Ecclesia, 20 he hastens to report it ; and to relate, in addition, the old story of the battle in Aristophon [the orator]'s year, and of the
taken by Antipater in 322:-6 Out of 21,000 qualified citizens of Athens, all those who did not possess property to the amount of 2000 drachmae were condemned to disfranchisement and deportation. The number below this prescribed qualification, who came under the penalty, was 12,000 , or three-fifths of the whole. They were set aside as turbulent, noisy democrats; the 9000 richest citizens, the 'party of order,' were left in exclusive possession, not only of the citizenship, but of the city' (Grote c. xcv). The great mass of the population could, at such a time, learn the proceedings of the Ecclesia only by hearsay.
22. the battle in Aristophon's year] The battle of Megalopolis in Arcadia, where a Lacedaemonian army was defeated by Antipater, regent of Macedonia during the absence of Alexander. This event is placed by Mr Grote (c. xcv) in 330 B.C., Ol. cxil 3, in which year Aristo-
phon was archon(Clinton, Fast. Hellen.). This is the usual explanation of the reference, and probably the right one. Mr Clinton, indeed, places the battle of Megalopolis about Sept. 331 B.C.; and inclines to the view of Casaubon that 'the battle in Aristophon's year' means the contest between Demosthenes and Aeschines in 330 b.c., when the latter spoke his oration Against Ctesiphon, and the former replied in the speech On the Crown. Were not Casaubon's proposed change of $\tau 0 \hat{\nu} \hat{\rho} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau o \rho o s$ to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\rho} \eta \tau \delta \rho \omega \nu$ a violent one, this ingenious view would have some probability. But it seems impossible that, without the help of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \rho \eta \tau \delta \rho \omega \nu, \mu d \chi \eta$ could bear such a sense. The words tov̂ $\rho \eta$ भropos are now usually bracketed as spurious. They were added by one who confused the Aristophon who was archon in 330 B.C., and who is otherwise unknown, either with (1) Aristophon of Azenia, who
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was dead in 330 b.C., Aesch. in Ctes. § I 39; or with (2) Aristophon of Collytus, also dead then; compare Dem. de Cor. $\S \$_{162}$ and 75. Both were distinguished as politicians and speakers.
23. the Lacedaemonian victory] This is usually understood of Aegospotami, 405 B.C.: and there was no other battle 'in the time of Lysander' of sufficient importance to have been alluded to in this way. If the clause is genuine, the Loquacious man for once seems to degenerate into Garrulity. The comparatively recent battle of Megalopolis ( 330 в.c.) may have had some real connexion with the political questions just discussed in the Ecclesia; but why he should go on to speak of an event so remote as the fight at Aegospotami,-
unless because this was a battle also, and one in which the fortune went the other way,-does not appear. See Crit. App.
32. a greater chatterer than a swallow] Dionysus in the Frogs (93) describes the swarms of chattering poetasters as 'colleges of swallows.' Virgil, too, calls the swallows 'garrulous' (Geo. Iv 307). There were other proverbs for loquacity: see Alexis in Athen. IV p. 133 § го:-
Not tailed cicada, jay, or nightingale, Not turtle-dove or grasshopper can match Thy chattering.
I. Newsmaking] The character described here is that of a maker, not merely a monger, of news. A deliberate impostor, not merely a reckless gossip, is the subject of the portrait. He 'assumes

Lacedaemonian victory in Lysander's time; also of the speech for which he himself once got glory in the Assembly; and he will throw in some abuse of 'the masses,' too, in the course of 25 his narrative; so that the hearers will either forget what it was about, or fall into a doze, or desert him in the middle and make their escape. Then, on a jury, he will hinder his fellows from coming to a verdict, at a theatre from seeing the play, at a dinner-party, from eating; saying that 'it is hard for a chatterer 30 to be silent,' and that this tongue will run, and that he could not hold it, though he should be thought a greater chatterer than a swallow. Nay, he will endure to be the butt of his own children, when, drowsy at last, they make their request to him in these terms-'Papa, chatter to us, that we may fall 35 asleep!'

## XX (VIII). The Newsmaker.

Newsmaking is the framing of fictitious sayings and doings at the pleasure of him who makes news.

The Newsmaker is a person who, when he meets his friend, will assume a demure air, and ask with a smile-'Where are you from, and what are your tidings? What news have you to give 5 about this affair?' And then he will reiterate the question'Is anything fresh rumoured ? Well certainly these are glorious
a demure air' that he may seem the more assured of his intelligence; he is careful to quote 'such authorities that no one can possibly lay hold upon them'; he makes 'plausible' comments upon his own story. It is the studied artifice implied in these touches which distinguishes him from the mere retailer, or even embellisher, of idle rumours, such as the 'scurra' in Plautus, who knows 'what Juno said to Jupiter' (Trinum. I 2, 171 ). At Athens more than in other cities the desire of news was a passion; other cities had their newsmongers; at Athens an exceptional demand produced the Newsmaker. (Cf. Lysias, Or. Xxil i4, ( $\tau$ às

 eloıv of 入oyomotoûvtes, and Juvenal, vi 407-412.)
(7. Is anything fresh rumoured ?] Dem. Phil. I p. 43 § 10:-'Or tell me, do ye like walking about and asking one another:-is there any news? Why, could there be greater news ( $\gamma^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu o \tau^{\prime} \text { äd }}$ т८ кaı $\boldsymbol{\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ; \text { ) than that a Macedonian }}$ is subduing Athenians and directing the affairs of Greece?' Acts xvii 2 : - $^{\text {'For }}$ all the Athenians and strangers which were there spent their time in nothing else, but either to tell, or to hear some new thing,' $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha u \nu \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$.)
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II. Asteius the fluteplayer] Asteius is supposed to be with the army. If it were only for festal purposes, musicians would always be found in a Greek camp. Fluteplayers, in particular, may have been there for two special purposes-as part of the military band, since Dorians, at least, like Asiatics (Her. I 17) usually marched to battle to the sound of the flute (Plut. Zyc. 21) -and also with a view to sacrifices, at which the flute was sometimes played (Ar. Peace 952).
II. Lycon the contractor] The term Epyodiopos included all who undertook work by contract; e.g. it might be applied to the sculptor who took an order for statues. In $3 r^{6}$ Cassander was besieging Pydna. He had sent for 'weapons and engines of all kinds' (Diod. xix 36); he had blockaded the city, and 'carried a palisade from sea to sea' (ib. 49). The 'contractor' may have been concerned with the works of the siege. (Puto ea aetate notum magis, quam nobilem, Athenis fuisse Lyconem istum, Casaubon.)
15. Polyperchon and the king] (Polyperchon is the form found in contemporary inscriptions, e.g. Corp. Inscr. Att. 11 723, in the Paris MS $B$, and in
the Munich Epitome of this passage of Theophrastus. Cp. Niese's Geschichte der griech. und mak. Staaten, i 234 м. I. The time referred to is probably the latter half of 319 b.c., Ol. Cxv 2 2). The discussion as to the date may be elucidated by the following table of events:-

323 B.c. Death of Alexander the Great (in the first half of June). Philip Arrhidaeus, the imbecile half-brother of Alexander, is declared king; a share in the sovereignty being reserved for the unborn child of Alexander by Roxana. A regent is appointed to govern for Philip Arrhidaeus. The child of Roxana (Alexander IV) is born in the same year.
319. Death of the regent Antipater (in the first half of the year). He is described as still alive in c. vi. He bequeaths his office, with the guardianship of the joint kings, Philip Arrhidaeus and Alexander IV, to Polyperchon, one of Alexander's generals. Cassander, son of Antipater, disappointed of the regency, goes to war with Polyperchon. Athens presently declares for Cassander. At the same time Eurydice, wife of Philip Arrhidaeus, resolves to throw off the authority of the regent. Roxana flies with her young son
tidings!' Then, without allowing the other to answer, he will go on-‘What say you? You have heard nothing? I flatter myself that I can treat you to some news'; and he has a soldier, io or a slave of Asteius the fluteplayer, or Lycon the contractor, just arrived from the field of battle, from whom he says that he has heard of it. In fact the authorities for his statements are always such that no one can possibly lay hold upon them. Quoting these, he relates how Polyperchon and the king have won $I_{5}$ the battle, and Cassander has been taken alive; and, if anyone says to him, 'But do you believe this ?'_-'Why,' he will answer, 'the town rings with it! The report grows firmer and firmereveryone is agreed-they all give the same account of the battle'; adding that the hash has been dreadful; and that he can tell it, 20 too, from the faces of the Government-he observes that they have all changed countenance. He speaks also of having heard privately that the authorities have a man hid in a house who

Alexander IV to Aeacides, king of Epeirus.
317. Polyperchon invades Macedonia with Aeacides, accompanied by Olympias, mother of Alexander the Great. Eurydice is defeated. She and her husband Philip Arrhidaeus are put to death.
316. Cassander goes to Macedonia and besieges Pydna, into which Olympias has thrown herself with Roxana and Alexander IV. Aeacides and Polyperchon are prevented from succouring Pydna by the defection of their troops (Diod. XIX 36). The town falls; Cassander puts Olympias to death, and imprisons Alexander, with his mother Roxana, in Amphipolis.
(It has been a matter of dispute whether 'the king' in the text is the young Alexander IV or Philip Arrhidaeus. Schwarz and Jebb declared in favour of the former. Jebb supposed that the Newsmaker's story belonged to the year 316, while Cassander was advancing to the siege of Pydna (1. II). At that time Philip Arrhidaeus had been put to death, and Polyperchon was endeavouring to aid Alexander IV. The latter was
accordingly identified as 'the king' in conjunction with whom Polyperchon had 'won the battle.' But, in 316, Alexander IV was only a boy of seven, and it is unnatural to describe him as 'winning a battle' at that age. Other considerations point to 319 as the true date and to Philip Arrhidaeus as 'the king.' This identification was suggested by Casaubon, who was followed by Ast, Ussing, and Cichorius. As successor of his halfbrother, Alexander the Great, he reigned from 323 to 317 under the regency of Perdikkas and Antipater and (on the death of Antipater in the first half of 319) under that of Polyperchon. In the second half of 319 he was supported by Polyperchon, and it was not until the last few months of his life that he was opposed by him. See the discussion by Cichorius on Pp. LIx $f$ of the Leipzig edition.)
20. the hash has been dreadfui] $\tau \partial \nu$ $\zeta \omega \mu \dot{\nu}$, lit. 'the broth,'-the carnage. The introduction of this phrase seems happily characteristic. A spirited metaphor is convenient to the utterer of a fiction.
(21. the Government] Phocion and the oligarchical party of 319 B.C.)
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(27. he was a strong man once] Cassander was destitute of men and money when he fled to the Macedonian court, early in 319. But he soon succeeded in winning the support of Ptolemy, Antigonus and Lysimachus, and thus getting the harbours of Athens under bis control, and forming a large fleet, so that during the same year he was able to confront Polyperchon with a considerable force. Cf. p. 73 of the Leipzig edition.)
35. the Porch] See note on c. $1,6$.
37. What workshop] See note on c. XVII, 15 .
I. Bril-speaking] This character differs from all the others drawn by Theophrastus in being seriously odious. Still, the како入byos described here is too eager and outspoken to be a detractor of the most vicious kind. 'The sting of ill-temper'-as the last sentence of the chapter phrases it-makes him petulant and bitter; but this very petulance has a comic side. He reminds us more of
came just five days ago from Macedonia, and who knows it all. And in narrating all this-only think!-he will be plausibly 25 pathetic, saying 'Unlucky Cassander! Poor fellow! Do you see what fortune is? Well, well, he was a strong man once...': adding 'No one but you must know this'-when he has run up to everybody in town with the news.
[It is a standing puzzle to me what object such men can $3^{\circ}$ have in their inventions; for, besides telling falsehoods, they incur positive loss. Often have cloaks been lost by those of them who draw groups round them at the baths; often has judgment gone by default against those who were winning battles or seafights in the Porch; and some there are who, while mounting 35 the imaginary breach, have missed their dinner. Their manner of life is indeed most miserable. What porch is there, what workshop, what part of the market-place which they do not haunt all day long, exhausting the patience of their hearers in this way, and wearying them to death with their fictions?]

## XXI (XXVIII). The Evil-speaker.

The habit of Evil-speaking is a bent of the mind towards putting things in the worst light.

The Evil-speaker is one who, when asked who so-and-so is, will reply, in the style of genealogists, ' I will begin with his parentage. This person's father was originally called Sosias; 5

Mrs Candour than of Iago.-For the word $\alpha^{2} \gamma \omega \gamma$ in the Definition see Crit. App.
4. in the style of genealogists] whose study was a very popular one in Greece. Hesiod's Theogony and the Genealogies of Hecataeus (in which the myths and family legends were treated historically) may be taken as representative instances of the early Greek taste for tracing pedigree. In Plato's Cratylus there is a sarcasm on this taste,-so far, at least, as it concerned the immortals. After observing that Zeus was the son of Cronos, Cronos of Ouranos, Socrates regrets that he does not remember "the
pedigree given by Hesiod, and whom he states to have been the remoter ancestors of these persons.' (p. 396 c .) Compare Plut. de Curios. c. 2 (people neglect their own concerns, while) 'they trace the descent of others, showing that their neighbour's grandfather was a Syrian and his grandmother a Thracian.'
5. Sosias] a Thracian name, Xen. Vect. 4, 14. In the Wasps, and in Terence's Hecyra, in is the name of a slave; in the Andria, of a freedman. The man is said to have changed his original name, which bewrayed a barbarian origin, first for that of Sosistratus, suggestive of gallant ancestors, then for



















that of Sosidemus，which speaks still more eloquently of a descent from Athenian patriots．Compare Lucian＇s Timon c．2I，where the sudden inheritor of wealth is transformed＇from the some－ time Pyrrhias or Dromo or Tibius，into Megacles or Megabyzus or Protarchus．＇ And so，in the Dream，c．14，Simon，on becoming rich，dilates into Simonides．

6．In the ranks］This need not mean more than that he had served among the mercenaries of Athens． Hired troops had long formed by far the larger proportion of her military force；thus 10,000 mercenaries（ $\xi=1$ and only 4000 citizens go to Olynthus （Dem．de F．Legat．§266）．In the allied Greek army which met Philip at Chae－ ronea there were altogether 17,000 mercenaries（de Cor．§ 237）．Thrace， the country of Sosias，furnished Athens
with cavalry and peltasts in the Pelo－ ponnesian war（Thuc．II 29）．But the како入буos probably means to hint that Sosias had been a Thracian slave－ enrolled among the city－guard of public
 were sometimes called into the field：see Boeckh P．E．bk II c．Ir．

6．Sosistratus］A name illustrious in Sicilian history．The best－known Sosis－ tratus was tyrant of Syracuse for a short time before the accession of Agathocles in 317 B．C．

6 f ．when he was enrolled in his deme］A man was an Athenian citizen either（ r ）as the son of parents both of whom were citizens，一 $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau 0 \hat{u}$ кal $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma{ }^{2} \omega \dot{s}:$ or（2）by adoption，－ $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \in \ell$ mo入litns，Dem．adv．Lept．p． 466 § 30．In the latter case he was，upon adoption，enrolled in an assigned deme．
in the ranks he came to rank as Sosistratus; and, when he was enrolled in his deme, as Sosidemus. His mother, I may add, is a noble damsel of Thrace-at least she is called 'my life' in the language of Corinth-and they say that such ladies are esteemed noble in their own country. Our friend himself, as might be io expected from his parentage, is-a rascally scoundrel.' He is very fond, also, of saying to one: ' Of course- $I$ understand that sort of thing; you do not err in your way of describing it to our friends and me. These women snatch the passers-by out of the very street... That is a house which has not the best of ${ }_{15}$. characters... Really there is something in that proverb about the women...In short, they have a trick of gossiping with men,-and they answer the hall-door themselves.'

It is just like him, too, when others are speaking evil, to join in :-And $I$ hate that man above all men. He looks a $20^{\circ}$ scoundrel-it is written on his face; and his baseness-it defies description. Here is a proof-he allows his wife, who brought him six talents of dowry and has borne him a child, three farthings for the luxuries of the table; and makes her wash with cold water on Poseidon's day.' When he is sitting with 25

A person who, not being a citizen in either of these ways, had his name on the list of a deme, was liable to a $\xi \in \nu$ las र $\rho a \phi \eta$. A case of fraudulent registration is mentioned in Dem. adv. Leoch. p. 1091. To guard against frauds, every register was periodically revised, and doubtful claims were voted upon ( $\delta \iota a \psi \dot{\eta}-$ $\phi \iota \sigma t$, argum. Dem. adv. Eubul.).
8. a noble damsel of Thrace] See Plat. Theaet. p. 175 D, where it is said that mental clumsiness 'does not excite the ridicule of Thracian maidservants or of any other uneducated person, for they do not perceive it.' Again, ib. p. 174 A, the $\theta_{\rho} \hat{q} \tau \tau a$ is the type of an uncouth barbarian. 'Thratta,' like Syra, occurs as a proper name, Dem. in Neaer. p. 1357.
9. in the Ianguage of Corinth] See Crit. App.
18. they answer the hall-door themselves] Describing the consternation produced at Athens by the news of

Chaeronea, Lycurgus says-'Freeborn women might be seen at the doors of houses, scared, stricken with dismay,... a sight unworthy of themselves and of the city' (in Leocr. P. 153 § 40).
24. for the luxuries of the table] els oै $\psi o v$. He provides his wife with necessary food, i.e. $\sigma$ íros, bread; everything beyond this,-meat, fish, etc., $8 \psi$ or -she has to find out of her allowance. Aristophanes mentions among the established customs of Athenian wives that of 'marketing surreptitiously on their own account' (aíraîs $\pi$ apoభ $\omega \nu \in \hat{i} \nu:$ Eccl. 666).
24. makes her wash with cold water] The warm bath-denounced in the Clouds (423 B.c.) as a novel luxury-was already in Xenophon's time regarded as an almost necessary comfort; see Mem. ili 13, 3 . The penurious husband grudges the cost of this cheap luxury.
25. on Poseidon's day] Probably the great day of the Poseidonia,--a festival ranked by Athenaeus with the Eleusinia
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as a great gathering, $\pi \alpha \nu \nmid \gamma \nu p t s$ (XII p. 500). As the Anthesteria and the Lenaea were respectively held in the months of the same name, it is probable that the Poseidonia fell in Poseideon,the month answering to the latter half of December and the first half of January. Offerings to Poseidon on the 8th day of that month are mentioned in the Corp. Inscr. Gr. I 523 (Michel's Recueil, No. 692). 'On Poseidon's day,' then, means merely 'in the depth of winter.'
33. the character of insanity and frenzy] Because a bitterness so extreme against others, and such reckless impiety as that of blaspheming the dead, imply a mind which the gods have afflicted. As moderation, $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma$ viv $\eta$, was the first of virtues to a Greek, so the sense which he
gave to $\mu$ avckós was large. It included every violent sin against the principle of
 $\nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ ), -e.g. excessive railing at one's neighbours. See Plato Symp. p. 173 D, where it appears that a bitterly censorious person had acquired the nickname of $\mu$ avıкбs. Cambyses, in his daring impieties, exactly fulfilled the Greek conception of $\mu$ apla: see Her. III 29, 33.

1. Grumbling] Discontent, in its general sense, includes the quality which Theophrastus describes here, and which may be rendered 'Grumbling.' Discontent is either active or passive; but usage has given a predominance to the active sense of the word. When a man is said to be 'discontented,' it is usually implied that he feels a restless desire to improve
others, he loves to criticise one who has just left the circle; nay, if he has found an occasion, he will not abstain from abusing his own relations. Indeed, he will say all manner of injurious things of his friends and relatives, and of the dead; misnaming slander 'plain speaking,' 'republican candour,' 'independence,' 30 and making it the chief pleasure of his life.
[Thus can the sting of ill temper produce in men the character of insanity and frenzy.]

## XXII (XVII). The Grumbler.

Grumbling is undue censure of one's portion.
The Grumbler is one who, when his friend has sent him a present from his table, will say to the bearer, 'You grudged me my soup and my poor wine, or you would have asked me to dinner.' He will be annoyed with Zeus, not for not raining, but 5 for raining too late; and, if he finds a purse on the road, 'Ah,' he will say, 'but I have never found a treasure!' When he has bought a slave cheap after much coaxing of the seller, 'It is strange,' he will remark, 'if I have got a sound lot, such a bargain.' To one who brings him the good news, 'A son is ro born to you,' he will reply, 'If you add that I have lost half my

[^23]unlike the Distrustful man, he does not entertain it so seriously as to take secret counsel with it; it is with him rather a trick of speech, bred by despondency; and, instead of prompting him to guard against wrongs, finds vent merely in protestations that he has been wronged.

2 f . sent him a present from his table] See note on c. III, 6.
7. never found a treasure] See note on c. xxvi, 88.
ro. brings - the good news, 'A son is born to you'] In Lucian's Charon (c. I7) Hermes, acting as guide to the ferryman of Hades in a holiday visit to earth, points out to him a man 'who is rejoicing because his wife has borne to him a male child, and is feasting his friends on the occasion.'
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13. by a unanimous verdict] No. slight triumph where there were 500 jurors, or perbaps twice or three times that number. If the defendant in an action gained more than four-fifths of the votes, the plaintiff was fined; the unanimity, on a large Athenian jury, of even four-fifths being considered to imply a case so triumphantly clear that the other side deserved to be punished for presumably vexatious proceedings.
13. the composer of his speech] Antipho (borm in 480 b.c.) is said to have been the first professional doyo$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho \dot{a} \phi 0 s,-i . e . \quad$ writer, for money, of speeches which his employers delivered in court. Lysias, Isocrates and (in early life) Demosthenes were among the great orators who exercised this professiondespised, like that of the sophists, chiefly because it was paid. Contrasting the career of Demosthenes with the undeniable respectability of his father, Aeschines says:-'The trierarch appeared changed
into a speech-writer-so ludicrously did he belie his father's antecedents' (in Ctes. p. $7^{8} \S 173$ ). Demosthenes retorts the accusation:- 'Well, he applies to others the contemptuous names of speech-writer and sophist, and attempts to deride them; yet he himself will be proved liable to these charges....Now are not you a speechwriter, and a vile one?' (de F. Legat. p. 418 § 246). In the Phaedrus we find that a like taunt was addressed to Lysias (p. $2_{57}$ c).-Cf. note on c. xxx, 16.

1. Distrustfulness] Speaking of the general characteristics of elderly men, Aristotle says:-'They are ill-disposed (какоүөєts) ; for an ill-disposition consists in putting the worst construction upon everything. They are also prone to sinister suspicions ( $\kappa \alpha \chi$ ण́топтoc), through their distrustfulness ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau(\alpha \nu)$; and distrustful, through experience.' In this passage of Aristotle Distrustfulness has its most general sense, denoting merely reluctance to take things on credit. Out
property, you will speak the truth.' When he has won a lawsuit by a unanimous verdict, he will find fault with the composer of his speech for having left out several of the points in his case. If a subscription has been raised for him by his friends, and I5 someone says to him 'Cheer up!'-'Cheer up?' he will answer; ' when I have to refund his money to every man, and to be grateful besides, as if I had been done a service!'

## XXIII (XVIII). The Distrustrul Man.

Distrustfulness is a presumption that all men are unjust. The Distrustful man is one who, having sent his slave to market, will send another to ascertain what price he gave. He will carry his money himself, and sit down every two-hundred yards to count it. <He will ask his wife in bed if she has locked 5 the wardrobe, and if the cupboard has been sealed, and the bolt
of this, when carried too far, springs a fault, какоخ $\theta$ cla, -a tendency to construe unfavourably all the actions and motives of others. какоп $\theta \epsilon \epsilon a$, again, has a special form, кахиточ la; that is, excessive distrust of the actions and motives of others as they affect one's self. Now the d $\pi t \sigma \tau l a$ described by Theophrastus is not the general $\alpha \pi \iota \sigma t l a$ of Aristotle. It is not even coextensive with какоэ่ $\theta \epsilon \iota \alpha$. It is that form which кaхuпoula takes in a mind rather weak and mean than malicious. Hence the Distrustful man of Theophrastus presents an outward resemblance to his Penurious man; insomuch that one of the traits of the latter has been transferred by many editors to the former (see Crit. App. XxiII, ir). Many of their actions are, indeed, formally identical; the difference lies in the motives and consequent moral significance.
2. having sent his slave to market] See note on c. Xvir, irf.
4. will carry his money himself] The Distrustful man can, as we see below, afford a slave to attend him in
his walks; but he does not allow this slave, as was usual, to carry the purse. Compare c. vI, where the Boaster chides his attendant for having come out without gold. So probably in c. viI: 'when he pays a mina, he will cause (the slave) to pay the sum in new coin.'
6. if the cupboard has been sealed] This was done with wax called jóror, Ar. Lys. 1200. Doors, when sealed, were not usually locked, the object being merely that the master might know if they had been tampered with. Diogenes has a story of a person who used to seal up his store-room and then throw the signet-ring in through a slit in the door. His servants, discovering this, used to break open the store-room, seal it up again, and throw back the ring (iv 8 §59). The wives in the Thesmophoriazusae complain that forged signet-rings no longer secure their escape from their sealed apartments; their husbands now carry worm-woodseals ( $\theta \rho \iota \pi \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma(\delta t a),-$ mottled in imitation of worm-eaten wood, so that the task of making exact copies would be endless (v. 427).
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#### Abstract

1r. in the presence of witnesses] Some understand 'the same persons who originally witnessed the loan.' But this seems a needless refinement. The Distrustful man brings witnesses simply in order that, if his creditor repudiates the debt, the fact of the repudiation may be established. His remedy is then easy; for he has of course preserved evidence of the loan. 12. to send his cloak to be cleaned] See note on c. $\mathrm{xxv}, 18$. 14. security for the fuller] He prefers the workman, whether skilful or not, who can find a friend to go bail in a satisfactory amount for the due return of the cloak.

I4, to ask the loan of cups] Pieces of gold or silver plate were often lent between neighbours for the table or for


a sacrifice (compare note on c. XIV, 2I). Athenaeus tells a story of a pretentious host whose table was covered with plate borrowed among his friends, and who bragged of his readiness 'to break all these things and get new ones.' A guest observed, 'then you will destroy every man's own' (XIII p. 585). See the Rhetorica ad Herennium IV 50 (a pretender to wealth has brought some guests home to dinner): ' He had charged his slave Sannio to borrow plate, couchcoverings, servants; and the fellow, who was not without shrewdness, had mustered a very fair show. Our hero brings home his guests-observing that he has lent his "largest" house to a friend for a wedding. The slave whispers that the plate is wanted back-(in fact the lender had felt extremely uneasy). "Go to!"
put upon the hall-door; and, if the reply is 'Yes,' not the less will he forsake the blankets, and light the lamp and run about shirtless and shoeless to inspect all these matters, and barely thus find sleep.) He will demand his interest from his creditors in 10 the presence of witnesses, to prevent the possibility of their repudiating the debt. He is apt also to send his cloak to be cleaned, not to the best workman, but wherever he finds sterling security for the fuller. When anyone comes to ask the loan of cups, he will, if possible, refuse ; but, if perchance it is an intimate $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ friend or a relation, he will almost assay the cups in the fire, and weigh them, and do everything but take security, before he lends them. Also he will order his slave, when he attends him, to walk in front and not behind, as a precaution against his running away in the street. To persons who have bought something of him and 20 say, 'How much is it? Enter it in your books, for I am too busy to send the money yet,'-he will reply: 'Do not trouble yourself; if you are not at leisure, I will accompany you.'

## XXIV (X). The Penurious Man.

Penuriousness is too strict attention to profit and loss.
quoth he; "I have lent him my housegiven him my servants-and now he wants my plate! Well, though I have guests, he shall have a loan of it. We will enjoy ourselves off Samian earthenware."
16. he will-almost assay the cups in the fire] His unwillingness to lend them is so extreme that he seems as if he wished solemnly to prove the fineness of the metal and to register the weight, and then to take formal securities, before parting with his cups. See Crit. App.
18. his slave, when he attends him] Citizens of the richer class were usually attended by a slave when they went out: see $\mathrm{cc} . \mathrm{Iv}, \mathrm{VI}, \mathrm{vil}$. On the other hand, it is a mark of arrogance in Meidias that he is attended by 'three or four slaves' (Dem. in Meid. § I58).
20. to persons who have bought
something of him] On the text, see Crit. App. The meaning appears to be as follows:-The buyer has no money with him; and says that he cannot immediately send it by a servant from his house, as he has business to transact before going home. He therefore requests the seller to make a memorandum of the amount. The distrustful seller's suspicions are aroused. 'Do not take the trouble of sending a servant with the money' he says; 'if you have business to do, I will accompany you to the places which you must visit, and then go home with you and receive the money myself.'

1. Penuriousness] 'There seem to be several modes of Illibetality (dyenevocplas). For whereas it consists in two things,--defect in giving and excess in taking,-it is not present in its entirety to all, but is sometimes divided; so that
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some men exceed in taking, and others fall short in giving' (Ar. Eth. N. rv i). The Love of Money is considered by Theophrastus in the twofold aspect indicated by Aristotle. The sketches of the Penurious and of the Mean man portray it chiefly as a defect in giving, that of the Avaricious man, as an excess in taking.
(ז) The Penurious man, or Reckoner-of-trifles, answers to that class of the illiberal whom Aristotle describes as 'stingy' ( $\phi \varepsilon \iota \delta \omega \lambda o l$ ), 'close-fisted' ( $\gamma \lambda \iota \sigma$ $\chi \rho 01$ ), 'skin-flints' ( $\kappa(\mu \beta \iota \kappa \in s) . \mathrm{He}$ is minutely and consistently economical. He enforces his own rights to the uttermost ; the rights of others he barely satisfies, but does not invade. He may even act from a certain sense of faimess, and from fear of being compelled to do something shameful (Eth. N. iv i). His fault is not necessarily more than that of misjudging the degree of economy which it is his duty to practise.
(2) The Mean man ( $\alpha v \in \lambda \in \dot{\theta} \theta \in p o s)$ of Theophrastus answers nearly to the Shabby man ( $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho o \pi \rho \in \pi \eta_{i s}$ ) of Aristotle (Eth. N. iv 2). The distinctive thing about him is the disproportion between his economies and his fortunes. He is a trierarch; and borrows the steersman's rugs. He gives a large wedding-feast;
and grudges food to the servants. Yet, like the Penurious man, though he treats others shabbily, he does not defraud them.
(3) The Avaricious man (aloxpoкє $\rho \delta$ ths Ar. Eth. N. Iv 1) 'takes whence he ought not, and more than he ought.' He cheats everyone: he sells watered wine to his friends, and gives short measure to his slaves. As described by Theophrastus, he includes the other two characters. Thus, like the $\mu$ ккро $\quad$ byos, he sets too little bread on the table; and, like the $\alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \rho o s$, he shirks giving a wedding-present.
2. while the month is current] Interest on money was at Athens often reckoned by the month. Thus 10 per cent. per annum was usually called 'fiveobol interest'-i.e. the payment of five obols for the use of 600 (one mina) monthly. The last day of the monthfor which the Penurious man refuses to wait-was pay-day. Strepsiades in the Clouds, deploring his son's extravagance, says: 'and $I$ am in despair when I see the moon drawing the month out of its teens; the interest grows apace' (v. 16). Again (v. IIzo):-'and then, that day which of all I most dread and abhor and detest-then comes the last of the month (t $\left.\downarrow \eta \tau \in \kappa \alpha l \nu^{\prime} \in a\right)$. Everyone of my creditors

The Penurious man is one who, while the month is current, will come to one's house and ask for a half-obol. When he is at table with others, he will count how many cups each of them has drunk; and will pour a smaller libation to Artemis than any of 5 the company. Whenever a person has made a good bargain for him and charges him with it, he will say that it is too dear. When a servant has broken a jug or a plate, he will take the value out of his rations; or, if his wife has dropped a threefarthing piece, he is capable of moving the furniture and the 10 sofas and the wardrobes, and of rummaging in the curtains. If he has anything to sell, he will dispose of it at such a price that the buyer shall have no profit. He is not likely to let one eat a fig from his garden, or walk through his land, or pick up one
vows that he will commence an action and beggar me.'
5. a smaller libation to Artemis] This probably refers to a banquet given during a festival of Artemis. See Plut. de Glor. Athen. 7: 'The Athenians have consecrated to Artemis the r6th day of Munychion (April-May) on which, while they were conquering at Salamis, she shone on them full-orbed.' Plutarch also mentions (de Herod. malig. 26) that before the battle of Marathon the Athenians had vowed to Artemis of the Chase (Agrotera) as many kids as they should slay barbarians. The number of the slain proved countless; they compounded therefore with the goddess by decreeing to sacrifice 500 kids yearly. The Marathon-day was Boëdromion 6th (late in September). The allusion in the text may be either to the spring or to the autumn festival. The only divinities to whom it is known that libations were ordinarily made at dinner were ( I ) the Good Genius, dyäds $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, ( 2 ) the Zeus and Hera, Teleioi of marriage, (3) the Heroes, (4) Zeus Soter.
7. and charges him with it] For $\lambda_{\text {ojifictal }}=$ imputat, see Ar. Plutus 38 I : ${ }^{\text {' Oh well, } I \text { do believe (Heaven knows!) }}$ that you would spend three minas in a friendly way, and charge me with twelve'
( $\tau \rho e i ̂ s ~ \mu \nu a ̂ s ~ a ̀ \nu a \lambda \omega ́ \sigma a s ~ \lambda o \gamma l \sigma a \sigma \theta a s ~ \delta \omega \delta \delta \epsilon к a)$. So Arist. Oecon. II 34.
8. broken a jug] Dionysus, in the Frogs, thus describes the spirit of the age: 'Now every Athenian when he comes home screams to his servants, "Where is that jug?" "Who has eaten off the sardine's head?" "The bowl that I bought last year is no more!"' (v. 980).
9. out of his rations] A quart (choenix) of meal a-day, with figs and olives, and a little wine and vinegar, seem to have formed the ordinary rations of a Greek slave. To replace, out of these, even a jug, must have required prudence. In the Phormio of Terence Davus complains of the iniquitous fashion which compels his fellow-servant Geta to make a present to the bride of his master's son. 'What he, poor fellow, has saved up with difficulty, ounce by ounce, out of his rations, defrauding his appetite, she will snatch at one swoop, little reckoning with what pains it has been hoarded ${ }^{2}$ (Iig).
(9f. a three-farthing piece] $\tau \rho l \chi a \lambda \kappa о \nu$, short for $\tau \rho \iota \eta \mu \iota \tau \epsilon \tau a \rho \tau \eta \mu \delta \rho \iota o \nu$, a very small silver coin worth three $\chi a \lambda \kappa 0 \hat{\imath}$, or threeeighths of an obol.)

13 f. eat a fig from his garden] Compare Plato's Lazes viil p. 844 E :
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'If a stranger, having come into Attica, desire to eat the ripe fruit as he passes along the roads, let him pluck the
 Ast) without payment and as a guest-gift,-one attendant being also privileged; but of the "wild" fruit, as it is called, let the law restrain our visitors from partaking.' (In contrast to the illiberality of the Penurious man, we have the generosity of Cimon, 'who had no fences to any of his estates, so that anyone who pleased could help himself to the fruit,' Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, c. 27.)

15 f. inspect his boundaries] The boundary-line between farms was usually marked by large stones or slabs ( ${ }^{( } \rho \rho o c$ ). (When the land was mortgaged, the fact was inscribed on these slabs; cf. Solon in Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, c. 12, with Sandys' note, p. 45 f.) The Roman termini were sometimes stones, sometimes wooden posts. Ovid exhorts the god of boundaries not to allow dishonest encroachments (Fasti II 677): -
To wheedling neighbours lend not thou an ear, Lest mortals above Jove thou seem to fear; But, whether plough or harrow graze the line, Cry 'There is your field-this, I think, is mine.'
17. to enforce the right of distraining] $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon p \eta \mu \epsilon \rho l a \nu \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi a{ }^{2}$. When, in a civil action, the court ordered the pay-
ment of money or the delivery of property, a day was named on or before which the order should be obeyed. The defaulter became liable, as $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \rho o s$, to an execution in his house ( $\varepsilon \nu \in \chi v \rho \alpha \zeta \in \sigma \theta a \iota)$. The same was the case when a loan, or interest upon a loan, had become overdue (Ar. Clouds 34). But to exercise the right of distraining, except in the last resort, seems to have been thought harsh. See the speech of Demosthenes against Euergus. A trierarch had obtained an order of the Senate for the delivery of certain ship-furniture which a citizen, bound to furnish it, had withheld. The term fixed by the order has expired; the need is urgent. Yet the claimant 'allows some days to elapse,' and only when all remonstrances have failed threatens to distrain (p. I 149). For another instance see Demosth. in Meid. p. 540.

I7 f. to exact compound interest] The rates of interest in Greece were high, ranging ordinarily from 10 to 30 or 40 per cent. To exact compound interest was thought extortionate. Ar. Clouds 115: 'A plague on you obolweighers, you and your "principal" and your "interest uponinterest."' In Lucian's Auction of Careers ( $\pi \rho \hat{\rho} \sigma \iota s \beta l \omega \nu$ )-where various lots in life are described and praised by eminent representatives-the
of the olives or dates that lie on the ground; and he will inspect $I_{5}$ his boundaries day by day to see if they remain the same. He is apt, also, to enforce the right of distraining, and to exact compound interest. When he feasts the men of his parish, the cutlets set before them will be small; when he markets, he will come in having bought nothing. And he will forbid his wife to 20 lend salt, or a lamp-wick, or cummin, or verjuice, or meal for sacrifice, or garlands, or cakes; saying that these trifles come to much in the year. Then, in general, it may be noticed that the money-boxes of the penurious are mouldy, and the keys rusty; that they themselves wear their cloaks scarcely reaching to the 25 thigh; that they anoint themselves with very small oil-flasks; that they have their hair cut close; that they take off their

Stoic Chrysippus defends the combination of philosophy with usury:-'Yes, the wise man, indeed, is the only man whom it can become to lend....Aye, and he will not take simple interest merely, like the rest of the world, but fresh interest upon that' (c. 23).
18. When he feasts the men of his parish] Every Athenian citizen was a member ( r ) by descent, of one of the ten tribes formed by Cleisthenes, and (2) of one of the three phratriae or clans into which each tribe was divided; (3) according to his place of residence, of one of the demes or parishes-not necessarily con-tiguous-which each tribe comprised. Fellow-tribesmen ( $\phi u \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \iota$ ), fellow-clansmen ( $ф р a ́ \tau о \rho \epsilon s$ ), and fellow-parishioners ( $\delta \eta \mu b \tau a l$ ) had common sacrifices and banquets. A festival of tribesmen is mentioned in Demosth. in Meid. § I56: a festival of clansmen below in c. Xxvi. The dinner of fellow-parishioners mentioned here is probably one of those which followed a sacrifice, and which were given by certain members of the deme in rotation. The Mean man performs this duty shabbily.-Compare a fragment from the Xelpous of Cratinus (the younger) in Meineke, p. 5 I5: 'After many a year I have come home from the wars-found out with difficulty my kinsmen, clansmen, demesmen-and been enrolled upon their
 'their side-board': the schol. explains it $\sigma v \mu \pi \delta \sigma \iota o \nu)$.
19. When he markets] See note on c. XVII, IIf.
21. to lend salt] See note on c. XIV, 21 .

2I f. meal-garlands-cakes] Barleymeal, mixed with salt, was strewn before the sacrifice on the victim's head. Garlands were worn by the sacrificers, and sometimes placed on the victim. Cakes were burnt on the altar. At the sacrifice in the Peace (v. 1041), the thighs of the victim are first laid on the fire; the entrails and the cakes ( $\theta \nu \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ) are then placed upon them.
25. scarcely reaching to the thigh] Athenian fashion seems to have been fastidious in regard to the length of the cloak. The wearing of 'short cloaks' is mentioned in the Protagoras among those things which mark an affectation of Spartan austerity ( $\mathrm{p} \cdot 34^{2} \mathrm{c}$ ) ; and in c. XIv, 9 we have seen that it is a mark of rusticity. On the other hand the arrogant Aeschines is described 'walking through the market-place with his cloak drooping to his ankles' (Dem. de $F$. Legat. § 314).
27. have their hair cut close] In order that it may be a long time before it is necessary to have it cut again. The
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philosophers in the Cloud's are described as 'clever, sensible men, not one of whom -soeconomical are they-was ever known to have his hair cut' (v. 834). Closeclipped hair was, at Athens, properly a mark of mourning. Thus Theramenes, when, after Arginusae, he wished to excite a feeling against the generals, hired men to appear at the Apaturia in black clothes 'with their hair cut close"
 seem to be relatives of the lost' (Xen. Hellen. I 7, 8). At Sparta, however, it was the ordinary fashion; and so, for a time, the Penurious man's hair would be in keeping with his Spartan-like cloak.
28. In the middle of the day] when people went home to the noontide siesta -as Horace did, at the same hour, to his luncheon and his 'rest in the house' (domesticus otior, Sat. I 6, 128). The Penurious man seizes the opportunity of sparing his shoes by taking them off during this interval of seclusion. Compare the Lysistrata v. 4r8. A shoe pinches, and this order is given to the shoemaker :--'Come at noon, and ease it.'
29. the fuller] See note on c. Xxv , 18.
I. Meanness] See note on c. xxiv, 1.

3f. When he has gained the prize in a tragic contest] Not as the poet,
but as the choregus who brought out the tragedy, and for whom its success was considered a distinction hardly less than for the author.
4. will dedicate a wooden scroll] The duties of the choregia consisted in finding maintenance and instruction for the chorus (in tragedy, usually of 15 persons) as long as they were in training; and in providing the dresses and equipments for the performance. Lysias speaks of two such choregiae costing together about £200 (de bon. Aristoph. §42), and of another which cost about $£_{I 20}$ ( $\dot{\pi} \pi 0 \lambda$. jwpod. § 161). The Mean man, like Aristotle's $\mu\llcorner\kappa \rho \circ \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi \dot{\eta}$ s, 'after a great expenditure mars the honour of it for a trifle' (Eth. N. iv 2). Instead of offering in the temple of Dionysus, or displaying in some public place, the bronze tripod which was awarded to a successful choregus, he dedicates merely a narrow tablet of wood, carved to resemble a scroll, and thus records his victory in the cheapest possible way.Isaeus numbers among the private adorners of Athens 'those who had offered in the temple of Dionysus the tripods which they had gained as victorious choregi' (de Dicaeog. hered. p. Ir3); and Plutarch says that Nicias had presented to the temple a shrine ( $\nu \epsilon \omega \omega^{\prime} s$ ) on which these tripods were placed (Vit. Nic. 3). Before the time of Theo-
shoes in the middle of the day; and that they are urgent with the fuller to let their cloak have plenty of earth, in order that it may not soon be soiled.

## XXV (XXII). The Mean Man.

Meanness is an excessive indifference to honour where expense is concerned.

The Mean man is one who, when he has gained the prize in a tragic contest, will dedicate a wooden scroll to Dionysus, having had it inscribed with his own name. When subscrip- 5 tions for the treasury are being made, he will rise in silence from his place in the Ecclesia, and go out from the midst. When he is celebrating his daughter's marriage, he will sell
phrastus a more costly fashion had come in-that of placing the prize-tripod in a small shrine built specially for it, either in the precincts of the Theatre or in the 'Street of Tripods' (Paus. I 20) on the east side of the Acropolis. One such monument remains,-that of Lysicrates, choregus in 335 b.c. The site of the chapel dedicated in 320 B.C. by the choregus Thrasyllus (Paus. I 21) is still marked by a cave above the theatre on the south side of the Acropolis. Contrasted with this new practice, the Mean man's conduct would seem still meaner than it would have done at an earlier time. (See, in general, Reisch, Gr. Weihgeschenken, 117 ff ., and Rouse, Greek Votive Offerings, 1902, $157-9$.)

5 f. subscriptions for the treasury] $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \delta \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$, -'benevolences' contributed by the citizens in emergencies of the State; usually to defray the expense of military operations which had suddenly become necessary. In such cases the presidents ( $\pi \rho v \tau \dot{d} \nu \in t s$ ) of the Ecclesia made the appeal at a sitting of the house. Citizens who intended to subscribe then came forward severally and gave in their names. Meidias is accused by Demosthenes of having been backward on an
occasion of this kind, and of having at last subscribed only in hope of escaping personal military service (in Meid. § 62 ). The double meaning of $\epsilon \pi i \delta i \delta \omega \mu$-to 'contribute' in this way, and to 'make progress'-furnishes the point of a story about Phocion's dissolute son. 'Once, when subscriptions to the treasury were being made, he, too, came forward in the Ecclesia, and said "I also advance-" "in profigacy!" roared the House with one accord' (Athenaeus IV p. 168).
8. celebrating his daughter's marriage] Aristotle numbers among the fit occasions for magnificence "those domestic events which occur only once-as a marriage, or the like' (Eth. N. IV 2). The two chief ceremonies of a Greek wedding are alluded to in the text: (r) The sacrifice called $\pi \rho o \tau \in \lambda \in \varepsilon \alpha, \quad \gamma a \omega \nu$, celebrated by the father of the bride and the male relatives and friends. In Ach. Tatius II 12 this sacrifice is held on the morning of the wedding. (2) The wedding-feast, given usually at the bridegroom's house, but by the father of the bride, after she had been conducted thither. See Eur. Iph. in Aul. 718: 'Clytaem. Have you yet offered the nuptial sacrifice to the goddess (Hera
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Teleia) for your daughter? Agam. I purpose it.... Clyt. And will you then give the wedding-feast which should follow?' According to Demosth. in Onet. I p. 869 one reason for giving a large wedding-banquet was the importance of securing witnesses to the fact of the marriage.

8 f . he will sell the flesh] instead of entertaining his friends with it: see note on c. xv, 5. Compare Alexis in Athen. xv p. 6 万I: 'The very Triballians have no such customs, where they say that the sacrificer allows his guests to feast their eyes on the repast, and next day sells to the starving wretches what he set out for them only to look at.'
9. the parts due to the priest] Ameipsias in Athen. IX p. 368 E : 'The parts usually given to the priest are the ham, the rib, the left side of the face'


II. on condition that they find their own board] oikooitous. When servants were hired to assist the slaves of the household on a special occasion, it was probably usual to give them, besides their wages, their meals. But the Mean man engages the assistants on the express understanding that they are to find their own food. In the comedy of the 'Break-fast-party' Crates makes an economical
person boast of having extended this regulation to his guests, and 'celebrated the wedding on a basis of self-refreshment' (olkoritous tò̀s $\gamma$ duovs $\pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \kappa \in ́ v a u$ : Athen. xv p. 67r). In the Casina of Plautus a man places his servants at the disposal of a friend; who replies, 'be sure that they all bring their own food' (III I, 7).
II. When he is trierarch] The duty of the trierarchy was not at this time burdensome. It consisted in maintaining the efficiency, for one year, of a trireme found, rigged and manned by the State (Dem. in Meid. § 156). The average cost of this was about $£ 240$ (ib.). A law, passed probablyin 340 b.c., had distributed the burden of the trierarchy according to an assessment of property, at the rate of one trireme for every ten talents (about $£_{2400 \text { ) of taxable capital. The taxable }}$ capital was $\frac{1}{6}$ th of the aggregate capital. No man, therefore, was liable to maintain a trireme at his sole charge unless he possessed at least $£ 12,000$. If he had less, he paid his proportionate share to a Company ( $\sigma v v \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ ) who maintained a trireme among them. This system had superseded that of working the trierarchy by permanent boards ( $\sigma u \mu \mu o \rho(a i)$, which had been found in practice unfair to the poor; just as the still older plan of the simple or dual trierarchy had been oppres-
the flesh of the animal sacrificed, except the parts due to the priest; and will hire the attendants at the marriage festival on 10 condition that they find their own board. When he is trierarch, he will spread the steersman's rugs under him on the deck, and put his own away. He is apt, also, not to send his children to school when there is a festival of the Muses, but to say that they are unwell, in order that they may not contribute. Again, when 15 he has bought provisions, he will himself carry the meat and the vegetables from the market-place in the bosom of his cloak. When he has sent his cloak to be scoured, he will keep the house. If a friend is raising a subscription, and has spoken to him about it, he will turn out of the street when he descries him 20 approaching, and will go home by a roundabout way. Then,
sive to the moderately rich. (See Boeckh Publ. Econ. bk IV c. II.) Comp. note on c. xxix, 26 .
12. On the deck] Vessels of the larger size were usually, at this time, completely decked. Thucydides says that the ships which fought at Salamis 'had not as yet decks throughout' ( 1 I4). In a trireme there would be little cabin room below, and officers as well as men would live almost entirely on deck. But some vessels had cabins, for we hear of an open boat ( $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \ell \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$ ) being exchanged for a decked one ( $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \mathrm{a} \sigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \circ v$ ) on account of the zvet weather (Antipho de c. Herod. § 26). Casaubon quotes a notice from Pollux (I 89) of the deck-cabin which the trierarch usually fitted up for himself, and supposes that the Mean man has avoided this expense, providing himself merely with rugs. Compare Alciphr. I 12: 'He lay down on some foreign carpets and wrappers, pretending that he could not lie like other people on the deck; these planks, he said, are harder than stones.'
14. a festival of the MIuses] Aeschines says that some of the old laws contained regulations 'for the festivals of the Muses at schools and of Hermes in the palaestras' (in Timarch. § io). The celebration of the Hermaea in a palaestra was the occasion on which Socrates was introduced to the young Lysis (Lys. p. 606 D ).

On that occasion the young men and boys had held a sacrifice (ib. E). At the 'Musea' in schools there would be a similar sacrifice, and for this the pupils would be expected to contribute.
16. when he has bought provisions] See note on c. xvir, i6.
18. sent his cloak to be scoured] $\epsilon^{2} \kappa \pi \lambda \hat{v} v a c-p r o p e r l y ~ s a i d ~ o f ~ w a s h i n g ~ l i n e n, ~$ but here applied to the scouring of the woollen cloak by the fuller ( $\gamma$ vadeús). The process consisting in scouring-rubbing in a sort of white earth ('Cimolian clay') like the Roman creta-and carding to raise the nap. The Mean man, through not having a second cloak, probably condemns himself to an imprisonment of some length; for the fullers were not famous for punctuality. 'If they would only give people their cloaks when they want them, just after the summer solstice,' says a speaker in Aristophanes, 'we should never have pleurisy' (Eccl. 415). In Athen. xIII P. 582 a person is described imploring a faithless fuller to restore his cloak. (Aelian, Varia Historia, V 5, asserts that Epameinondas had only one cloak, and that a dirty one; and that, if he ever sent it to the fuller's shop, he stayed at home for lack of another.)
19. a subscription] See note on c. $\mathrm{V}, 14$.
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#### Abstract

23. the women's market] See note on c. $1,28$.

23 f. the girl who is to attend her] It seems to have been thought at this time a mark of severe simplicity that a citizen's wife of the richer class should appear in public with only one attendant. Plutarch tells a story of a tragic actor, who was playing a queen's part, refusing to go on the stage unless the choregus gave him several well-dressed handmaids. The house was kept waiting, until the choregus, who was at the side-scenes, pushed him on, exclaiming, loud enough for the audience to hear, -'Don't you see Phocion's wife always going out with one maid? Why must you demoralise the drawing-rooms ( $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon l \rho \epsilon t s$ т $̀ \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$ रuval$\kappa \omega \nu i \tau \nu \nu)$ with your swagger?' (Plut. Phoc. 19). 24. when she goes out] 'Hard it is,' says Calonice in the Lysistrata, 'for women to go out' (v. 16). Solon 'regu-


lated the appearance of women in public, their mourning, and their festivals, by a law prohibitive of everything disorderly or immodest (Plut. Sol. 2I); and special officers to enforce these rules were appointed at Athens, as in other Greek cities. How early the Athenian rovalкov $\delta \mu$ o were instituted is uncertain: Boeckh thinks, in the time of Demetrius Phalereus, i.e. about 318 b.c. The institution, as Aristotle remarks, is essentially aristocratic: "for how are you to prevent poor men's wives from going out ?' (Polit. IV I5).
26. as strong as horn] He wears mended shoes and declares-in a vigorous metaphor-that they are as good as new.
26. When he gets up] On rising in the morning, he addresses himself to tasks which a needlessly meagre establishment imposes upon him.
27. twist aside] Had not much been written on $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \rho \in \notin a$, , it would have
he will not buy a maid for his wife, though she brought him a dower; but will hire from the women's market the girl who is to attend her on the occasions when she goes out. He will wear his shoes patched with cobbler's work, and say that it is as 25 strong as horn. He will sweep out his house when he gets up, and polish the sofas; and, in sitting down, he will twist aside the coarse cloak which he wears himself.

## XXVI (XXX). The Avaricious Man.

Avarice is excessive desire of base gain.
The Avaricious man is one who, when he entertains, will not set enough bread upon the table. He will borrow from a guest staying in his house. When he makes a distribution, he will say that the distributor is entitled to a double share, and thereupon 5 will help himself. When he sells wine, he will sell it watered to his own friend. He will seize the opportunity of taking his boys
seemed impertinent to remark that he 'twists aside' the already well-worn cloak simply in order to save it from further attrition.
28. the coarse cloak which he wears himself] 'Himself' is added to emphasise the fact that his meanness is not shown merely in the administration of an office or a household, but affects the details of his personal habits. The $\tau \rho / \beta \omega \nu$ was a short mantle of coarse stuff. See Demoth. in Conon. § 34: 'men who are of a gloomy countenance and affect the Spartan, and wear coarse cloaks ( $\tau \rho l$ $\beta \omega \nu a s)$ and single-soled sandals.' The Acharnian rustics wear the 'tribon' (Ach. 184), and it seems to have been the ordinary dress of poor men. Bdelucleon in the Wasps (v. II3I) associates it with the democratic dicast. Socrates sometimes alludes to his 'poor cloak' ( $\tau \rho \ell \beta \omega \nu$ ovirool, Protag. p. 335 D). Being the ordinary dress of philosophers, it afterwards came to be regarded, like the cowl, as a badge of austere life.
I. Avarice] See note on c. Xxiv, I.
4. When he makes a distribution]
 general: no particular allusion need be sought. The word $\mu \varepsilon \rho l$, however, seems to have meant especially the portion of food assigned to an individual at a public distribution or at a picnic: see Plut. Symp. II Io: 'most of the banquets in old times were distributions (öires), a portion ( $\mu$ eploos) being assigned to each man at the sacrifices': and in Athen. viri, p. 365 E , the money-contribution ( $\sigma v \mu \beta o \lambda \eta^{\prime}$ ) made to a picnic by the guest is opposed to the portion, $\mu \in \rho i s$, allotted to him out of the common store.
6. will sell it watered] Compare Lucian's Hermotimus, c. 59: 'I do not exactly see how you make out the resemblance between philosophy and wine -unless, indeed, it is in this particular, that philosophers sell their wares as tavern-keepers do,-a little watered, as a rule, and adulterated, and of short measure.'












8. the lessees of the theatre. The theatre of Dionysus was rented from the Government by a lessee, or company of lessees, who undertook to keep it in repair, and received the entrance-money. As lessees they were called $\theta \epsilon a r \rho \hat{\omega} v a t:$ as receivers of the entrance-money, $\theta \in \alpha$ т $\rho \circ \pi$ ต̂̀at. (Pollux, vil 199.) The earlier name for the lessee was 'the architect, ( $\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota \tau \in \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ )-i.e. the superintendent of repairs, etc., in connexion with the theatre. Demosthenes speaks of asking the 'architect' to keep places for distin. guished visitors ( $d e$ Cor. $\$ 28$ ). The free days referred to here were probably at some of the minor festivals.
ro. the money allowed to him by the State] A small allowance for travelling expenses was made by the State to its ambassadors. The Athenian envoys to Persia in the Acharnians receive each two drachmas-about is. 8d.-a day: and this was the pay of a $\theta \varepsilon \omega \rho 6$ s, or member of a sacred mission, at the same period: Wasps in89. The members of the second embassy to Philip in 347 B.C. were absent three months, and received 1000 drachmas among them (Dem. de F. Legat. § 158 ). If, as seems probable, they were ten in number, this would not be much more than one drachma apiece daily.
II. load his servant] who attends
him on the embassy. Slaves groaning under heavy packs were among the stock personages of comedy: thus in the opening of the Frogs Dionysus is moved by the complaints of Xanthias, who is toiling after him with the baggage, to give up the ass to him ( r -29). In Xen. Memorabilia, III 13, 16, a person who complains of fatigue after a joumey on foot is asked what the slave who trudged behind had to carry. ' My bed-furniture ( $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ) and the rest of my baggage' is the answer. Demosthenes is described as altended on one of his embassies to Macedonia by 'two men carrying packs' ( $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu a \pi b-$ $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu a$ : Aeschin. de F. Legat. § 99).
14. the presents] $\xi \in \nu(\omega \nu$-meaning especially the provisions furnished to ambassadors by the Government of the city in which they were staying. For this sense of the word see Herod. vi 35 , where a man sitting at his door calls out to foreigners whom he sees passing, and offers them 'lodging and entertainment' (кaтay $\omega \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\eta} \nu$ кal $\xi \in \epsilon \nu(a)$. Plutarch uses $\xi \in \nu$ a to translate the Roman lautia, 一the present of provisions made in old times to foreign ambassadors by the Quaestors (Plut. Quaestiones Rom. 47).
14. anointing himseif at the bath] Compare notes on c. XIV, 28, and c. XII, 6.
18. to cry 'Shares in the Juck!']
to the play, when the lessees of the theatre grant free admission. If he travels on the public service, he will leave at home the money allowed to him by the State, and will borrow of his ro colleagues in the embassy; he will load his servant with more baggage than he can carry, and give him shorter rations than any other master does; he will demand, too, his strict share of the presents,-and sell it. When he is anointing himself at the bath, he will say to the slave-boy, 'Why, this oil that you have 15 bought is rancid'-and will use someone else's. He is apt to claim his part of the halfpence found by his servants in the streets, and to cry 'Shares in the luck!' Having sent his cloak to be scoured he will borrow another from an acquaintance, and delay to restore it for several days, until it is demanded back. 20

These, again, are traits of his. He will weigh out their rations to his household with his own hands, using 'the measure
lit. 'to say that the Hermes is for both of us,' кoùòv єival tòv 'Ep $\rho \hat{\eta} \nu$. Hermes was the gain-giver, whether he gave it by commerce, in his quality of $\epsilon \mu \pi 0 \lambda a i o s$ (Ar. Plutue II55) ; or smiled, as סoncos, on some fraud which won it; or, as $\dot{\eta} \gamma \in \mu \delta \rho_{\text {cos }}$, guided men to where it glittered in their path or struck their spade. Compare Lucian, The Boat c. 12: Adeimantus (who says that he has been dreaming golden dreams). 'You have come upon me at the very height of my opulence and luxury.' Lucinus. 'Shares in your luck! (кowòs 'E $p \mu \hat{\eta} s$ )—that phrase which comes so readily. Out with your treasures for all to see!' When a Roman dug up a pot of coins in his garden, it was Hercules, not Mercury whom he thanked (Pers. II ro, Hor. S. II 6, 13). But there was a Latin phrase answering to $\kappa 0 / \nu \delta{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \rho \mu \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ : Sen. Epp. Ir9, I, 'When I have made a lucky find, I do not wait for you to cry 'Shares!' ('in commune!'), but myself say it for you.'

18 f . sent his cloak to be scoured] See note on c. Xxv, 88.

22 f . the measure of the frugal king] $\Phi \epsilon \iota \delta \omega \nu i \nless \mu \hat{\epsilon} \tau \rho \varphi$-alluding to Pheidon, king of Argos about 750 B.C., by whom was introduced the standard of weights
and measures sometimes known as the 'Pheidonian' (Strabo vili 3, 33), more usually as the 'Aeginetan,' which were generally used in Greece before the time of Solon. (In Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, c. 10, we are told for the first time that the Pheidonian measures of capacity wexe smaller than the Solonian,
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho a \quad \mu \epsilon t \zeta \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Phi \epsilon t \delta \omega \nu \epsilon i \omega \nu$.$) The joke$ on the name 'Pheidon' seems to have been popular. The miserly stage-father was sometimes so called: see Athen. vi p. 223 (quoting from a poet of the Middle Comedy): 'When some Pheidon or Chremes is hissed off the stage.' Alciphr. III 34: 'Most of the newly-rich at Athens are shabbier than Pheillon or Griphon' ('Niggard'-probably another personage or Comedy). Strepsiades in the Clouds wished to call his son Pheidonides (v $6_{5}$ ). (Pheidon, whose date is quite uncertain, is said by Herodotus, VI 127 , to have 'made the measures for the Peloponnesians'; and, in later times, measures bearing his name were apparently in use for various purposes in different parts of Greece, including Athens. It has generally been supposed that they were larger than the Solonian; the text, however,











appears to imply the opposite. Whatever may have been true of the Pheidonian measures of capacity, the Pheidonian weights were certainly heavier than the Attic (195: 135) in the 5th and 4th centuries (see Prof. Percy Gardner in Smith's Dict. of Antiquities, ed. 3, II 448). On Pheidon in general, cp. Busolt's Griechische Geschichte, I, ed. 2, p. 6II f., and Macan on Herodotus, 1.c.; also Wilamowitz, Aristoteles und Athen, I 43, and Mr G. F. Hill's Handbook of Greek and Roman Coins, p. 6 n.)
(23. With the bottom dinted inward] $\pi \dot{\sim} \nu \delta a \xi$ means the same as $\pi \nu \theta \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$. Pollux, x 79, quotes from the Triptolemus of Sophocles, $a \pi v \nu \delta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \tau о s$ кúnı乡, as synonymous with dं ávөuevos. He also quotes from Aristophanes the phrase, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa p o v \sigma a \mu \dot{\ell} \nu o u s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ v \delta a \kappa a s, ~ a n d, ~ f r o m ~$ Pherekrates, $\lambda \alpha \beta 0 \hat{\sigma a} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ т $\hat{\rho} s$ रolviкos

( 23 f. carefully brushing the rim] In Pollux, iv 170, dry measures that are over full are described as $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ oủk $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \psi \eta$ -
 ment used for levelling them') is called
 Juvenal, Xiv i26, 'servorum ventres modio castigat iniquo.')
27. to withhold four drachmas] i.e. about 3 s. out of $£ 120$. Compare Earle's character of $A$ Sordid Rich Man: 'Hee loues to pay short a shilling or two in a
great sum, and is glad to gaine that, when he can no more.' (Microcosmographie p. 100 ed. Arber.)
28. throughout the month] It seems to be implied here that school-accounts were usually settled, as interest on loans was paid, at the end of the month.Compare Demosth. in Aphob. 5 p. 828: 'To such a pitch of avarice (aloxpoкєрбєlas) did he go, that he actually robbed my teachers of their fees.'-The saving thus effected must have been small, unless the Athenian schoolmaster were better 'paid than the Roman, to whom Juvenal says, after enumerating his toils (VII 949)-
This do; and take, upon the year's account, What jockeys get for one successful mount.
3I. because there are so many festivals] Especially (i) the Anthesteria on the 11th, 12 th, and 13 th, i.e. in about the first week of March. On the 12 th, or 'Pitcher-day,' 'it was the Athenian custom that presents, as well as their regular fees, should be sent to the Sophists, who used themselves to invite their acquaintances to an entertainment ' (Athen. x p. 437). Hence Eubulides in the Comastae: 'You affect the Professor ( $\sigma 0 \phi \iota \sigma \tau \iota a ̂ s$ ), wretch, and long for the Pitcher-feast, with its pay and presents' (ib.). (2) The Lesser Mysteries of Demeter, held on the banks of the Ilissus: Plut. Demetr. 26. (3) The Diasia,-- the greatest festival of
of the frugal king,' with the bottom dinted inward, and carefully brushing the rim. He will buy a thing privately, when a friend seems ready to sell it on reasonable terms, and will dispose of it 25 at a raised price. It is just like him, too, when he is paying a debt of thirty minas, to withhold four drachmas. Then, if his sons, through ill-health, do not attend the school throughout the month, he will make a proportionate deduction from the payment ; and all through Anthesterion he will not send them to 30 their lessons because there are so many festivals, and he does not wish to pay the fees. When he is receiving rent from a slave, he will demand in addition the discount charged on the copper money; also, in going through the accounts of his manager, <he will challenge small items>. Entertaining his 35

Gracious Zeus (Mei $\lambda(\chi \cos )$, held without the walls, at which a great multitude offer public sacrifice, not of victims, but of the fragrant fruits of the soil' (Thuc. I 896). -Not only would the scholars have all these holidays: they would also be expected to make presents to their master.
32. rent from a slave] Aeschines mentions among the items of a legacy 'some nine or ten slaves, skilled workmen in the shoe-making trade, each of whom paid their master a daily rent ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ офор $\dot{\alpha} \nu)$ of two obols; the foreman ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu)$ of the workshop paying three' (in Timarch. § 97). Nicias possessed 'a thousand slaves employed in the silver mines, whom he hired out to Sosias a Thracian, on the condition of his paying one obol daily, clear of taxes, for each of them' (Xen. Vect. Iv 14). The Greek slave was regarded as capital; the Roman slave, mainly as a luxury. 'Romans,' says Athenaeus, 'have great multitudes of slaves, but do not make them sources of revenue...Most Romans employ the greater part of their slaves in personal attendance' ( $\sigma u \mu \pi \rho o i ̈ b \nu \tau a s: ~ A t h e n . ~ v i ~ p . ~$ 272).
33. the discount charged on the copper money] The Avaricious man is paid by his slave in copper obols. Silver obols being generally preferred, the copper coin had to be exchanged at a
small discount. The master insists on the slave paying this difference.-Copper money seems to have first come into general use about the time of Alexander. Before that time the only copper coin was the $\chi a \lambda \kappa o \hat{s} s$, rather less than a farthing: even the obol ( $\mathrm{r} \frac{1}{2} d$. ) was of silver. The copper issue at Athens in 406 в.c. (Ar. Frogs 720-6) was exceptional (see Boeckh P. E.).-Compare Athen. Iv § 6 (describing the extortions of an Athenian fishmonger):-'Then when you pay him his money, he always exacts Aeginetan coin' (the Aeginetan talent being to the Attic as $5: 3$ ), 一' and if $k e$ has to give you change, he moreover pays you in Attic ( $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \pi \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \in v^{\prime}$ 'Aт ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \kappa \alpha ́$ ); and so on both sides he clears the agio'
 drachma of Aegina, which was equivalent to 10 Attic obols, was larger than the Attic drachma of 6 obols, and was known in Athens as the $\pi a \chi \epsilon i a \quad \delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{\eta}$ (Pollux, IX 76). The copper (or bronze) coinage introduced in 406 became illegal about 394 (Eccl. 815-22), but was reissued in large quantities from 350 to 322 , the year in which Theophrastus succeeded Aristotle as the head of the Lyceum. In the same year Athens became subject to the Macedonian Antipater, and lost the right of coining money in her own name (Head's Historia Numorum, ed. 1887, Pp. 314-6).)













## $\delta \in \iota \lambda i \alpha s \kappa \zeta^{\prime}$.

## 

36. his clansmen] See note on $u$. xxiv, 18. The banquet is in this case given at the Avaricious man's house, but at the joint expense.
37. register the half-radishes] In the Frogs 987 the penurious citizen asks, 'Where is the stick of garlic which was left yesterday?' Juvenal's miser is well known (xIV 129):
Who, in September, spreads a new repast With mince, kept under padlocks, from the last; Who hoards, to make the sultry morrow glad, One bean, a shred of lobster, half a shad; And counts, ere he imprisons for a week, Each fine-split fibre of the stringy leek.
38. will let his own slave out for hire] When slaves were hired by one citizen from another, it was usually for the purposes of some business requiring a large number of hands. A mineowner, for instance, would rather hire men than encumber himself by purchase with a large and permanent staff, which might lie on his hands if the works were suddenly suspended or contracted. See Ken. Vectig. Iv 16: 'But why speak of
old instances (like that of Nicias, above, on 1.32 )? To this day there are mumbens of men in the silver mines leased out (Ex $\delta \epsilon \delta о \mu \hat{\nu} \circ$ 人) in this way.'
39. a club-dinner] We have seen how the Penurious man and the Avaricious man behave as semiofficial hosts: the one in entertaining his parishioners, the other his clansmen. The same spirit is carried by the Avaricious man into strictly private entertainments. A few friends have arranged a joint dinner-party which is to be given at his house, and have sent in the necessaries: this store he plunders. When the contributions to a club-dinner were in kind, as here, it was properly $\delta \in i \pi v o \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{\prime} \dot{o} \sigma \pi u p l \delta o s$, -when in money, $\delta \in i ̂ \pi v o \nu$ aim $\sigma u \mu \beta 0 \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ (which Lucian calls $\sigma u \mu \phi о \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$, Lexiph. 6): Athen. ViI p. 292. Athenaeus there uses the phrase $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \pi \nu o \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \alpha \dot{\gamma \epsilon L}$, to $g e t u p$ such a party. Compare Ter. Erin. III 4, I. 'Yesterday a party of us met in the Peiraeus, to arrange a club-dinner for to-day (in hunt diem ut de symbolic esse-
clansmen, he will beg a dish from the common table for his own servants; and will register the half-radishes left over from the repast, in order that the attendants may not get them. Again, when he travels with acquaintances, he will make use of their servants, but will let his own slave out for hire; nor 40 will he place the proceeds to the common account. It is just like him, too, when a club-dinner is held at his house, to secrete some of the fire-wood, lentils, vinegar, salt, and lamp-oil placed at his disposal. If a friend, or a friend's daughter, is to be married, he will go abroad a little while before, in order to 45 avoid giving a wedding present. And he will borrow from his acquaintances things of a kind that no one would ask back,-or readily take back, if it were proposed to restore them.

## XXVII (XXV). The Coward.

Cowardice would seem to be, in fact, a shrinking of the soul through fear.


#### Abstract

$m u s)$. We made Chaereas our steward; rings were given (as pledges),--place and time appointed.' 46. a wedding present] On the first day after the wedding-called Ė $\pi a \tilde{\lambda} \lambda c a$ or the House-warming, as being the bride's first day in her new home-' the relatives bring gifts to the bridegroom and the bride' (Hesychius). But the chief occasion for wedding-presents was the third day after marriage, when the bride for the first time appeared unveiled. The gifts then made were called ajvaka $\lambda v$ $\pi \tau \eta \rho t a$. See Diod. $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ : 'Some of the poets feign that at the marriage of Persephone and Pluto the island (Sicily) was given by Zeus to the bride as a wedding present' (ảvaкád $\lambda \pi \tau \tau \rho a)$. (Cp. Pherekydes of Syros, in Grenfell and Hunt's Greek Papyri, II (1897) 23, and in Diels, Vorsokratiker, $\mathrm{II}^{2}$ i $508, \mathrm{I} 2$ (of the $\phi \mathrm{âpos}$ made by Zeus on his marriage with Hera),  oac.) I. Cowardice] When 'cowardice'


is said to be 'a shrinking of the soul through fear,' this is an explanation, but not a definition, of the term; for, as Aristotle says, there are things fearful 'above human endurance,' which the courageous man will not only fear but shrink from (Eth. N. III 6). The Coward either fears too much things which are really fearful, or takes things to be fearful which are not so (ib.).-Compare the so-called Platonic Definitions p. 416, ' Cowardice tends to check impulse (áyrt$\left.\lambda_{\eta \pi \tau \iota \kappa \eta} \dot{\circ} \rho \mu \mu \hat{\eta}\right)$, being the first cause of yielding.'

The phase of cowardice described here is the fear of death or bodily hurt, and is seen in two cases-on a voyage and in war. Theophrastus perhaps shared the view of his master that $\dot{d} \nu \delta \rho \in l a$ is strictly 'physical' courage only, and ought not to be extended, as it is in Plato's Laches p. IgI $D$, to what we call 'moral' courage; at least, the view of $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda l a$ given here answers to this limitation. On the subjects of the chapter generally,












compare Arist. Eth. N. III 6: 'Properly, then, he would be called Courageous who is fearless about the noble death and about such things as bring it on and are sudden; and such especially are the chances of war. Not but that the Courageous man is fearless also on a sickbed, or on the sea; but he will not be so much so as sailors. For landsmen at once give up all hope of safety, and are ill-content with such a death; while sailors are sanguine by reason of their experience. Moreover, the cases in which men show courage are those in which there is scope for valour ( $\epsilon \nu$ ots $\epsilon \sigma \tau\rangle$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa(\eta)$ and in which to die is glorious; but in death by drowning or disease neither condition is present.'

3 f. protest that the promontories are privateers] The Persians, in their retreat after Salamis, actually mistook some sharp points (áкрaı $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau a l$ ) of the rocky Attic coast for ships (Her. VIII 10ך). As $\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \hat{\partial} \lambda c o s$ means 'containing one and a half,' 'ضucoNia was a ship with one and a half bank of oars,-the lower complete, the upper broken by a half-deck. $\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \quad \delta \lambda c a l$ are sometimes mentioned in connexion with this period as used in enterprises where light, handy craft were needed ; e.g. in the attempt of Aristoni-
cus of Methymna to seize the harbour of Chios by night (b.c. 332, Arrian An. III 2,4 ), and in the nocturnal attempt of Agathocles to surprise Messene (Diod. XIX 65).
5. Who has not been initiated] Diod. iv 43 (in the account of the voyage of the Argonauts):-'A great storm came on, and the chiefs were despairing of safety, when Orpheus, it is said, who alone of the ship's company was initiated in the rite' (of the Cabeiri), 'made his prayer to the Samothracian gods. Immediately the wind abated. And therefore storm-tossed voyagers ever made their prayer to the gods of Samothrace.' Ar. Peace 276: 'This is a crisis. But, if any of you happens to have been initiated at Samothrace, now is the time to pray.' The Coward refers here to the Samothracian Mysteries (which are also mentioned in Diod. v 49, and in the Scholium on Apollonius Rhodius, I 916. It was in the age of Theophrastus that the worship of the Cabeiri of Samothrace attained its greatest vogue: see Preller's Gr. Mythologie, I 863 ed. 1894). For the belief that irreligious companions are dangerous on a voyage, see Antipho de caede Ferod. §82: ' I think you know that many men erenow, having blood on their hands, or

The Coward is one who, on a voyage, will protest that the promontories are privateers; and, if a high sea gets up, will ask if there is any one on board who has not been initiated. He will 5 put up his head and ask the steersman if he is half-way, and what he thinks of the face of the heavens; remarking to the person sitting next him that a certain dream makes him feel uneasy; and he will take off his tunic and give it to his slave; or he will beg them to put him ashore.

On land also, when he is campaigning, he will call to him those who are going out to the rescue, and bid them come and stand by him and look about them first; saying that it is hard to make out which is the enemy. Hearing shouts and seeing men falling, he will remark to those who stand by him that he 15 has forgotten in his haste to bring his sword, and will run to the
being otherwise impure, have, as companions of a voyage, drawn into their own destruction those whose relations with the gods were blameless...All with whom I have sailed have had excellent voyages. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Aesch. Theb. 598 and Hor. Od. III 2, 26 are well known.
(6. if he is half-way] Diod. xviuI 34. Lucian, D. Mort., iI § 2 , uses the phrase кãà $\mu \hat{E} \sigma \sigma \nu$ тò̀ $\pi b \rho o \nu$ of a vessel wrecked on the voyage from Sikyon to Kirrha. In the text, $\in i \mu \varepsilon \sigma о \pi о \rho \in \hat{\imath}$ is rendered by Ast, num medium cursum teneat (cp. Hesychius, $\mu \in \sigma o \pi \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ • $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \eta \nu$ ò $\delta \in \dot{v} \omega \nu)$. It is also held to mean, 'if he is keeping to the open sea,' cp. Homer, Od. III I74, $\pi \epsilon \lambda a \gamma o s \mu \epsilon \sigma o \nu$ els E E $\wp \beta o c a \nu \mid \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, and Aelian, Hist. An. II 15, rє $\mu \nu$ oú $\alpha a s .$. $\mu \epsilon \sigma o \nu ~ \tau \partial ̀ \nu \pi \dot{\sigma} \rho o \nu ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \nu a \hat{v} s . ~ P h r y n i c h u s$, Ecloga, No. 391, disapproves of the use of the word by Menander (the pupil of Theophrastus).)
7. What he thinks of the face of the heavens] The Coward, verbally pious in his alarm, asks the steersman what he thinks-not of the face of the sky ( $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ rov oupa $\nu 0 \hat{0}$ )-but of the face 'of the god' ( $\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ тov $\theta \varepsilon 0 \hat{0}$ ). It is impossible to render the fineness of this touch; but it is necessary to represent it. The Greeks ordinarily said 'It [he] rains,' etc.; but when special
reverence or emphasis was meant, "the god rains,' etc. So c. xviir, ro, 'If Zeus would (be gracious enough to) send more rain, the crops would be better': Ar. Wasps 26 I , 'It is absolutely necessary that the god should give us rain.' Xen. Hellen. IV 7, 4, 'the god made an earthquake.' 'The god' of course means Zeus, who, etymologically, is the sky, djauts: see Çurtius, Etym. Griech. § 269.
II. when he is campaigning] The main body of the army in which the Coward is serving has already engaged the enemy. Reserve troops have been left in camp, with whom the Coward has managed to remain. These, or a portion of them, are now going out to the support of the main body. The Coward calls to the men hurrying past, and pretends to be uncertain which of the dark masses in the distance is the enemy. By this means he gains a brief delay; and, when the others insist on advancing, returns on pretence of seeking his sword.
16. in his haste] in his burning eagerness to hurl himself into the thick of the fight.
16. his sword] $\sigma \pi d \theta \theta \eta \nu$. The $\xi(\phi o s$ was a short, straight sword, with a blade of not much more than two feet. Iphicrates (about 395 в.c.) 'made the

















## $\delta \in \iota \sigma \iota \alpha \iota \mu о \nu i \alpha \alpha$ к $\eta^{\prime}$.



[^24]68）．－This is the third and most press－ ing emergency which the Coward has had to meet．When the main body went in－ to action，he remained with the reserves． When the reserves went out，he returned to look for his sword．Now the trumpeter goes through the camp，to summon forth any laggards who may chance to have stayed behind．The Coward affects to be busied with the wounded man．

30．the men of his parish and of his tribe］See note on c．Xxiv， 18.

1．Superstition］Ast regarded the
 reason for questioning the authenticity of this chapter．While the good sense of the word is found in Xen．Ages．II，8， and Cyrop．III 3， 58 ，the bad sense，he contends，was of later date，and occurs for the first time in Polybius（vi 56§7：

## THE SUPERSTITIOUS MAN. XXVIII (XVI) 139

tent; where, having sent his slave out to reconnoitre the position of the enemy, he will hide the sword under his pillow, and then spend a long time in pretending to look for it. And seeing from the tent a wounded comrade being carried in, he will run towards 20 him and cry 'Cheer up!'; he will take him into his arms and carry him; he will tend and sponge him ; he will sit by him and keep the flies off his wound-in short, he will do anything rather than fight with the enemy. Again, when the trumpeter has sounded the signal for battle, he will cry, as he sits in the tent, 25 'Bother! you will not allow the man to get a wink of sleep with your perpetual bugling!' Then, covered with blood from the other's wound, he will meet those who are returning from the fight, and announce to them, 'I have run some risk to save one of our fellows'; and he will bring in the men of his parish and 30 of his tribe to see his patient, at the same time explaining to each of them that he carried him with his own hands to the tent.

## XXVIII (XVI). The Superstitious Man.

Superstition would seem to be simply cowardice in regard to the supernatural.
circ. 160 b.c.). This criticism appears unsound. A word signifying 'fear of supernatural beings' may evidently have various shades of meaning according to the view of those beings entertained by the person who uses it. To say that $\delta \in \iota \sigma \delta a \iota \mu o \nu l a$ never meant 'superstition' before the age of Polybius is in fact to say that doubts respecting the popular religion were never felt before his time. A term so general must always have had potentially a bad as well as a good sense. But the proof does not rest merely on a priori grounds. It is known that Menander-said to have been a pupil of Theophrastus (Diog. v 36 § 7)-wrote a comedy called $\Delta e i \sigma i \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, The Superstitious Man. And, when Aristotle says that an absolute ruler will be more powerful 'if his subjects believe that he fears
 etval), he adds-'but he must show himself such without fatuity' (avev a $\beta \in \lambda$ $\tau \in p l a s)$,-showing that the word $\delta$ eiol$\delta a l \mu \omega \nu$ did not, to Aristotle's mind, exclude fatuity, as $\epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} s$ would have done, Polit. V II.

See Plutarch, de Superst. c. I: 'Ignorance or uneducated opinion about the gods divides at its source into two channels. On the one part it soon engenders in refractory characters (ảv $\tau \iota \tau \cup ́ \pi o \iota s{ }^{\eta} \theta \in \sigma \iota$ ), as in a hard soil, Atheism. On the other part it engenders, as in a moist soil, Superstition.' (Ultimately $\delta=\iota \sigma \iota \delta a \mu o \nu l a$ becomes, in Christian times, synonymous with 'impiety'; Etym. Magn. rapà $\mu$ èv
 $\tau \eta$ रीs á $\sigma \in \beta \epsilon$ las. Chrysostom, in his Homily on Ephesions Iv, says:-" The soul of





 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu, \epsilon \in a ̉ \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ i \in \rho o ̀ \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \tau \alpha v ̂ \theta a ~ † i ́ \epsilon \rho o ̀ \nu \dagger ~ \epsilon v ̉ \theta v ̀ s ~ i ́ \delta \rho v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota . ~$


the Greeks is full of many fears, as ' When I left my house, the first to meet me was so and so; ten thousand evils are bound to befall me'; 'As I was going out, there was a throbbing below my right eye; this is a sure sign of tears.' If an ass bray, or if a cock crow, or if anyone sneezes, or, indeed, if anything happens, they are bound as it were by a thousand fetters, and there is nothing that they do not fear. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ )
4. from a temple-font] Vessels of water for sprinkling ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \dot{\beta} \dot{\rho} a \nu \tau \eta \rho / a)$ stood at the doors of temples. Among the treasures of Delphi Herodotus mentions two such vessels or fonts, one of silver, the other of gold, dedicated by Croesus (I 51 ). The ceremony of sprinkling was usually intended to purge a special defilement. Thus the messenger sent to Delphi for the sacred fire after the slaughter at Salamis 'purified his body and sprinkled himself' (Plut. Arist. 20); and the people of Miletus showed the fountain at which their fathers had sprinteled Achilles after he had slain the king of the Lelegae (Athen. II p. 43). What is for others an extraordinary purification the Superstitious man performs daily.
4. a bit of laurel-leaf] By carrying a laurel-leaf in his mouth, he places himself under the protection of Apollo the Averter. The same idea finds an ironical application in the proverb quoted by Erasmus (Adag. I i 79)-'I carry a laurel walking-stick'-i.e. a rod of virtue to chastise my enemies. In Lucian's Twice

Accused c. I, Zeus complains that Apollo is always flitting 'whither the priestess summons him, when she has drunk some holy water and chewed some laurel.' 'To have bitten the laurel' is Juvenal's phrase for poetical inspiration (VII 19). (The prophylactic efficacy of the 'laurel,' or bay, is noticed in the Geoponica XI 2, 5,


5 f. if a weasel run across the path] Xen. Apol. Socr. 13: 'Others believe that it is by birds, by sounds, by the objects that meet us (ovpisidous)...that the future is foretold.' Prometheus taught men to read "the signs that met them on journeys' (èvodlous $\sigma u \mu \beta 6$ خous: Aesch. P.V. 495). It was a warning sign, when the path was crossed by an unclean animal : Horace mentions some of these ( Od . III 27, 1-7). Compare Ar. Eccl. 792: 'If a weasel were to rush across the road, they would stop levying war.'

6f. until someone else has traversed the road] It was the old belief that the evil portended by omens was not aimed at any particular person; and that, therefore, it could be turned off from oneself to another by precaution, or (so to say) by a vigorous protest. See the story in Dio Chrysost. Or. xxxiv: 'A Phrygian was riding on a mule. Seeing a raven, and drawing a bad omen from it (ol $\omega v / \sigma \alpha$ á $\mu \epsilon \nu o s)$, he threw a stone, and chanced to hit the bird. Delighted at this, and believing that the mischief had been turned off upon the raven, he remounted, and pursued his ride. The raven, however,

The Superstitious man is one who will wash his hands at a fountain, sprinkle himself from a temple-font, put a bit of laurelleaf into his mouth, and so go about for the day. If a weasel 5 run across his path, he will not pursue his walk until someone else has traversed the road, or until he has thrown three stones across it. When he sees a serpent in his house, if it be the red snake, he will invoke Sabazius,-if the sacred snake, he will straightway place a shrine on the spot. He will pour oil from to his flask on the smooth stones at the cross-roads, as he goes by,
after a little while got up again; the mule, startled, threw her rider; and he broke his leg.' Ar. Peace 1063: 'Priest. O mortals wretched and silly- Trygaeus. On your head the omen!'
7. three stones] These are thrown after the weasel ; to symbolise, as in Dio's story, detestation of the evil power. Perhaps the same notion is to be traced in Columella's advice that three stones should be buried at the roots of orangetrees in order to prevent the fruit bursting on the branch (de arb. 23 ).

8 f . When he sees a serpent in his house] Ter. Phormio Iv 4, 24: 'How many things happened afterwards to warn me! A strange black dog came into the house. A snake dropped from the roof into the impluvium. A hen crew.' So it is one of the omens which proclaim the divine origin of Hercules that 'two crested snakes spring down the impluvium' (Plaut. Amph. v 1,58 ).

8 f. the red snake] The tapelas was 'of a reddish colour, with a large, bright eye, a broad mouth, not biting dangerously, but gentle' (Ael. Hist. An. viri 12). It was sacred to Asclepius (l.c.), and was also found in the temples of Dionysus (schol. Ar. Plut. 690). In Dem. de Cor. § 260 Aeschines is described 'leading those fine troops of bacchants through the streets, -squeezing the red snakes, and holding them on high above his head,-and crying cuoe, saboel'
9. Sabazius] Diod. Iv 4: 'Some feign that there was yet another Dionysus long prior in time to this one. They say that a Dionysus was born of Zeus and

Persephone,-he who by some is called Sabazius, whose birth and sacrifices and rites they celebrate stealthily, by night and in secret. He, they say, was of surpassing sagacity, and first essayed to yoke oxen, and by their means to achieve the sowing of crops; whence it is that they introduce him crowned with horns.'
9. the sacred snake] described in Arist. Hist. An. vili 29 as 'a small kind of serpent, of which the larger kinds are afraid; its own length is a foot and a half. It is covered with hair. Whereever it bites, the flesh immediately mortifies all round.'
10. a shrine] The text is uncertain: see Crit. App. The sense, however, is clear:-the spot on which the 'sacred' snake was seen is consecrated. Plato complains that like acts of superstition have choked up Athens with votive chapels and altars. It is the custom, he says, of timid persons in any sickness or danger 'to promise seats to the gods and divinities and children of the gods; or, when they wake in terror from dreams and visions-often, too, when they recall things seen in waking hours-to contrive altars and rites as remedies for these; and thus to fill every house, every quarter of the city, with their foundations (idovo$\mu$ éous),' Lawe IX p. $9 \circ 9 \mathrm{E}$.
ix. the smooth stones at the crossroads] Cairns, piled at points where three roads met, were regarded as rude altars of the triform goddess, Hecate Trioditis, Trivia; and on these, at the new moon, offerings were laid. The Superstitious man never passes such a








cairn without pouring on it a few drops of oil from the flask which he is taking to the baths. Compare Lucian's Alexander c. 30 : ' He was quite distempered in feeling towards the gods, and had the wildest beliefs about them. If he only saw an anointed or crowned stone anywhere, he straightway fell on his knees, worshipped it, and stood by it for some time, praying, and begging blessings from it.' Clemens Alexandrinus speaks of those 'who, as the common saying is, worship every stock and every smooth stone,' Stron. vil p. 302. (The corresponding Latin term is lapides unguine delibuti, lubricati, Apuleius, Florida, init., and Arnobius, I.)
13. if a mouse gnaws through a meal-bag] Plin. Hist. Nat. VIII 57 : (mice) 'are animals of no mean significance in public prodigies. They gave warning of the Social War by gnawing some shields at Lanuvium. They warned Carbo of destruction at Clusium by gnawing the thongs which he used for his boots' (alluding to the battle in which he was defeated by Sulla in 82 b.c.). Augustine tells a story of someone, whose boots had been gnawed by rats, asking Cato how the portent was to be expiated, and of Cato replying that it would have been more portentous if the rats had been gnawed by the boots (de doctr. Chr. II). (Cp. Comic Fragm. Adesp. 341, Kock:If a mouse delves through an altar made of clay, Or, having nothing else, gnaws through a meal. bag;
If a cock, while feeding, crows at eventide, Some folk call this a sign.)
14. the expounder of sacred law] The Athenian family of the Eumolpidae. -descendants of the first high-priests of Demeter-had in their keeping that body of unwritten tradition which made up the sacred law. Three members of this family (acc. to Suidas) formed a board or council to which all ceremonial questions were referred. They did not profess, like the inspired seers, $\mu d \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon$, to read the future; their province lay wholly in the interpretation of precedent. To them, in concert 'with the guardians of the civil law, the seers, and (so) with the god himself,' Plato would entrust, for instance, the expiation of crime (Lazes vill p. 87 I c). They were often consulted in cases where some special circumstances connected with a death made desirable some modification of the funeral ritual: see, e.g., Demosth. in Euerg. p. 1160.
(I5. give it to a cobbler to stitch up] Herondas, vii 89 (to a cobbler), d $\lambda \lambda \lambda_{a}$ өu入aкov páqua.)
17. to purify his house frequentiy] Houses, as well as persons, were purified after a polluting presence. Antipho de Chor. § 37 ' On the day after the boy's burial, before we had purified the house.' In Eur. Her. Fur. 922 sacrifice is held 'to purge the house' ( $\kappa a \theta a \rho \sigma c^{\prime}$ ' o $\kappa \omega \nu$ ) from the stain of murder. Even the open air and the soil required purification from a moral taint: see Eur. Helen. 866.
18. Hecate has been brought into it by spells] Plato speaks of the wandering
 beset a rich man's doors, offering to injure
and will fall on his knees and worship them before he departs. If a mouse gnaws through a meal-bag, he will go to the expounder of sacred law and ask what is to be done; and, if the answer is, 'give it to a cobbler to stitch up,' he will disregard $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ this counsel, and go his way, and expiate the omen by sacrifice. He is apt, also, to purify his house frequently, alleging that Hecate has been brought into it by spells; and, if an owl is startled by him in his walk, he will exclaim 'Glory be to Athene!' before he proceeds. He will not tread upon a tomb- 20 stone, or come near a dead body or a woman defiled by
his enemies 'at a slight outlay' ( $\mu \in \tau d$ $\sigma \mu \kappa \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \delta a \pi a \nu \omega \nu)$ by persuading the gods ' with certain alluring charms or binding
 $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu o \Delta s$, Rep. p. 364 c$)$. In the Lazes (xI p. 933 D) he proposes to punish anyone who 'for the use of binding or drawing spells, or of incantations, or any such witchcraft whatsoever, shall be adjudged virtually a doer of violence?
 Superst. c. 3, where the prophet tells a client who has come to him in alarm, 'Hecate has been paying you one of her riotous visits' ('Eкá $\tau \eta s \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu 0 \nu$ É $\delta \in \xi \omega)$ ).

18 f . If an owl is startled by him] Antiphanes in Athen. xiv p. 655 :Men say that in the City of the Sun Are phoenixes; Athene has her owls; Doves are most honoured by the Cyprian Queen; Hera of Samos loves her golden brood, The bright birds conscious of admiring eyes.
(Menander, Fragm. 534, ir Kock:-
If anyone sees a dream, we are sore afraid; If an owl has hooted, then we fear the worst.)

19 f. Glory be to Athene!] "A $\theta \eta \nu \mathrm{a}$ $\kappa \rho \in i \tau \tau \omega \nu$. Having startled her favourite bird, he seeks to propitiate the goddess by a compliment addressed to herself.
'Athene is the better goddess after all!' -preferable to and stronger than rival divinities. For the comparative, see Ovid Met. XIv 657, where Vertumnus greets Pomona with the words 'tanto potentior!'-not unlike the Irish salutation, 'More power to you!' - -He cannot mean 'Athene is stronger (than the evil
power which sent this omen) ${ }^{\prime}$; for, to an Athenian, the appearance of Athene's bird was a good omen. Ar. Wasps 1085: ${ }^{\text {'However, we repulsed (the Persians) }}$ with the help of the gods towards evening; for an owl fitted through our host before the battle.' Aelian says, indeed (H. A. x 37), 'When the owl attends a man hastening on some urgent errand, and then suddenly stops ( $\epsilon \pi$ b$\sigma \tau \hat{a} \sigma a)$, it is not a good omen'; i.e. it is a friendly warning from the goddess to turn back.
20. tread upon a tombstone] $\mu \nu \eta$ ' $\mu a \tau i$. Monuments to the dead were either upright slabs, $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha \iota$ : columns, кloyes: shrines, $\dot{\eta} \rho \varphi \hat{a}$ : or flat tombstones, tpáne§ar (Plut. vit. dec. oratt. IV 25 ; mensae, Cic. de Legg. vi 26). The inscription on a monument often contained imprecations on those who should in any way dishonour it: 'If any one shall strip this shrine of its ornaments, or open it, or in any other way disturb it, with his own hand or by another's, he shall be suffered neither to walk the earth nor to sail the sea, but shall be rooted out with all his race,' Boeckh Corp. Insc. 916. Compare Aul. Gell. X 15, 34 (the flamen dialis) 'never sets foot on ground where a corpse has been burned ' (locum in quo bustum est).
21. come near a dead body] Eur. Alc. 98 , 'I see not before the doors the spring water for ablution, as is the usage at the doors of the dead.' The lustral water, $\chi{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \nu \iota \psi$, was usually set there in
 20 єîval ${ }^{\circ}$ каì таîs $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \sigma \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ e ́ ~ \beta \delta o \mu a ́ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ \xi a s ~$


 $\pi \rho \grave{s} \tau o \grave{S}$ ỏvєє $\rho о к \rho i ́ \tau a s, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \varsigma, ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~$


an earthen vessel ( $\quad \sigma r \rho a \kappa o \nu$, Ar. Eccl. 1025), in order that friends passing out from their visit to the house of death might wash off the defilement.-The Superstitious man is not content with this remedy for the pollution. He refuses to incur it at all,-thus declining one of the duties of kinship and friendship-the visit to a corpse while it was laid out (c. note on XIII, line 10 f.).

2 If . a woman defiled by childbirth] Eur. Iph. in Taur. 381 :-
I blame the niceties of Artemis,
Who, if a man has put his hand to blood,
Or touched a corpse, or her whom childbed stains,
Bans him her altars, counts him as defiled, Herself delighting in the blood of men.
23. the fourth and seventh days of each month] (I) The 4th of each month was sacred to Hermes. Ar. Plut. 1128, ' Hermes. Nothing of any sort does any one offer to us gods any longer. Karion. No, nor will. Hermes. Woe is me for the cake baked on the fourth of the month.' (2) The 7 th of the month was sacred to Apollo: 'for on it Leto bare Apollo of the Golden sword ' (Hes. Opp. 768).
25. myrtle-wreaths, frankincense] Aristoph. Wasps 86I: 'Bring out fire, some one, with all speed, and myrtlewreaths and frankincense, that we may first offer prayer to the gods.'
25. smilax] Worn by bacchants. Eur. Bacch. IO5: 'Thebes, nurse of Semele, crown thyself with ivy; bloom with the fair blossoms of the delicate smilax, and make thyself a bacchanal
with branches of oak or pine.' The description of the smilax in Theophrastus, Hist. Plant. III 18, 11, with its ivy-like leaf, its white and fragant flower, and its red berries, shows that, in the Bacchae, 108, 703, it must be identified with the smilax aspera, and not with the convolvulus. In the present passage the word $\mu$ ( $\lambda a \kappa \alpha$ has no manuscript authority, but is a very plausible conjecture.)
26. the Hermaphrodites] Hermaphroditus, son of Hermes and Aphrodite, was probably one of the household deities (Petersen de cultu Graec. domestico p. 65). See Alciphro III 37: 'I had woven a harvest-wreath and was on my way to the temple of Hermaphroditus, to offer it to him of Alôpekê' (meaning $\tau \hat{\uparrow} \mu a \kappa a \rho i t \eta$, her late husband). ('Dici videntur maiorum utriusque sexus effigies cubiculares sub specie Hermarum biformium consecratae,' Lobeck, Aglaophamus, 1006. The cult of the Cyprian Aphroditos had been introduced into Athens during the 5th century; but the present passage is the earliest example of the name Hermaphroditos. A Hermes-bust of this type (figured in the Annali, 1884, tav. d' agg. L) is represented crowned with pineleaves (cp. Roscher, Lex. Mythol. s.v. p. 2320); and another (reproduced in S. Reinach's Répertoire des Vases Peints, 1472) has a Satyr standing before it and a Maenad behind it, both of whom bear the Bacchic thyrsus.)
27. When he has seen a vision] The belief in some dreams as foreshadowing good or evil was universal in the ancient
childbirth, saying that it is expedient for him not to be polluted. - Also on the fourth and seventh days of each month he will- order his servants to mull wine, and will go out and buy myrtle-wreaths, frankincense, and smilax ; and, on coming 25 in, will spend the day in crowning the Hermaphrodites. When he has seen a vision, he will go to the interpreters of dreams, the seers, the augurs, to ask them to what god or goddess he ought to pray. Every month he will repair to the priests of the Orphic
> world, and by no means confined to the superstitious. It is the anxiety to ascertain the precise import of any trivial dream which is here the mark of the Superstitious man.-Aesch. Pers. 202 :-
> Such were the phantoms that appalled my sleep; But, when I rose, in clear streams from the spring I washed my hands and with sweet-smelling flame Came near the altar, fain to dedicate
> Gifts meet for gods who turn mischance aside.

(In Soph. Electra, 636, 644 f., Clytaemnestra 'uplifts her prayers for deliverance from her present fears,' prompted by 'the vision which she saw last night in doubtful dreams.')

27 f. the interpreters of dreams, the seers, the augurs] He has recourse to one of three classes of diviners: (I) The special Interpreters of dreans. In spite of the general belief in dreams, the professors of a special dream lore were laughed at as early as the time of Aristophanes: see the Wasps 53, 'Shall I not hire him for two obols, with all his cleverness in telling dreams?' Alciphro III 59: 'I mean to go to one of the people who sit with boards ( $\pi \iota \nu$ áкıa) before them by the temple of Lacchus, undertaking to tell dreams-pay my two drachmas-and relate the vision which appeared to me in my sleep.' A work in five books on the Interpretation of Dreams ( $\quad \nu \epsilon \iota \rho о к р \iota \tau \iota к a ́)$ by Artemidorus (circ. 150 A.D.) is still extant. (2) The
 one was so called who spoke by the direct inspiration of the gods; and the various $\tau \rho \delta \pi \sigma \iota \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \hat{s}$ are enumerated in Aesch. P. V. 492-50\%. But $\mu$ аутєк meant especially divination by sacrifice,
either from the appearance of the victim (iepouavtela) or from that of the flame ( $\pi \cup \rho о \mu а \nu \tau \epsilon(a) . ~(3) ~ T h e ~ A u g u r s . ~ A u-~$ gural science never became so elaborate or so important in Greece as at Rome. The Greek instinct for 'spiritual freedom and clearness' rebelled against a system of minute technicalities: see Curtius Hist. Gr. blk Ir c. 4, trans. Ward.
29. priests of the Orphic Mysteries] The mythical personage Orpheus, regarded by the oldest legends as the servant of Apollo, was regarded by a later legend as the priest of an Infernal god, Dionysus Zagreus. As early as the $7^{\text {th }}$ century b.C. were formed Orphic Brotherhoods, 'who, under the guidance of the ancient mystical poet Orpheus, dedicated themselves to the worship of Dionysus' (Muller Hist. Gr. Lit. I p. 231). This cult bore a strong affinity to Indian asceticism: (a) in regarding the body as a prison from which the enlightened man seeks to achieve the deliverance of the soul. Plato Cratylus p. 400 C : 'I think, however, that this term ('body,' $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ ) was the especial invention of the Orphic sect (ol $\dot{a} \mu \phi \lambda$ 'O $O \phi \neq a$ )-signifying that the soul is in a state of punishment, for whatsoever cause; and is girt about, for its safe keeping, with the image of a prison. This, then, is, as its very name imports, the soul's safe lodging ( $\sigma \dot{\omega} 乡 \in \sigma \theta a b$ ), until it has paid its debts.' - (b) In prescribing a life of ceremonial purity: e.g. as regards diet; Plat. Lawes vi p. 782 C : 'Orphic lives, as they are called, were led by those of our race who lived then, adhering to the use of all inanimate things, but abstain-







ing from everything wherein is life': and as regards bodily purity,-the Orphics wearing linen only, like the Egyptian priests to whom Herodotus compares them, II 81.

Such, in its original character, was the Orphic worship; as such, no doubt, it long had pure and earnest votaries. But already in Plato's time the name of the 'Orphic Mysteries' was traded upon by begging priests. Rep. p. $364 \mathrm{D}:$ ' Pro-
 setting rich men's doors, exhibit books by Musaeus and Orpheus, those descendants of Selene and the Muses; according to which they offer sacrifice, persuading not only individuals but states that (forsooth) deliverance and purification from deeds of wrong are obtained by sacrifices and childish mummeries ( taiditas $\hat{\eta} \delta o \nu a l$ ). These things they call their 'rites,' which deliver us from the ills beyond the grave : but, if we do not offer them, dread things await us.' Plut. Apophth. Lacon. p. 224 E: " Philippus, the Orphic priest, was very poor, but said that those who were initiated in his rites were happy when life was over. 'Why, then, foolish man,' he was asked, 'do you not die at once, and have rest from bewailing your poverty and wretchedness?""
30. accompanied by his wife] It appears from this passage that women and children were admitted to the Orphic Mysteries. This was the case also at the Mysteries of the Cabeiri: Plut. Alex. 2 : 'It is said that Philip fell in love with Olympias on the occasion of his being initiated in her company at Samothrace,
he being then a boy, and she a girl.' Women were admitted also to the Mysteries of the Eleusinian Demeter : Demosth. in Meid. § 5 58. (Attic Comedy in the time of Theophrastus regarded women as $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma t \delta a \iota \mu o \nu l a s ~ a j \rho \chi \eta \gamma o u ́ s$, Strabo, p. 297.)

3I. if she is too busy] Observe the, irony. Greek wives were seldom busy.

32 f. sprinkling themselves with seawater] In Plut. de Superst. c. 3 the dream-teller advises the person who consults him to 'dip himself in the sea.' Circe, in the Argonautica, washes herself with sea-water after an alarming dream (Apoll. Rh. Iv 669). Purification on the seashore was the ceremony of the second day of the Great Eleusinia, when worshippers were summoned with the cry ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \delta \epsilon, \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$. In Theocr. Xxiv 44 salt is added to fresh water to increase its purifying efficacy.
34. the garlic at the cross-roads] A 'supper' for Hecate was placed at each new moon on the piles of stones at the cross-roads (see note on l. ri). Ar. Plutus 595 : ' Hecate can tell us whether it is better to be poor or hungry. She says that well-to-do or rich people send her a supper every month : whereas poor people snatch it away when it has hardly been put down.' (The plaintiff in Dem. in Cononem, p. 1269 § 39, describes the defendant and his friends as feasting on 'Hecate's supper,' but weare not expressly told that garlic was one of the ingredients.) Plutarch (de Superst. c. ro) quotes a mention of Hecate as 'fastening at the cross-roads on the guilty wretch who has
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Mysteries, to partake in their rites, accompanied by his wife, or 30 (if she is too busy) by his children and their nurse. He would seem, too, to be of those who are scrupulous in sprinkling themselves with sea-water; and, if ever he observes anyone feasting on the garlic at the cross-roads, he will go away, pour water over his head, and, summoning the priestesses, bid them carry a squill 35 or a puppy round him for purification. And, if he sees a maniac or an epileptic man, he will shudder and spit into his bosom.
gone after her foul supper' (каӨapuárєб$\sigma \iota \nu$ érı $\sigma \pi о \mu \hat{e} \nu \varphi)$ ). The Superstitious man holds that he has been defiled by the mere sight of such wickedness.
( 34 f. pour water over his head] A Greek inscription of the imperial age, found near Sunium, requires the worshippers at a certain temple to keep themselves 'pure from garlic and from pork,' and 'to pour water over their heads,' $\lambda о ⿱ \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \nu \quad$ оиs катакєфала, before entering the shrine, Dittenberger, Sylloge, no. 379.)
(35. the priestesses] The $\gamma \rho \hat{\text { âcs }}$ of Plutarch, de Superst. 168 D , and the


35 f . carry a squill or a puppy round him] The object of all those ceremonies in which the offerings were carried round the person or place to be purified was to trace a charmed circle, within which the powers of evil should not come. Polyb. IV 2 I : the Mantineans 'held a purification, and carried victims round the city and the whole territory.' In the Roman ambarvalia the victim was carried thrice round the cornfields. Plaut. Amph. II 2, 154: 'Why do you not order a procession round her, as a madwoman ' (procerrita circumferri)? (Menander fr. 530, 2r Kock:-'let the women run right round, to disenchant thee,' $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \alpha \xi^{\xi} \alpha$ -
 Өє $\omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$.
35. a, squill] Lucian Menippus c. 7:
'At midnight he took me to the Tigris, and purified me, rubbing me clean, and moving solemnly round me with torches and squills and divers other things.'
36. a puppy] Plut. Quaest. Rom. c. 68: 'The Greeks used, and to this day use, the dog for purifications. They carry forth puppies, with other expiatory offerings, to Hecate, and touch all round ( $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \mu a ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \iota)$ with a puppy those who need restoration to purity, calling that sort of purification $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \kappa v \lambda a \kappa \iota \sigma \mu b s$.'
37. spit into his bosom] A custom connected with the belief already referred to ( 1.6 f ) in this chapter-that threatened evil could be averted by acts or words expressive of violent repugnance to it. Plin. Hist. Nat. xxvill 4, 7: 'We guard ourselves against epilepsy by spitting, that is, we hurl back the plague (contagia regerimus). In like manner we repel the evil eye, and the lame man who jostles us on the right-hand side. We also ask pardon from the gods for any overbold hope by spitting into the bosom.' Lucian The Boat c. 15: 'Nay, Adeimantus, you wax insolent, and forget to spit into your bosom.' Polyphemus, in Theocr. vi 39, takes this precaution against a nemesis on his beauty. In such cases-where a nemesis was deprecated-the idea of selfabasement was perhaps present. (In a fragment of Callimachus, 235 , 'the women spit thrice into their bosoms,' and similarly in Theocritus, VI 39.)

## ỏ入ırap才ias к $\theta^{\prime}$.



 $\pi о \mu \pi \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \in \lambda \eta \sigma о \mu \in ́ v o v \varsigma, \pi a \rho \in \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi о ф \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota$,


I. The Oligarchical temper] $\quad \delta \lambda<$ rapxla, which properly denotes a form of government, stands here for $\delta \lambda_{c \gamma a \rho \chi L}$ кbr $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{s}$-that habit of mind to which oligarchy is congenial. Compare, as analogous, the use of $\delta v \sigma \sigma \in \beta \varepsilon \varepsilon a$ in Soph. Ant. 922 to denote, not the quality itself, but the character in men's eyes of the person who has that quality: тì $\nu \quad \delta v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \sigma \epsilon \beta \circ \hat{0} \sigma$ ' $\epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$ : 'by being pious I have gained the name of impious.'

This Character and the following-that of the $\phi \iota \lambda о \pi 6 \nu \eta \rho o s$ or Patron of Rascalsare essentially companion sketches. They are a pair of political caricatures, resting upon the fundamental antithesis of Athenian politics-government by the Few as contrasted with government by the Many. The partisan of either side is described from the point of view of the other ; the oligarch, as loathing the mass of his fellow-citizens and ever tending towards a despotism; the democrat, as naturally attracted to whatever is low and tricky. There are two places in Greek literature where the bolder features of this contrast, and the commonplaces of recrimination which it suggested, are set forth with especial clearness,-the dialogue in the Wasps between the Admirer and the Loather of Cleon (471-724); and the whole speech of Isocrates On the Peace.

It is interesting to remember that, at the period to which the Characters of Theophrastus beiong, the changes of party-fortune were unusually rapid, and party-feeling was perhaps more than
usually keen. After his victory at Crannon in 322 b.c. Antipater abolished the democracy at Athens, and established an oligarchy. His death in 318 was followed by the democratic reaction to which Phocion fell a victim. In 317 the oligarchy was reconstituted by Cassander. It lasted till the nominal restoration of the democracy by Demetrius Poliorcetes in 307 B.C., with which the contest of parties in the old sense may be said to have finally closed. Thenceforth the question was mainly as to the particular agent in whom the Macedonian government of Athens should be vested. (At the date of the composition of the Characters, 319 b.c., the oligarchs, led by Phocion, were still in power.)
2. covetous, not of gain, but of power] See Crit. App. The wealthy oligarch was usually accused of bribing in order to get power; the needy democrat, of seeking power in order to be bribed. Thus in the Wasps the oligarch is greeted as 'hater of the people, enamoured of monarchy' (v. 473). He retorts-"father, you choose these men to rule over us, and then they take fees from the cities at the rate of thirty talents a town' (v. 672).
4. whom they shall associate with the archon] The First Archon would of course take a prominent part in a great public procession; and, if he was also to arrange it, would require the assistance of special colleagues or fellowstewards. Hipparchus was assassinated in the act of marshalling ( $\delta$ aкоб $\mu$ ои̃ $\boldsymbol{i}$ ).

## XXIX (XXVI). The Oligarch.

The Oligarchical temper would seem to consist in a love of authority, covetous, not of gain, but of power.

The Oligarchical man is one who, when the people are deliberating whom they shall associate with the archon as joint directors of the procession, will come forward and express his 5 opinion that these directors ought to have plenary powers; and, if others propose ten, he will say that 'one is sufficient,' but that
the Panathenaic procession: Thuc. 120. These assistants of the archon on a particular occasion must not be confused with his regular assessors, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \delta \rho o l$. Each of the three principal archons might have two such assessors to aid him throughout his year of office; since, having been elected by lot, he might chance to be no man of business ( $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o s$, Dem, in Neaer. § 72). The six Thesmothetae had in like manner their 'advisers,' $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta$ ounot: Dem. in Theocr. § 37. (In Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, c. 56 , we are told that the Archon superintended the sacred procession in the Great Dionysia in conjunction with the ten stewards, $̇ \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a l$, of that festival. These were at first elected by show of hands in the Assembly, but at the time when the treatise was written, 328-325 B.C., they were appointed by lot. If the text refers to the Great Dionysia, it shows clearly that, by the date of the composition of the Characters of Theophrastus, 319 b.c., the old method of electing by show of hands had been restored. It was apparently still in force in 280 в.c.)
5. the procession] 'The procession' at Athens was that of the Greater Panathenaea. This festival was held in the August of every fourth year, the third of each Olympiad. The procession (was marshalled in the outer Cerameicus. Entering Athens by the Dipylum, it passed along the main street of the inner Cerameicus; subsequently, it probably swept round the western slope of the

Areopagus; and finally, ascending to the Acropolis, offered to Athene Polias the saffron robe embroidered with her victories. The frieze of the Parthenon represented the procession of which that temple was the goal. (For the loci classici on the Panathenaic procession, see Michaelis, Der Parthenon, pp. 213, 327 f , and, for a recent discussion of its probable course, Judeich's Topographie von Athen, 1905, p. 17 I f.) There were two other great $\pi$ roumal, both annual,at the Great Dionysia in March, and at the Great Mysteries in September.
6. ought to have plenary powers] aứтoкрáropas eival. At Athens this word meant especially 'empowered to act without reference to the Ecclesia.' Thus, in the panic upon the mutilation of the Hermae in 415 b.c., the Senate of FiveHundred was made aüroкрárwp (Andoc. de Myst. § 15). In the revolution of 41 b.c. Peisander convoked the Ecclesia, and then proposed the appointment of ten Commissioners who should be independent of it (aủroкрáторas: Thuc. VIII 67). The opposite to av่тoкрait $\omega \rho$ is $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon v^{\prime}-$ $\theta$ voos, responsible to the public assembly. An ambassador, of course, might in another sense have 'plenary power' (to negotiate) but would still be 'responsible.'
7. if others propose ten] The Oligarch's first demand is that the new stewards of the procession shall not be responsible to the Ecclesia. He now makes a further demand-that this irresponsible power shall not even be divided, but shall be vested in one man. This is












a hint how he would act if he had the framing of a constitution. His oligarchy would soon pass into a monarchy : cf. note on l. z.
ro. 'No good comes of manifold rule'] From Iliad II 204. Odysseus is urging the Greeks to hear their chiefs in council. To the powerful he is persuasive; 'but when, on the other hand, he saw a man of the people and found him making a noise, him he would strike with his staff and loudly upbraid: Friend, sit quiet, and listen to the speech of others who are thy betters...Assuredly we cannot all be kings here, we Greeks. No good comes of manifold rule; let there be one ruler, to whom the son of shrewdminded Cronos hath given the sceptre and laws, that he may be king over his people.' The Oligarch's appeal from democracy to the poetry of divine right is the best touch in this sketch.
II. of the rest he is absolutely ignorant] A knowledge of the Homeric poems was one of the essentials of a good education. Isocr. Panegyr. § 159 : 'I fancy that Homer's poetry gained the greater renown because he nobly praised those who warred against barbarians; and that for this cause our ancestors did honour to his artistic skill both by musical
contests and in the education of the young, that, by often hearing his verses, they might thoroughly learn the hereditary
 $\chi$ रovoav $\pi \rho \delta \delta_{s}$ aúrooús), and, through admiration of the valour of those who went against Troy, might become emulous of deeds like theirs.' Xen. Symp. III 5 : ' My father, anxious that I should become a good man, made me learn all Homer's poetry; and now I could say off (ajo orbuatos elmeฝ̂) the whole Iliad and Odyssey.'
(I2 f. we must get clear of the rabble] In 322 B.C., on the submission of Athens after the Lamian war, Antipater disfranchised 12,000 of the poorer citizens and settled some of them in Thrace. In 319, on the death of Antipater, the exiles were restored (Plutarch, Phokion 28; Diodorus xvirl 18 and 66). These are the democratic 'rabble' that the Oligarch wants to get rid of. Cp. Droysen's Gesch. des Hellenismus II i, 80 f, 2 II f, 219.
14. We must leave off courting office] Some officials-e.g. the Generals, and ambassadors-were appointed by election (alpeтоt) in the Ecclesia. The Oligarch scorns to be at the mercy of the popular Assembly.
16. about the middle of the day]
' he must be a man.' Of Homer's poetry he has mastered only this one line,-

No good comes of manifold rule; let the ruler be one:
of the rest he is absolutely ignorant. It is very much in his manner to use phrases of this kind: 'We must meet and discuss these matters by ourselves, and get clear of the rabble and the market-place'; 'we must leave off courting office, and being slighted or graced by these fellows'; 'either they or we must 15 govern the city.' He will go out about the middle of the day with his cloak gracefully adjusted, his hair daintily trimmed, his nails delicately pared, and strut through the Odeum Street, making such remarks as these: 'There is no living in Athens for the informers'; 'we are shamefully treated in the courts by 20

He will not deign to mix with the crowd in the market-place during the workinghours of the morning. Towards noon, when tired men are going home to their siesta (note on c. Xxiv, 28), he will appear fresh and trim, and take gentle exercise in a street (leading past the Odeum of Pericles).
17. with his cloak gracefully ad-
 perfect participle is sometimes used, without a qualifying adverb, in what may be called its pregnant sense-to express that the cloak is thoroughly or carefully adjusted. See Demosth. de Fals. Legat. $\S 25 \mathrm{I}$ : 'He said that the sobriety of the popular speakers of that day is illustrated by the statue of Solon with his cloak drawn round him and his hand within
 $\left.\beta \in \beta \lambda_{\eta \mu \epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}{ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{D}\right)$. In $c$. VII, which has wrongly been compared, a $2 \boldsymbol{\nu} \beta a \lambda 6 \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ has no such pregnant sense.-The cloak, i $\mu$ átooy, was a square piece of cloth: it was thrown over the left shoulder, brought under the right arm, and then thrown over the left shoulder again. This was $\epsilon^{2} \pi l \delta_{\epsilon \xi \iota \alpha}^{a} \dot{a} \alpha \beta a \lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, 'to put on the cloak from left to right.' Ar. Birds 1597 (to a Triballian): 'Why do you dress in this left-handed way?' ( $\tau \ell \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{3}$
 I75 $\mathbf{E}$ (a man may possess vulgar accom-
plishments, and yet not know how) 'to put on his cloak from left to right like $a$ freeman' (è $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega s)$.
17. his hair daintily trimmed] The man of Petty Ambition is ridiculed for having his hair cut too frequently (c. viI): the philosophers (Ar. Clouds 834), for never having it cut at all. The $\mu \notin \sigma \eta$ кoupá, not mentioned elsewhere, is perhaps simply the mean approved by Athenian fastidiousness. (It is the mean represented in the Lateran statue of Sophocles, and in the busts of Isocrates and Epicurus.) A like attention to кat$\rho 6$ s was exacted in regard to the length of the cloak: see note on c. XXIV, 25 .
18. the Odeum Street] See Crit. $A p p$. and, on the Odeum, the note on c. xviul, I3. (It is practically certain that the text refers to the Odeum of Pericles, East of the Theatre of Dionysus. The Street of the Tripods, identified by the Choragic Monument of Lysicrates and by the remains of less important monuments East of the Acropolis, may well have led to the Odeum, East of the Theatre.)
(19. There is no living in Athens, etc.] Pseudo-Demosth. In Theocr. p. 1342 § 65 , 'Against informers, like the defendant, where can we go to obtain safety ?')
20. the informers] Isocrates con-
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demns the tendency to associate the in-formers-those pests of Athenian lifewith the democratic side in politics: 'One of the ways in which we may mend the affairs of the city...is by ceasing to regard the informers as representative men of the people ( $\delta \eta \mu$ отcкoiss), and to identify the better class (roùs кa入oùs кảyaOoús) with oligarchy' (de Pace § 133). Still, as money was the object of the professional informer, the rich must have suffered most from him; and a rich Oligarch would naturally look upon him as one of the plagues of a democracy. The 'sycophant' was a character peculiar to Athens (Ar. Ach. 904). The best picture of him is drawn in the pseudo-Demosth. First Speech against Aristogeiton:-' He moves through the market-place like a viper or a scorpion with sting erect, darting this way or that, seeking whom he may afflict with misfortune or calumny or any evil, and so, by putting him in fear, extort money' (in Arist. I § 52). When Aristotle was asked 'what he thought of Athens,' he is said to have replied-'A glorious place; but there-
 Pear after pear grows old, and fig on fig':
i.e. the material for the sycophant never fails.
20. in the courts] The jury-courts were in their constitution, their tone and
their practice thorougbly democratic. No institution was so hateful to the true Oligarch. Nothing, on the other hand, was more delightful to the ordinary dicast than the temporary abasement of rank and wealth at his bar. Philocleon in the Wasps undertakes to show that the dicast's position is 'inferior to no sovereign's' (v. 549). After describing the abject defendant, his flatteries, his prayers, his pleading wife and whining children, he triumphantly concludes--' Is not this a great empire? Is not this a flouting of wealth? ' (v. 575).
26. public services and trierarchies] The representative of a property amounting to 3 talents ( $\tau \rho \iota \tau$ áגaytos otkos, Isaeus de Pyrrh. h. § 80),-i.e. about $£ 720-$ or upwards, was liable to the 'liturgies.' These may be classed as ( I ) the annual: Dem. adv. Lept. § 2 I : 'those who perform the yearly, recurring ( $̇$ үкик $\lambda$ lous) liturgies, - viz. the choregi, the gymnasiarchs and the entertainers' ( $\dot{\text { entáaropes, }}$ who gave banquets to the several tribes). (2) The periodic, at longer intervals : e.g. the sacred mission ( $\theta \in \omega \rho \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{a}$ ) to Delos, to Olympia and to the Pythian festival in every fourth year; and to the Isthmian and Nemean games in every second. (3) The extraordinary: e.g. missions to the oracle at Delphi. The trierarchy in so far belongs to this third class that the
the juries'; 'I cannot conceive what people want with meddling in public affairs'; 'how ungrateful the people are-always the slaves of a largess or a bribe'; and 'how ashamed I am when a meagre, squalid fellow sits down by me in the Ecclesia!' 'When,' he will ask, ' will they have done ruining us with these 25 public services and trierarchies? How detestable that set of demagogues is! Theseus' (he will say) 'was the beginning of the mischief to the State. It was he who reduced it from twelve cities to one, and undid the monarchy. And he was rightly served; for he was the people's first victim himself.'

And so on to foreigners and to those citizens who resemble him in their disposition and their politics.
number of vessels required by the state of course varied at different times. As organised in (prob.) 340 B.C. the trierarchy was perhaps specially unpopular with rich men, since under the old system of permanent boards ( $\sigma v \mu \mu o p i a c$ ) they had often paid less than their share: see note on c. XXV, iI.
27. Theseus] Thuc. II 15: 'In the time of Cecrops, and in that of the early kings down to Theseus, the population of Attica was divided among several towns, each having its town-hall and its magistrates; and, except in a season of alarm, they did not assemble to take counsel with the king....But when Theseus came to the throne...he dissolved the local town-councils and magistracies, and made the present city, with one council and one town-hall, the metropolis of the whole people...From that time to the present day the Athenians celebrate to the goddess the public festival of the Union' (ovvockia). This festival was held early in the October (Boedr. 17) of each year. It has been remarked that in the Eumenides-which, according to one view, was a conservative protest against the reform of the Areopagus-Theseus, the hero of the commonwealth, is made prominent, as if to conciliate the popular party (vv. 356, 380). His centralising policy finds no favour with the Oligarch, who would prefer that of which oligarchical Sparta was so fond-the $\delta$ olo-
$\kappa \iota \sigma \mu \delta s$, or breaking up of a town into several villages (Polyb. Iv 27, 6).
(29. undid the monarchy] Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, c. 4I, describes the constitution of Theseus as 'a slight deviation from absolute monarchy.' In Plutarch's Theseus, 32, Menestheus, the prototype of the demagogue, describes Theseus as having deprived the Eupatridae of their royal rule in the countrydistricts of Attica and substituted a single foreign king for the many excellent kings of indigenous race.)
30. he was the people's first victim himself] Plutarch tells the story thus. In the absence of Theseus and Peirithous on an attempt to carry off Corê, daughter of Aidoneus king of the Molossians, a sedition was excited at Athens by one Menestheus, 'first of mankind, as they say, to attempt demagogy.' Theseus on his return tried to restore his old power, but was 'borne down by demagogues and faction' (катє $\quad \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} \tau 0$ каl $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma(a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau 0)$. Having abdicated, and pronounced a curse upon the Athenians at Gargettos ('where is now the Araterium '), he withdrew to Scyros. In that island he was killed by a fall from the cliffs ; Plut. Thes. 32-35. (Theophrastus himself, in a lost political work, mo入ırıкd $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \delta_{s}$ тov̀s кalpoús, stated that Theseus 'was the first to be ostracised at Athens,' Suidas s.v. a $\rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ Eкvpla, in Wimmer's Fragm. of Theophrastus, I3 I.)

## фı入oтovnрías $\lambda^{\prime}$.
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t. The Patronsing of Rascals] The last sketch described the Oligarch as shrinking from contact with the people, -marvelling why they should wish to meddle in affairs,-striving to keep all power in the hands of a coterie. In this chapter he is given his revenge. At Athens the word movnpbs had what may be considered its political sense. It described a particular rank growth of character which sprang, amidst much that was good, out of the soil of Athenian democracy. In the representative democratic institutions-the Ecclesia and the law-courts-there was one great vice, arising from the very smoothness of the machinery and from the want of checks upon its swift, sweeping action. This was the insecurity of the individual. No man's character, property, even life was safe for a day from accusations which could be cheaply made, and which, when made in malice, were heard under the influence of rhetoric. Hence the terrible
importance of the professional informer. Now the ideal $\pi$ rounpos is to the $\sigma v \kappa 0-$ фо́यrचs as genus to species. He is the man who avails himself without scruple of all those opportunities for extorting money, grasping power, or gratifying spite which a masterly knowledge of the available weapons can suggest. He is the skilled bully of the public assembly and of the law-courts,-the finished knave which Strepsiades aspired to become under the lessons of the sophist, and which the Aristophanic Cleon already is. He is such a man as is described in the First Speech against Aristogeiton, where the meaning of movnpia (\$39) is thus drawn out (§45):-'He storms in the Ecclesia, falling furiously on all of you; and, for every advantage which he gains over you collectively in the Assembly, for this, when he has left the platform, he prosecutes you individually-calumniating, begging, extorting.'
3. those who have lost lawsuits]

## XXX (XXIX). The Patron of Rascals.

The Patronising of Rascals is a form of the appetite for vice.
The Patron of Rascals is one who will throw himself into the company of those who have lost lawsuits and have been found guilty in criminal causes; conceiving that, if he associates with such persons, he will become more a man of the world, and will 5 inspire the greater awe. Speaking of honest men, he will add 'so-so,' and will remark that no one is honest,-all men are alike ; indeed, one of his sarcasms is, 'What an honest fellow!' Again, he will say that the rascal is 'a frank man, if one will look fairly at the matter.' ' Most of the things that people say of him,' 10 he admits, 'are true; but some things' (he adds) 'they do not know ; namely that he is a clever fellow, and fond of his friends, and a man of tact'; and he will contend in his behalf that he has 'never met with an abler man.' He will show him favour, also, when he speaks in the Ecclesia or is at the bar of a court; 15 he is fond, too, of remarking to the bench, 'The question is of

Persons who made a practice of bringing vexatious lawsuits in the hope of occasionally getting a verdict would soon be competent masters in effrontery. 'Great is he, too, in lawsuits,' is said of the Reckless man (c. xvi). Strepsiades, in his exhaustive list of the qualities which make up the perfect $\pi$ ounpbs, hopes that he may one day be 'an old hand at lawsuits' ( $\pi \in \rho i \tau \rho \iota \mu \mu a$ ס̀к $\omega \hat{\nu}:$ Cloud's 547).

3 f. and have been found guilty in criminal causes] The habit of getting up lawsuits ( $\delta$ ikal) implies hardened impudence; the man who has been repeatedly convicted in public causes ( $\gamma$ papat) is presumably a hardened criminal. The $\phi<\lambda o \pi b \nu \eta \rho o s$ takes lessons in both the lighter and the graver branches of his subject.
16. to the bench] He undertakes to advocate the cause of the man who is on his trial, and addresses the judges in his favour. Both in public and in private causes the defendant was allowed to
apportion as he pleased the fixed time given to him for speaking. He might, if he liked, surrender part of it to an advocate, though he was always expected to say at least a few words himself. The advocate was usually either a private friend or a person directly interested in the issue,-the taking of fees being forbidden under penalty of an indictment for bribery (Dem, adv. Steph. II § 26). Thus Demosthenes spoke for Ctesiphon against!Aeschines, and for Phanus against Aphobus.

I6 f . the question is of the cause, etc.] He exhorts the jury to show that they are no respecters of persons,-not to be biassed against the defendant because he is poor,-to decide solely on the merits of the case. Appeals of this kind are, in fact, very common in the orators: see, for example, the speech against Meidias. A speaker who knew how to use this topic skilfully could, in an Athenian court, exercise a good deal
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of terrorism under the form of deprecation.
18. the watch-dog of the people] Compare the pseudo-Demosth. in Aristog. 1 § 40 : 'What, then, is the defendant? Some, I suppose, will say-'a watch-dog of the people.' Of what breed? Of such a breed that he will not bite those whom he takes for wolves, but will himself devour the sheep that he pretends to guard' (and Xen. Mem. II 9, г. In Cicero, pro Sex. Roscio, 56, accusers are compared to 'the dogs on the Capitol.' The phrase was taken up by Camille Desmoulins, in his Discours de la lanterne, and the word aboyeur became the technical term for an informer under the Reign of Terror, cp. Zielinski, Cicero im Wandel der Jahrhunderte, p. 326, ed. 1908). The metaphor 'watch-dog' was less homely to Greek ears than it is to ours. It finds place in one of the stateliest passages of Greek tragedy, Aesch. Agam. 591, where Clytaemnestra is speaking of herself as the faithful olkoupós during the absence of her lord:
and coming may he find,
Even as he left, the Watcher of the house, To him leal-hearted, hostile to his foes.
It is somewhat curious that in the same language the dog should have been a proverb at once for shamelessness (кupí$\pi \eta s$, etc.) and for noble fidelity. The dog Argos in the Odyssey bears witness to a Greek feeling for his species very different from that usual in the East.
21. to form conspiracies in the lawcourts] $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \delta \rho \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota \in ̇ \nu \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta p l o c s . ~ H e$ has already been described as assisting his friends in the character of advocate (n. on 1. 16). He now intrigues for them in the character of judge. When the panel of 500 or more jurors has been appointed to try a cause, the favourer of the worthless defendant forms a clique ( $\sigma v \nu \varepsilon \delta \dot{\rho} \varepsilon \dot{v} \varepsilon$ ) in his interest. He conspires with a few of his numerous colleagues to give the man every chance. Conspiracies of another kind are often mentioned in the orators,-where 'a gang of confederates' combined to bring on or defeat an action

the cause, not of the person.' 'The defendant,' he will say, 'is the watch-dog of the people,-he keeps an eye on evil-doers. We shall have nobody to take the public wrongs to heart, if we allow ourselves to lose such men.' Then he is apt to become the 20 champion of worthless persons, and to form conspiracies in the law-courts in bad causes; and, when he is hearing a case, to take up the statements of the litigants in the worst sense.
[In short, sympathy with rascality is sister to rascality itself; and true is the proverb that 'Like moves towards like.']

 § ro). But here the word $\sigma v \nu \in \delta \rho \in \dot{\prime} \in \iota \nu$ seems to show that the conspirator is on the bench.
22. and, when he is hearing a case, etc.] The last sentence described him as arranging with his brother-jurors, before the trial comes on, that the person in whom he is interested shall receive favour. The present sentence describes his ordinary conduct when a case is actually in progress before him, whether his sympathies are particularly engaged or not.
23. In the worst sense] A certain shallow cynicism-as shown in his remarks on honesty-is characteristic of the $\phi \iota \lambda o \pi \delta \nu \eta \rho o s$. It reappears in this trait. Neither of the parties to this cause being so eminently knavish as to enjoy his exclusive favour, he comforts himself with the conclusion that both are knaves. The usage of the Athenian law-courts permitted strong and abundant personalities. The believer in general depravity takes these conventional asperities $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ rò
$\chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho o \nu,-i . e$. in the fullest and worst sense which a literal acceptation can fix upon them.
25. Like moves towaxds like] Od. xvir 218, 'The god ever draws like to like.' Arist. Eth. N. vin r, 6, "There are no slight controversies about (friendship). Some make it a certain likeness, and friends, those who resemble us; whence the sayings 'like to like,' 'jackdaw to jackdaw,' and so forth. Some on the contrary say that all such persons are potters to each other" (Hes. Opp. 25, ' Potter spites potter, bard hath grudge to bard'). An examination of the proverb 'like to like'-ending in nothing more definite than the conclusion that pure contrariety is incompatible with friendship-will be found in Plato's Lysis, pp. 214 ff. (Arist. Rhet. I 11, 25, "All things akin to one, and like one, are pleasant to one, as a rule;-as man to man, horse to horse, youth to youth; whence the proverbs, 'mate delights mate,' 'Iike to like,' 'a beast knows his fellow,' 'jackdaw to jackdaw,' and so forth.")

## CRITICAL APPENDIX

## CRITICAL APPENDIX

A list (I) of the mss of the Characters, (2) of the principal Editions and Commentaries, is given by Foss (Teubner, 1858). In his Preface he has some remarks on the different classes and ages of the mSS and on some of the editions. The relation of the mss to each other is fully discussed by Petersen in his Introduction.

From a comparison of these authorities, with occasional help from other sources, the following account has been drawn up. It is given here because it may be convenient to those who intend to make a critical study of the Characters to have in a compact form the principal facts about the mss and some notice of the best editions.

The editions of Ast, Foss, Sheppard, Petersen and Ussing are the only ones which the writer of these notes on the text has had before him. The varietas scripturae appended to the edition of Foss, and the apparatus criticus given at the foot of each page by Petersen, supply the necessary materials for forming a judgment on disputed passages. Ast, Foss and Ussing give in their commentaries the best conjectures of previous editors.
(Since the publication of the first edition, in 1870 , further information on the text of the mss and on the conjectures of recent critics has been recorded in the edition prepared by the Philologische Gesellschaft at Leipzig and published by Teubner in 1897. This has been followed by the annotated editions of Romizi, Florence, 1899, Fraenkel and Groenboom, Leyden, 1901, and Edmonds and Austen, London, 1904, and, finally, by the critical text edited by Diels, Oxford, 1909. With a view to this last edition, each of the three leading mss, $A, B$, and $V$, has been reproduced by photography, and special care has been bestowed on the accurate record of their readings.)

## I. Manuscripts.

The extant MSS of the Characters ( 50 of which are identified, and Io others enumerated in the Leipzig edition) exhibit three different recensions or editions, viz
r. The Vulgate, or that recension which appears in 48 of the 50 mss. Of these, 35 contain the first $I_{5}$ Characters, as they stand in the traditional order (see p. 34) ; 6 the first 23, and 7 the first 28 . The two oldest and best are usually called 'Paris A, B,' being nos. 3264 (now 2977) and 2751 (now 1983) in the National Library in Paris. These contain the first ${ }_{55}$ Characters only. A is probably of the 9th century, B of the roth. Dübner thinks that both belong to the early part of the roth. (Both are assigned to the irth by Abraham in Studemund's Jahrb. $\mathbf{1 8 8 5}, 759$ f; and B, which is placed early in the century, is more carefully transcribed than A. Both have been photographed for Diels.)
2. A recension found in one ms, no. cx in the Vatican Library. This contains the last 15 Characters only, and is the only ms which has the 29 th and 30 th. Also, in cc. $15-28$, it gives additions which are found in no other ms. It is sometimes called (as by Foss) 'Palatinus,' sometimes (as by Ussing) 'Vaticanus.' Petersen designated it as the Palatino-Vatican (PVat. of Jebb's ed. of 1870 . It is now distinguished from the four Vatican mSS which were formerly in the Palatine Library. In the Leipzig edition of 1897, and in the present edition, as in that of Diels, it is denoted by V). Foss thinks that it was written in the $13^{\text {th }}$ century. (In the Leipzig edition it is assigned to the $13^{\text {th }}$ or $14^{\text {th }}$. It was collated by Badham and Cobet, it was carefully examined by G. Löwe on behalf of Ribbeck, and it has been completely photographed for Diels.)
3. A recension found in one MS, now in the Library at Munich (no. 505). This contains the first 21 Characters, and gives them in a shorter form than any other MS. It is usually called the Munich Epitome. At the beginning it has an index to all the thirty Characters. It belongs to the $14^{\text {th }}$ or $5^{\text {th }}$ century. (It was first printed by Wurm in 1822 ; more accurate copies have since been published by Petersen, 1859, Christ, 1860, by Diels, in his Theophrastea, 1883, and in the Leipzig edition of 1897 .)

Characters 29 and 30 (nos. 30 and 26 in our Translation, see p. 35) were first published from V in 1786 at Parma by J. C. Amaduzzi. The additions made to cc. $15-28$ by V were first published in 1798 by J. A. Goez, in the Anecdota Graeca of Siebenkees, which he edited after his friend's death (and in the complete text published in the same year). For many years afterwards the students of the Characters were divided into two schools; those who denied, and those who allowed, the authenticity of the extra matter in V.

The principal impugners of V were Coray, in his edition pub-
lished at Paris in 1799; Ast, in his edition, Leipzig, 1816; and Hottinger, in his German Translation, Munich, 182 I . Ast does not even admit into his text the additions found in V, but prints them in small type at the foot of the page; c. 29 [30] he regards as wholly spurious; c. $30[26]$ as patched together from fragments of cc. $9[15]$, 10 [24], 22 [25]. But he is not consistent; for, in a passage of $c .22$

 in V and rejects the rest. The earliest champions of that ms were J. G. Schneider,-whose first edition appeared at Jena in 1799, the second at Leipzig in 1818, -and S. N. J. Bloch, in his edition published at Leipzig in 1814. But the turning point in the opinion of scholars on the question was the appearance of three dissertations published successively at Halle in 1834-6 by H. E. Foss. In these he defended very forcibly and elaborately the genuineness of the supplements in V and of its two extra chapters. Among his earliest converts were E. Meier and F. Dübner ; the latter of whom published his edition at Paris in 1840. Since that time V has been generally acknowledged to be the best as well as the fullest authority for cc. 15 28, and the authenticity of cc. 29, 30 has been considered as established.

The arguments in favour of V are stated by Dr E. Petersen, in an essay which gained a University prize at Bonn in 1857, and which he published, slightly altered, in 1859. He agrees with Foss in the main, but differs from him in a few particulars. A full analysis of his essay would be out of place here; but an outline of his argument may be useful to those who wish to read it. (I) In respect to the supplements in V , it is argued that there are (a) cases in which they can be proved to be genuine by their intimate and necessary coherence with the text of the Vulgate: pp. 4-17; (b) cases, in which, though they cannot be proved genuine, there are no sufficient grounds for condemning them: pp. 17-19. (2) The opinion that the Munich Epitome represents the true text, and that the other two recensions are paraphrases of it, is examined and refuted. It is shown that, of all possible hypotheses as to the relations of the three recensions to each other, the only probable one is that V came from the same archetype from which were derived, but less immediately, the Vulgate on the one hand and the Epitome on the other : pp. 19-24. (3) The several families of the mSS which have the Vulgate text are then examined : pp. 24-55. (4) Lastly, the probable relation between $V$ and the archetype of the Vulgate and Epitome is more exactly defined. From the same book

$$
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which was the source of V was made another copy; in which the last leaf, containingt on its inner page a part of c. 30 [c. 26 ll. 6-33 in our text] had by accident been shifted to a place next c. II [17]. The leaf originally last but one, and which contained c. 29 [30], was thus left last ; and, being exposed to ill-usage, became illegible, and was left out by transcribers. From this copy was taken (with sundry omissions) the archetype of the Vulgate. Hence in the Vulgate cc. 29, 30 do not appear, but a part of c. 30 is found in c. II (see Crit. App. xvir, 14). And from this copy came also the Munich Epitome.-The archetype of V, -which would thus have been the common ancestor of all our mSS,was probably not much older than the ioth century (pp. 55, 6: compare p. 23).
(The following is the Stemma of the mss proposed by Otto Immisch on $p$. xdiii of the Leipzig edition :


Here X is the archetype of all our existing mss, and $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ a copy of the same, in which part of c. 30 was placed next to c. ri [r7]. V is the Vatican ms; A and B the principal Paris mss; $C$ and $D$ represent the two groups of mss with part of c. 30 [26] placed next to c. II [17], $C$ containing cc. 1-28, and $D$, cc. $1-23$, while $E$ represents the later mss corresponding in contents to A and B , i.e. having only cc. I - I 5 and placing part of c. 30 [26] next to c. II [17]. Y is an intermediate epitome, which is the source of all the mss except V.)

## II. Principal Editions and Commentaries.

(1) Editions with $I_{5}$ Characters.
1527. Pirckheymer publishes at Nuremberg an edition of the first 15 Characters-the first after the revival of letters. (This edition was founded on a ms presented in 1515 to Pirckheymer by Giovanni

Francesco Pico della Mirandola; the Latin translation was executed by Pirckheymer, who dedicated the work to Albrecht Dürer.)
(153I. Andrea Cratander publishes at Basel an anonymous translation of the first ${ }_{5} 5$ Characters, founded on a ms differing from that of Pico. This translation is ascribed to Politian by Conrad Gesner, and by F. Morell in his Paris ed. of 1853 .)

## (2) Editions with 23 Characters.

1552. G. Battista Camozzi, in an edition of Aristotle, published in Venice, includes 23 of the Characters, along with other writings of Theophrastus. (This is the source of the edition of H. Stephanus, Paris, 1557 , and of other editions published in 1561-84.)
(3) Editions with 28 Characters.
r599. Isaac Casaubon, in the second and third of his three editions (1592, 1599, 1612), prints 28 Characters; the 5 new ones from 4 mSS in the Palatine Library at Heidelberg. It was even then known that the number of the Characters was not complete; for indices to 30 had been found in some mss. But nearly two centuries more elapsed before the missing chapters were found.
1553. Peter Needham published at Cambridge an edition in which the novelty was the weight given to the two Paris mss A, B, in the first 15 chapters; but he did not follow them consistently. (His edition includes the notes and emendations of Casaubon, with prelections, on the first $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ Characters, identified by Bentley as part of the professorial lectures delivered by Duport in Cambridge during the Civil War.)
1554. J. C. de Pauw publishes at Utrecht an edition with some good conjectures, for which he is often quoted.
1555. J. C. Schwartz, in an edition published at Coburg, follows Needham chiefly, but alters and conjectures audaciously.
1556. J. J. Reiske, in his Animadversiones in Auctores Graecos ( I pp. 96-ro6), has some good notes on the Characters.
(1761. C. A. Klotz publishes at Jena and Leipzig his Animadversiones in Theophrasti characteres ethicos.)
1557. J. F. Fischer, like the other editors, founds his edition on the majority of inferior MSS, forsaking the two Paris MSS of which Needham had recognised the importance. (Fischer gives a full account of all the earlier editions, and of the emendations proposed in them.)
i786. J. C. Amaduzzi publishes at Parma the two long-missing Characters 29 and 30 , from a ms in the Vatican library (no. cx). Prospero Petroni, librarian of the bibliotheca Alexandrina in Rome, had (in 1743) announced his intention to produce an ampler edition of the Characters with the aid of the Vatican ms.
r788. J. P. Siebenkees copies cc. 15 - 30 from the Vatican ms, for insertion in his Anecdota Graeca, collected in Italian libraries. He dies before publishing the book, and it is edited by J. A. Goez, who also produces a separate edition of the Characters (infra, 1798).

## (4) Editions containing 30 Characters.

1798. J. A. Goez publishes at Nuremberg an edition including Siebenkees' transcript of the Vatican text of cc. 15-30. (The numerous errors in this transcript are pointed out by Cobet, in Mnemosyne vili (1859) 310-338.)
1799. Coray's edition appears at Paris. He maintains strongly that the supplements discovered in the Vatican ms are spurious. His notes and (in some cases) his conjectures are good.
1800. J. G. Schneider, in his first edition published at Jena, adopts and defends the Vatican supplements. His edition is one of the most important, and is constantly referred to by later editors. (His editio minor appeared in 1800. His text of the Characters was afterwards included in his edition of the whole of Theophrastus, published at Leipzig in 1818-2 r .)
(1802. Schweighäuser publishes in Paris his Annotationes to a new edition of the French translation by La Bruyère.)
1801. S. N. J. Bloch (a Danish scholar) publishes at (Altona and) Leipzig an edition in which he follows Schneider in maintaining the authenticity of the Vatican supplements.
1802. F. Ast publishes at Leipzig an edition in which he reasserts the view of Coray that the extra matter in V, including c. 29, is spurious: c. 30 he regards as a patchwork from other chapters.
(1818-25. Küchler publishes his Observationes, I at Leipzig, 1818, and 11 at Naumburg, 1825.)
(1820. Boissonade's Theophrasti characteres tentati is published in Wolf's Lit. Analekten, if 88-90, Berlin.)
1803. J. J. Hottinger publishes at Munich a German Translation, in which he takes nearly the same view as Ast.
1804. Chr. Wurm publishes in the Munich Journal of Philology (Acta Phil. Mon. III 363 ff) the first 21 Characters, in a shorter form than that of the Vulgate, as he had found them in a ms at Munich (the

Epitome). F. Thiersch (in his epilogue to this article) maintains the view that this epitome gives the proem, and at least the first five chapters, in their genuine form, the Vulgate having been amplified by interpolation.

1830-50. E. Meier brings out at intervals five critical essays on the Characters. While writing these, he adopted the view of Foss respecting the Vatican ms. (Meier's essays are reprinted in his Opuscula, II, 1863, 190-262.)
(1831. Dobree's Notae in Theophrastum are published in his Adversaria, I 161, ed. Scholefield ; I 139 , ed. Wagner, 1875.)
(1834. Orelli publishes at Zürich his Lectiones Theophrasteae.)

1834-6. H. E. Foss, in three dissertations published in three successive years at Halle, maintains ( I ) that the Munich text is not complete, but a mere epitome ; (2) that all the Vatican supplements are genuine.
1840. F. Dübner, in his edition published at Paris, takes the same view.
(1849. G. A. Hirschig publishes at Utrecht his Annotationes in Theophrastum.)
( $1850-63$. Nauck suggests some emendations in 1850 , Phitologus v 383 f., and in 1863, Melanges Gr. Rom. 11 477-9.)
1852. J. G. Sheppard publishes in London an edition in which he recurs to the theory that the Vatican additions are spurious. He adopts, but modifies, the text of Ast. This Commentary is interesting for its illustrations from modern literature.
1857. J. A. Hartung's edition appears at Leipzig. He adopts the Vafican supplements.
1858. H. E. Foss publishes in Teubner's series an edition of the text of the Characters, with the 'Varietas Scripturae' appended. In this he used a collation of V made in 1843 by Charles Badham. (At Altenburg, in 1861, Foss published his Commentatio quarta.)
(1858-74. Cobet proposes emendations in Novae Lectiones (1858), passim; corrects the errors of Siebenkees in Mnemosyne, vili (1859), 310-338; and proposes further emendations in $N . S$. II (1874), 34-72, and Variae Lectiones, ed. 2 ( 1873 ), reprinted in Bursian's Jahresbericht, is 1294 f. Cobet holds that we must rely entirely on the two Paris MSS, A and B , for the text of $\mathrm{cc} .1-15$, and on the Vatican ms for that of cc. 16-30.)
(1858-6i. F. Hanow publishes at Leipzig his dissertation De Theophrasti characterum libello, produced at Bonn in 1858 ; and the two parts of his Symbolae Criticae, produced at Züllichau in 1860-r.)
(1859. Meineke proposes emendations in Philologus, xiv (1859), 403 ff.)
1859. E. Petersen's essay on the mss of the Characters and on the history of the book appears at Leipzig. He gives also the text of the Characters, with a collation of several mss at the foot of each page ; and also prints (on pp. 158-r64) the Munich epitome of the first ${ }^{2 I}$ chapters. (This text has since been revised by Christ in the Sitzungsberichte of the Munich Academy, I860, 635 ff ; it has also been printed in Diels' Theophrastea, 1883 , and in the Leipzig edition, 1897.) Petersen's essay is altogether a most valuable book.
(1860. Kayser proposes emendations in the Heidelberg Jahrbücher, 6ir-624; and Moritz Schmidt in Philologus, xv 54I f.)

I868. J. L. Ussing publishes a volume containing the Characters of Theophrastus, the roth book of Philodemus $\pi \in \rho \grave{\imath} \kappa \kappa \kappa \omega \bar{\omega}$, and (in an appendix) two short extracts from Rutilius Lupus and from the Rhetorica ad Herennium. The chief value of the book consists in the excellent though somewhat scanty commentary (which is limited to 86 small pages). In dealing with the text he is usually cautious, but now and then makes emendations which show more ingenuity than instinct for the language.
(The following editions, and contributions to the criticism or explanation of the Characters, have appeared since 1868.

1869-73. Haupt proposes emendations in (1) Hermes, in (1869) 336 f ; (2) ib. v (1871) 29 f ; (3) ib. vII (1873) 295 f ; reprinted in Opusc. 1112 (1876) 434 f, 498 f, 592 f (on cc. 1, 14, 16, 20, 2 I ).
r870. R. C. Jebb. The Characters of Theophrastus, an English Translation from a Revised Text, with Introduction and Notes; pp. xii +328 , small 8 vo : London and Cambridge.

1870-83. Ribbeck discusses the Characters in Rhein. Mus. xxv ( 1870 ) 129 ff ; On € $\mathrm{l} \omega \mathrm{v}$, ib. xxxi (r876) $38 \mathrm{I}-400$; Alazon, pp. 193 (Leipzig, r882) ; Kolax, pp. ry3, in Abh. of Saxon Gesellschaft (Leipzig, 1883). See below (1889).
r870-3. Usener comments on cc. $1,5,6,8,9,16,20,21$ in Rhein. Mus. xxv (1870) 605 ff , and xxviil ( 5873 ) 434 f .
1871. H. van Herwerden publishes Bijdrage tot de verklaring en kritick van de Charakteres van Theophrastus, in Proceedings of Amsterdam Academy, il i ( 1871 ) 24I-3II.
1871. Madvig proposes emendations in Adversaria Critica, I 478 f.
1873. L. Schmidt, in a Marburg program, comments on the meaning of $\epsilon \ddot{\mu} \rho \omega \nu$ in Ariston and Theophrastus. Cp. Bursian's Jahresbericht, 1207 f .
1874. Buecheler publishes Conjectanea in Fleckeisen's Jahrbücher, cix 6 gr . Cp. Eberhard in Bursian, l.c., il 1298.
1882. E. L. Hicks, in the Journal of Hellenic Studies, ini 128 143, quotes Athenian inscriptions to illustrate cc. 13 [10] and 2 I [7].

1883-98. (r) Diels publishes his Theophrastea in 1883, in a program of the Königstädtisches Gymnasium, Berlin. He supports Cobet's opinion that our text must be founded, for the first 15 chapters, on the Paris mss A and B, and, for the second 15 , on the Vatican ms, which contains these only. By a new collation of $A$ and $B$, he shows that $B$ is superior to $A$; and he suggests that a longer and a shorter excerpt were made from the Vatican ms, that a combination of B (for the first 15 chapters) with the longer excerpt (for the rest) is the source of the Munich epitome, and a combination of $\mathbf{B}$ with the shorter excerpt is the source of the later mss, those containing 23 and also those containing 28 chapters. This view is criticised in the Leipzig edition, p. xli f. (2) In the Deutsche Litt.-Zeit. of 1898,750 ff, Diels reviews the Leipzig edition.

1884-9. G. F. Unger suggests emendations in Philologus, xlin (1884) 218; XLVV (1885) 740; XLV (1886) 218, 244, 277, 368, 438 , 448 , $552 \mathrm{f}, 6 \mathrm{I} 3,64 \mathrm{I}$; Xlvi ( I 888 ) 56 ; Xlvil ( 1889 ) $374 \mathrm{f} . \quad$ See Bursian's Jahresbericht, xlil 267, L 19, Lxxv 69.
1885. H. Blümner suggests emendations in Fleckeisen's Jahrbücher, cxxxi 485 f. See Bursian, l.c., xlir 267 .
1887. W. Werle publishes at Coburg a program proposing a number of transpositions in the text. See Bursian, l.c., Lxxv 68 f.

1888-93. Zingerle proposes emendations in the Zeitschrift $f$. d. österreich. Gymnasien (1888) 706 f ; ( 1893 ) 1066 ff. See Bursian, l.c., LXXIX 279 f .

1889-98. Gomperz publishes in the Sitzungsberichte of the Vienna Academy, cxvil (1889) Abh. 10, a paper on the Characters, in which he opposes the view that they consist of excerpts from one or more writings of Theophrastus, and maintains that they are derived from the 'Ethical Characters' ascribed to that author. He, nevertheless, disputes the genuineness of the definitions of the several Characters. In this paper he misunderstands Ribbeck's position. The sequel may be found in two papers in the Rhein. Mus. xliv (r889), (i) by Ribbeck, on pp. 305 f, and (2), by Gomperz and Ribbeck, on pp. 472 f. See Bursian, l.c., $\operatorname{Lxxv} 67$ f. In the Sitzungsberichtemxxix (1898) II-15,

Gomperz reasserts his opinion on the spuriousness of the definitions, and discusses some points in the text of c. 21 [7].
1890. Weil discusses two historical allusions in c. 7 [19] in the Revue de Philologie, xiv 106 f. See Bursian, l.c., lxxv 69.
1891. C. J. Babick publishes at Leipzig a dissertation De deisidaimonia veterum, including emendations on c. 28 [16]. Cp. Bursian, l.c., Lxxix 128 f.
1891. Blaydes proposes, in Hermathena, vini 1-13, a number of corrections of the Teubner text of 1858 .
1892. Naber proposes emendations in Mnemosyne, N. S., xx 319 -337. Cp. Bursian, l.c., Lxxix 127 f.
1893. Van der Mey proposes emendations in the Contos-Sylloge, Leyden, 7 Iff .
1894. P. Sakolowski, in the Gr. Studien H. Lipsius dargebracht, Leipzig, 157 f, follows in the lines of Ribbeck, Rhein. Mus. xxv 139, in the reconstruction of chapter II [ I 7 ]. Cp. Bursian, l.c., Lxxxviir 46.

1895-6. Münsterberg proposes emendations in the Wiener Studien, xvi ( 1895 ) 16 Iff ; and Xvil ( 1896 ) 217 ff .
1897. Otto Immisch gives a detailed account of 50 mss , discusses the recension of the text, and adds a conspectus of the literature of the subject, on pp. viri-Lvi of an edition prepared by the Leipzig Philologische Gesellschaft. Here, and elsewhere, he points out that the Characters have survived solely as part of a collection of rhetorical writings, the nucleus of which is formed by Aphthonius and Hermogenes. They may therefore be regarded as supplementary to Hermogenes, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $i \delta \epsilon \omega \nu$, II 2-9. Among the other contributors to this edition (which includes critical and explanatory notes and a German translation) are M. Bechert, A. Gieseke, R. Holland, J. Ilberg, R. Meister, and W. Ruge. A few valuable notes have been added by Curt Wachsmuth, and by F. Studniczka, who has superintended the selection of the illustrations from works of ancient art. In this edition the most important emendations are recorded in the critical notes at the foot of the page, while other proposals are incidentally mentioned in the course of the commentary. In the sequel, the letter $L$ is used to denote this edition and its editors.
1898. P. Wendland points out, in Philologus, Lvir 104, that the work was once included in the celebrated Paris mS, no. 1741, probably in an edition containing only 15 Characters.
1898. Otto Immisch, in Philologus, Lvil 193, argues that the work was originally a parergon to the rhetorical writings of Theophrastus.
1899. Domenico Bassi, in the Rivista di Filologia, xxvil 280-2,
draws attention to a ms of cc. I-I5 (dated 1426) in the Ambrosian library, C 82 sup.
1899. Romizi publishes at Florence a critical edition of the text with an Italian translation, and with Latin notes on the text and Italian notes on the translation. The Introduction reviews the work of Italian scholars in connexion with the Characters of Theophrastus; pp. rx +198 .

1goI. J. M. Fraenkel and P. Groeneboom, of Utrecht, publish an edition of the text at Leyden, with critical notes in Latin, and explanatory notes in Dutch, pp. 65 ; reviewed by P. Wendland in the Berlin Philologischer Wochenschrift, 1902, p. 323 f.
1902. Von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff includes c. 2 [r] кода-
 [26] aio $\chi \rho \circ к \epsilon ́ \rho \delta є i a$, in his Griechisches Lesebuch, Berlin, 1902 etc.
1904. J. M. Edmonds and G. E. V. Austen produce a schooledition. In the Introduction they deal with the Life and Times of Theophrastus, discuss the date, origin, authorship and titles of the Characters, and mention the principal mss, but they erroneously follow editions previous to that of 1897 in supposing that the principal Vatican ms $(\mathrm{V})$ is identical with one of the four Palatine mSS (no. r49) in the Vatican, whereas the Palatine ms (no. 149) is now known to be different from V, being in fact the same as the Palatinus Neveleti. In the notes special attention is paid to the Greek of Theophrastus. There are 24 illustrations from works of ancient art. Mr Edmonds has since published suggestions on the text in the Classical Quarterly: see below, 1908.
1904. Grenfell and Hunt publish in the Oxyrhynchus Papyri, IV $\mathbf{I}_{55}$, no. 699 , a fragment of a compendium of the Characters including the end of c .25 [27] and the beginning of c. 26 [29], ascribed to the early part of the third century A.D. c. 26 begins thus: $[\eta$ odı $] \gamma[\alpha \rho \chi] \stackrel{ }{ }$ $\epsilon \sigma \tau[\iota \nu \phi \iota \lambda a \rho \chi \iota a]$ $\iota \iota s ~ \tau \sigma \chi$ vos $![\ldots \ldots . . \gamma] \lambda \iota \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$, where the letter next to ioxúos may be $\iota, \gamma, \eta, \pi$, or $\kappa$ (cp. Classical Quarterly, 1908, 164).
1905. W. Roberts, in the Athenaeum, no. 4045, 6 May, p. 562, draws attention to the discovery of ten leaves of an edition of the Characters printed at the Oxford press of Joseph Barnes in 1604.
1905. P. Grindor discusses a few passages in the Revue de linstruction publique en Belgique, xlvii 163-8.
1908. J. M. Edmonds publishes in the Classical Quarterly, pp. ing f, i6rf, 'Contributions to a New Text of the Characters.' Most of his suggestions are quoted in the present Appendix.
1909. Diels publishes at Oxford a text of the Characters, with
brief critical notes, including a careful record of the readings of the three principal mSS, $A, B$, and $V$, and a selection from the more important emendations.)
@EOФPASTOY XAPAKTHPEE] The mSS call the book simply
 some modern editors have adopted the adjective.
$\pi \rho o o l \mu \iota v$ ] Needham, Pauw and Coray give the heading as $\pi \rho o o i \mu o v: ~$ Goez, Schneider and others as ©єóфрабтоs Подvк $\lambda_{\epsilon \hat{i} .}$ Most mss, acc. to Ast, have no heading at all. (The heading is omitted in $L$, the Leipzig ed. of 1897 .)

 MSS, and another of less authority, omit the words tòv $\lambda o{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ov}$ åmó. Hence
 Buecheler proposes रeípova aï $\rho \sigma \iota v$ or $\left.\tau \grave{\alpha} \chi^{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \rho o v a.\right)$
 Some of the ordinary mss apparently have кäı $\sigma$ tával, the reading preferred by Foss and adopted by Petersen and Ussing.)

 found in some mss.)
(6. каӨ $\quad \mu(\nu \omega \nu] \quad \sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \hat{v} \nu \omega \nu$ is preferred by Cobet, Mnemos. 1874, p. 35.)
(7. $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}$ aùrov̂] $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime}$ avitov̂ Ribbeck and Cobet.)
(II. Svoiv] The variant $\delta v \in i v$ is preferred by Wilamowitz. It becomes common in inscriptions of the Macedonian age; cp. KühnerBlass, Gr. Gr. 1 i 633.)
 ferred by Herwerden, and is printed in Wilamowitz' Lesebuch. Blaydes proposes каіто..)

14 f. кal émaıvéaul $8 \underset{\text { ákovovuos] Foss transposes this clause, placing }}{ }$
 character of the Flatterer that, though he has desired the others to be silent, he himself praises the speaker in loud whispers. Ussing brackets the words as spurious. (ákovouros A and B , the former really having
 by Reiske and Cobet, and aṽ̉oûvros by Eberhard.)
 fut. indic. is out of place here : it would mean' if , as is the case, he is destined to stop.' Foss's émàv mav́rŋral, which Ussing adopts (and Edmonds prefers), is too far from the mss. Ast (followed by Dübner) reads $\epsilon i \pi a v ́ \epsilon \tau \alpha l$, and suggests $\ddot{\eta} v \pi a v ́ \sigma \eta \tau a l$. The former seems best. When his patron-who perhaps is not a fluent speaker-pauses and is
 supposes him to say 'do $\rho \theta \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ ' once for all at the end of the speech, is not only a rash conjecture, but appears to give a less pointed meaning. ( $\epsilon i$ aav́vaito, proposed by Reiske, is adopted by Wilamowitz.)
 $\pi i ̂ \delta a s$, i.e. 'going with him to the slipper-market to buy'; but to supply the idea of motion from ovvovovípevos is very harsh. Petersen alters $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$
 greatly to the enfeebling of the latter word. Fischer's correction ( 1763 ) of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ to $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ appears the best at present. ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \rho \eta \pi i \hat{\delta} a \varsigma$, Oberschuhe, Wachsmuth, followed by $L$ and by Wilamowitz; 'I 1 ıкрariסas Schmidt; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{i} \rho \eta \pi i \delta \omega \nu$, 'in the shoe-market,' Diels.)

26. таракє $¢$ нуоs] So Ast, Foss (and Wilamowitz), some mss having $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \mu^{\hat{\varepsilon}} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \nu$. The others (including A and B) mostly have $\pi \alpha \rho a \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ (retained in $L$ ), but this is evidently a corruption, perhaps from $\pi a \rho a-$ ( $\kappa \epsilon \iota) \mu \varepsilon \nu \omega \nu$ (once supposed to be the reading of A and B ). Ussing, with



 praising the fare. His words imply that the host is accustomed to delicate living. With Casaubon's ingenious $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \pi=\frac{̣ ̂}{s}$ an adverb such as $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \omega \bar{s}$ would have been more appropriate than $\mu a \lambda a \kappa \omega \hat{\omega}$.
 had got out of their place, a copyist having written them after кai $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta}$. Reiske, followed by Ast, Foss, and Ussing, has restored them to their right place. Petersen leaves them in the wrong one, after кai $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$, and alters $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda a \iota$ to $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda a \iota$, understanding apparently: 'he asks whether he shall wrap him up': in which sense $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda a l$ is not

 ${ }^{a} \mu \alpha a \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega v$, Diels.)
(30. $\pi р о \sigma к \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \omega \nu$ ] is proposed by Valckenaer for $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \omega v$, which is retained by $L$.)

 should like to read $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota$ for $\pi \alpha^{\prime} v \tau \alpha$ ．Ussing reads on his own conj．єi．


## II（V）

 or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ \mu є \nu o s ~ h a d ~ d r o p p e d ~ o u t ~ a f t e r ~ \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i ́, ~ a n d ~ F o s s ~ i n s e r t s ~ \lambda a \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~$


6．ETt émaıvิ］So most mss．Foss and Petersen évi aivêv，with Par．A，B，and others．Orelli＇s conjecture ${ }^{\prime \prime \pi} \tau \in \in \pi \iota v \epsilon v \not \omega v$ has been adopted by Dübner，Hartung，and Ussing ：rashly，I think．（єَє was omitted by Needham，followed in $L$ ．）
（8．кoıvoेs］кoıvòs єis $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ；hence кoıvós tıs Cobet and Unger．）
I2 f．ka日lotardal］So Foss，Petersen，Ussing，with Par．A，B，etc． Vulg．каӨíal，and so Ast；which I should prefer，did not the word ка日íттa⿱日ai appear to be used with something of an ironical tone：＇he manages to establish them beside him．＇The middle voice helps the irony．（кaӨía，$\theta a \iota$ is proposed by Cobet．）
 －$\dot{\eta}$ madaí $\sigma \tau \rho a$ ），retained in this place by Coray and Ussing and $L$ ，is transferred to vir 6－24，as suggested by Ansaldo Cebà in his Italian translation，Genoa， 1620 ．Casaubon had already noticed that it was out of place in the present chapter．）

## III（XV）

 Foss and Ussing，－the latter thinking it corrupt．Meier attempted to render the vulgate：－‘They are not likely to prove presents＇：i．e．＇I shall be expected to pay for them by a return－present＇：a very strained version，which would，besides，require $\delta \in \delta о \mu$ ย́va．Reiske，whom Schneider


 not，as Ussing reports him，$\delta \in \delta o \mu$ éva．（The text was proposed by
 was subsequently suggested by Cobet，and ov̉к ầ $\delta \in ́ \notin о \iota т о ~ \delta \iota \delta о ́ \mu \varepsilon v a ~ b y ~$




brackets; believing that, when $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma а \nu \tau \iota$ had been written by mistake, ש̈̈avet was written in the margin as a correction, and thence found an independent place in the text. Ussing adopts this view. To me it seems more probable that $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega^{\sigma} \sigma a v \tau \iota$ is a corruption of something else than merely $\ddot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau \iota$. A list of several petty annoyances which the Surly man cannot pardon seems almost necessary to the spirit of the passage. Petersen's $\rho \mathbf{\rho} \pi \omega^{\prime} \sigma a v \tau \iota$ (found in some mss, and accepted in $L$ ) is a little too strong, and though $\dot{\rho} v \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (intr.) is common, the transitive $\rho^{\circ} v \pi o ́ \omega$ is a very rare word. Ast's $\chi$ р $\dot{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \tau \iota$ seems precisely what is wanted. (ov̌rє
 in striking out, as a varia lectio, the words in brackets, and points out that $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \tau \iota$ is here properly applied to 'pushing another person off the pavement.')


## IV (XXIV)



 тaîs ó ôoîs. For $\beta$ láלєtv Schweighäuser (and Sheppard independently) conj. $\beta a \delta i \xi \omega \nu$ : which, as the best available correction, I have taken,



 declines (superbe abnuere) to décide cases' etc., omitting the words ivv taîs ódoîs as corrupt : Petersen suspects them also. Ast $\mu \in \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \phi \rho \alpha \alpha_{-}$



8. $\mu \iota \sigma \theta o v \mu$ évovs] So (Stroth), Foss, Ast, Herwerden and Cobet, for the manuscript reading $\mu \epsilon \mu \tau \theta \omega \mu$ évovs, retained by Hottinger, Sheppard, Ussing, Petersen (and $L$ ). Ast's objection to $\mu \epsilon \mu \tau \theta \omega \mu$ évovs, that it $^{2}$ could only mean mercede conductos, whom therefore the hirer has a right to summon at an early hour, is not convincing, since it is conceivable that $\mu \epsilon \mu i \sigma \theta \omega \mu a \iota$, like $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a t$, etc., may have been used as a Perfect Middle, and that oi $\mu \epsilon \mu \tau \theta \omega \mu$ évo九 $\tau \iota$ may have meant qui aliquid conduxerunt. But the present $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ov $\mu^{\text {évovs }}$ is better as denoting that the bargain is still in progress.


 There can be no doubt that the words ävvo $\pi$ álıv in V , if not sound, at least represent something which stood between $\delta o \hat{\xi}_{\eta}$ and каì écu $\omega \mathrm{iv}$, and contrasted the conduct of the $\dot{i} \pi \epsilon \rho \bar{\eta} \phi a v o s$ in not speaking to those he met with some other feature of his conduct. I once con-
 not speak to those he meets, keeping his head bent down; or, when so it pleases him, weill call them back.'
16. Sumeiv] So the mss, followed by Ast, Sheppard and Ussing. Ast, however, conjectures $\delta \iota a \theta \hat{\epsilon}$, and Sheppard $\delta$ ta $\theta$ eivau. Foss and $I$ (and Fraenkel and Groeneboom) accept $\delta a=\theta \in \hat{v} u$, , without naming Sheppard. ( $\delta u \theta \theta \bar{\epsilon} v a \iota$ was also proposed by Naber; $\delta \iota \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{iv}$ by Buecheler.)
17. aitu $\hat{\omega}]$ avitw is proposed by Edmonds.
19. $\left.\left.\pi p \rho_{s} \sigma \epsilon\right] \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma e ̀ ~ L . ~ \lambda \eta \psi o \mu e ́ v o u s\right] ~ S o ~ F o s s ~ a n d ~ U s s i n g . ~$ Sheppard (Buecheler and $L$ ) $\lambda \eta \psi \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon$ vos with the mss; Ast $\lambda \eta \psi \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \mathrm{vov}$.

V (I)
Almost every editor has taken a different view of the order in which the clauses between $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i v ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu \tau \sigma \epsilon i v ~ a n d ~ a ́ к o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\iota} \theta a \iota$ (1. 3-1. 14) should be arranged. Foss has been the boldest in transposing ; Ussing has adhered most nearly to the mss. I have observed absolutely the order of the sentences in the mss. In writings of this kind, where every sentence has an independent point and is not necessarily in direct connexion either with what precedes or with what follows, that order of the clauses which is found in the manuscripts ought not, surely, to be disturbed without strong reason. In the present case the arrangement which has authority seems at least as good as any which has been effected by conjectural changes. (The order of the mSS is also retained in $L$.)
 $\mu \iota \sigma \hat{i}$. But $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$ is both more probable and more graphic. Ussing encloses oủ $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ in brackets. Hartung (and others) propose wis oủ $\mu \iota \sigma \omega \nu$ (accepted by Fraenkel and Groeneboom). (Pierson, quoted by

7. mpds тoùs díbıкovpévovs] Ussing ingeniously (but unnecessarily) conjectures $\pi p o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ a ̈ \delta ı к<a ~ \dot{\eta} \gamma>o v \mu$ 'vovs, 'to those who think that the things said against him are unjust.' Foss, who transposes the clause to


 pares the emended text in 1. 16, $\sigma \kappa \in ́ \psi \in \sigma \theta a l$.
 avit $\hat{̣}$ is preferred by Foss；av̉rov̂ is suggested by Edmonds，＇he arrived late on the scene，＇＇on the actual spot．＇）
 noticed by Salmasius．Ribbeck proposes：＜סov̀s mo入̀̀ фท̂नat wis ov̉ $\pi \lambda о v \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ．каì $\pi \omega \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota>$ ．）

（16．$\sigma \kappa \in ̂ \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta a r] ~ C a s a u b o n ~(q u o t i n g ~ M e n a n d e r:-o i ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ o ̂ \phi p \hat{v} s ~ a i l-~$
 є̨ $\sigma \kappa$ ќф $\theta a u$ ．）

 correction which has been made of a hopeless passage．The mss
 explain．＇He says that he has become another person，＇i．e．has been mistaken for another，whose words or actions have been imputed to him．＇Vous me prenez pour un autre．＇Clearly this will not do：but
 av̉ròv $\mathfrak{\text { É } \tau \epsilon \rho o v ~} \gamma \in \gamma_{0}$ éval：＇he will say＇To think that he（the person from whom his friend has heard the story）should have changed so com－ pletely！＇，－i．e．＇to think that the man who told you this story should have told $m e$ a story so different．＇This is worse than the vulgate itself．
 describe the occurrence as having been of a different sort＇；which agrees well with what immediately follows，－＇This，however，was not the story that he told me．＇But，for this sense，we should have expected

 ＜aủròv＞モ́avtov̂ к．т．入．）
 by Foss（who was followed by Jebb in the text of his edition of 1870 ， but not in his translation）．）

 conjecture adapted to the old barbarous interpretation which made the


 There is great probability in Ussing＇s conjecture that EXTINOXXEIPO－ NON is an old corruption of EETITOYEIPSNOE．（Diels prefers $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ єіро́ขшข．）

## VI (XXIII)

I. $\delta \mathbf{6} \xi \underline{\xi} \epsilon \mathrm{Ev} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{a} v$ ] has some manuscript support, and is (on general grounds) preferred by Coray and Ast: $\delta \delta \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota$ is found in most mss $(L)$. mpormoingus] So Foss and Ussing,-Foss assigning the emendation to Auber (ed. 1582) and Reiske ; Ast, to Schneider. Ast himself keeps the vulg.

 arose from these words having been omitted and then written in the margin. I doubt if a Greek writer could have said $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ סógav in this
 defends the exceptional use of $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o \kappa i a$, in the sense of 'acceptance, taking to oneself, assumption,' by comparing Hesychius, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \dot{\chi} \chi \in \tau \alpha \iota$. $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi о \iota \epsilon i ̂ \alpha a$.)
 the isthmus joining the Peiraeus to the mainland ; by Ussing, as a mole dividing the two parts of its great basin (the Kantharus and the Emporium). Casaubon conj. $\delta \in i(\gamma \mu a \tau \iota$, which Ast adopts. The topography of the Peiraeus is well known from ancient writers; but nowhere is rò $\delta a^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \tau \gamma \mu a$ mentioned, whereas rò $\delta \epsilon i \gamma \mu a$ exactly suits the context. This, however, would not in itself be a sufficient reason for adopting the emendation, were it not intrinsically probable. (Cobet accepts $\delta \epsilon i \neq \mu \alpha \tau \iota$,

 17, 30.)

8. 'A入efavi $\rho \rho 0 v$ ] The mss have Eváu $\delta \rho o v$, corrected by Auber to 'A入є $\xi^{\alpha} v \delta \rho o u$. He has been followed by Casaubon and by all subsequent editors except Goez and Sheppard. The latter thinks, with Coray, that Evander may have been some general of Alexander of whom we know nothing. But the fact that we know nothing of him is in itself the best argument against the reading. The names of Alexander's generals, the names of all who were prominent during his period, are known from the detailed narratives of Plutarch, Arrian and Quintus Curtius. Nowhere is an Evander named to whom this allusion could refer. It is difficult to suppose that there can have been a military leader so universally known that a braggart, incapable of selection and attracted only by the largest names, should boast to a chance companion of acquaintance with him; and of whom not a word is said in the full histories of the time which have come down to us. That the age of Alexander is referred to is shown, of course, by the allusion to Antipater.
9. $\mathbf{\omega}_{\mathbf{s}}$ aủrê $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mathbf{e}} \mathrm{X}$ ] ] Schneider thought that some such adverb as


 vooro, 'was so disposed to him that it was likely to become most hostile.'

(II. $\left.\delta \hat{\eta} \phi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha_{l}\right]$ Coray : $\psi \eta \phi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha_{\iota} \mathrm{V}: \phi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha_{\iota} L$.)

I3. Tputcd $\delta i t$ ] V, Foss, Ussing, L. -In the collation of V by Siebenkees $\tau \rho \iota \tau \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta}$ was wrongly reported as $\tau \rho i \neq 0 \nu \eta ँ \delta \eta$, whence Ast's conjecture $\tau o ̀ ~ \tau \rho i ́ \tau o \nu ~ \eta ँ \partial \eta \eta$, adopted in his own text and in Sheppard's. (rpítov $\delta \bar{\eta}$ is found in some mss.)
(15. नuкофаитөөin] <каì öт८> is added by Foss ( $L$ ).)

 has been attempted to explain 'the Macedonians ought to have been more thoughtful' (i.e. 'than to offer me a privilege which would make me unpopular at Athens'). Schneider was for changing Maкє $\delta \dot{\sigma} \iota \iota$ to Maкe $\delta o ́ v \omega v, ~ ' u l t r a ~ q u a m ~ M a c e d o n e s ~ s a p e r e ~ d e c e b a t . ' ~ T h e ~ s e n s e ~ t h u s ~$
 Ussing's correction of $\phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi \epsilon i ̄ \nu$ to $\phi i \lambda o s \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̄ \nu$ appears to me not only very brilliant but almost certain. The wis which is then wanted before $\phi$ ídos might easily have dropped out after the final $\omega$ of $\pi \epsilon-$ paıtध́ $\rho \omega$. The omission of $\eta$ $\eta$ before $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \eta_{\kappa} \epsilon \iota$ would have been a natural result of the corruption of $\phi i \lambda o s \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ to $\phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o \phi \epsilon i v . ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ for $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ is Ussing's (and is also proposed by Cobet. Madvig proposes


## (17. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \omega] \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o v s ~ V, ~ L ; \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{o} \% ~ E b e r h a r d)$.

 who was puzzled by the ordinary omission of $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a \dot{\prime}$, and referred the numeral to тoîs ả̃ópoıs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. (Diels regards the passage as corrupt.)
(22. каl סéка] ко̌ Cichorius (Wilamowitz, L); ঠéка Casaubon and
 Lycius for $\left.\phi^{\prime} \eta^{\prime} \sigma a s(r e t a i n e d ~ i n ~ L).\right) ~$
(24. $\lambda_{\text {etrovpyias... } \lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\text {ectoípy } \eta \kappa \epsilon] ~ T h e ~ f o r m s ~ i n ~} \lambda \eta \tau \text { - are found in }}$ inscriptions of the $4^{\text {th }}$ century.)


 myself that $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega v \in i s$ tov̀s $i \pi \pi o v s$ is Greek; and have little doubt that the preposition was inserted by a scribe who did not see that $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \dot{v}$ was to be taken with the dat. roîs $\pi \omega \lambda$ дv̂cc which governs
 （vulg．xı），$\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{\tau} \tau \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} v \underset{\cup}{\omega} \pi \rho o \sigma \in \lambda \theta \epsilon i v$ ，etc．This is Sheppard＇s view，who， however，puts roîs before rov̀s intovs．It seems unnecessary to move it from its place in V ．

30．кal 8т兀］каi \＄ıóте $L$ ．

> VII (XXI)


（5．aùrü］avivệ is preferred by Edmonds．）
（6．आоเगेनal］omitted by Haupt and Petersen ；altered into $\sigma \pi \in \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ by Cobet，$\zeta_{\eta \tau \eta}{ }^{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ by Eberhard．）

 at the end of c． 11 （vulg．c．v）$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{a} \dot{a} \rho \in \sigma \kappa \epsilon$＇ias．That it is foreign to the character of the äpєбкоs has been allowed by almost all commentators since Casaubon，except Coray and Ussing．（Petersen，in printing it with the chapter $\pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{a} \rho \in \epsilon \sigma \kappa$ ．，is merely performing his editorial duty to the msS，and does not enter upon the further question．）It must suffice here to point out the broad distinction between the äpєбкоs and the $\mu \iota к \rho о ф \iota \lambda o ́ t \tau \mu о$ ，on which depends the unsuitableness of this passage to the former．Both are vain；but the ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma к о s$ desires to be popular for his qualities；the $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \circ \phi і \lambda o \sigma_{\tau} \mu о \varsigma$ ，to be admired for his advantages． Among those who agree in rejecting the passage from the chapter $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\dot{a} \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon i a s ~ t w o ~ v i e w s ~ p r e v a i l . ~ C a s a u b o n, ~ f o l l o w e d ~ b y ~ S c h n e i d e r ~ a n d ~$ others，supposes it to be a fragment of a chapter $\pi \in \rho i \quad$ ßavavoías or $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon$ рокадías，＇Of Vulgarity．＇Ast，Foss and others assign it to this
 Foss introducing it after Ai日io ${ }_{\text {E゙ }}(\sigma \tau \alpha\llcorner$ I agree with Foss，except that I
 in which the Aethiopian slave is mentioned，and with which it is，I think， closely connected in sense．
 Bu̧̧ávtiov èmıorád $\mu a \tau a$（retained in $L$ ）．As Ast perceived，a word has fallen out here，denoting that special thing which was sent to Byzantium， as the dogs to Cyzicus and the honey to Rhodes．He himself guessed $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu a \tau a$ ，＇sweetmeats．＇Another conjecture made by Foss appears so good that I have adopted it in the absence of anything certain．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \mu^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \delta \varsigma$ ， pickled olives，were among the regular Athenian exports，and à $\lambda \mu a ́ \delta a s$ might easily have fallen out if $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \lambda \mu a \tau a$ had been written by mistake

$\tau \iota o \nu \dot{a} \pi \pi \sigma \tau \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{a} \lambda \mu a ́ \delta a s$ ．But $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \lambda \mu a$ is a perfectly good word in the sense of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a \lambda \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu o \nu \tau$ ，i．e．a commission given by the person abroad to his friend at Athens；and is supported by the contrast with
 for others．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \in \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ would mean＇sends as presents＇；and the contrast would then be less clear．Ussing，on his own conjecture，द̧́vous $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ eis Bugáv－ тıov＇̇тьбка́̀ $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha$（a word which does not occur），＇leathers for rowlocks．＇
（I4．Els Kứtкov］$\pi \pi^{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ omitted in both the Paris mss，but found in others，is added by $L$ ．）

18．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \kappa о \lambda \iota \omega ิ \nu<\tau \omega \hat{\omega}>\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \Lambda \alpha \kappa \kappa \delta a i ́ \mu о \nu o s$ is suggested by Edmonds．）
20．mada．бтptaiov］So Foss，Petersen，Ussing（and L），with the best mss．Others $\pi a \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \rho \iota \kappa o ́ v: ~ A s t ~ \pi a \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \rho \iota к \eta ์ \nu . ~$
 $\chi \rho a ̂ \nu v \dot{\alpha} \in \grave{\imath}$ or simply $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i . . \quad \chi \rho \eta ิ \sigma a \iota \iota \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i$, proposed by Petersen，is accepted
 by Foss，followed by $L$ ．к九रpávaı is preferred by Cobet，who in 1.22 proposes $\epsilon \downarrow ย \pi \iota \delta \in i ́ \kappa v v \sigma \theta a u$ ．）



 right．The first tò ${ }^{\text {Ef }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{v}$ was omitted by a copyist who saw that the words were coming after $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ，and did not see that they were


 of all these Characters，which are strings of infinitives，and $\tau v \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s$



（30－32．каl ко入очิิ $\delta \mathfrak{k} — \pi \eta \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a 1]$ inserted before 1． 25 （каì ßov̂v $\theta$ víras）in V．）

34．$\kappa \lambda \lambda^{2} \delta_{o s}$ Meditaios］So Sheppard and Foss（and $L$ ），with the mss．Toup＇s conjecture кàòs Meגıraîos has been adopted by Ast （writing $\delta$ к ка入ós），Petersen and Ussing．If the $\mu$ ккрофıлóтıцоs had in－ scribed upon his dog＇s grave＇The Beautiful Melitean，＇he would have been caricaturing the well－known formula of disconsolate lovers：e．g． Luc．Amor．16，where the beloved object is Aphrodite herself，＇Every wall was scored，every tree with soft bark proclaimed＇Aphrodite the Beautiful．＇This would have been a joke quite foreign to the spirit of the pompous $\mu \iota \kappa \rho о ф \iota \lambda o ́ \tau \tau \mu о$ ．The two instances of калós in epitaphs
quoted from Iamblichus (in Photius pp. 246-7) only show that this use
 $\theta$ ádos, éppos in dedicatory or sepulcral inscriptions.)
(34. סaктù̀เov] Naber, followed by $L$, Wilamowitz, and others, proposes $\delta \alpha_{\kappa} \kappa v \lambda o v$, a model of a finger presented as a votive offering to Aesculapius.

Evidence as to dedicated rings has been collected by Mr F.H. Marshall, in his Catalogue of the Greek and Roman Finger-Rings in the British Museum, pp. xxix, xxxiv. In a letter to Dr Sandys, Mr Marshall sug-
 ...' the bronze ring must have been one of the cheapest votive offerings which it was possible to make, and the whole point of the passage is that extravagant care was bestowed upon so paltry an object ' $;.$. 'the nearest approach to a votive finger in the British Museum is to be found in the votive bronze hands wearing rings, mentioned in the Catalogue of Rings, p. xxiii ${ }^{\prime}$.)


 vov̂vтa à $\lambda \epsilon i \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ( $L$ conjectures $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu o \hat{v} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ). The corruption of the passage probably lies beyond any remedy which can now be applied to it. Ast reads $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a v \omega ิ \nu$ кaì $\dot{a} \lambda \epsilon i \phi \omega v$, referring rov̂тov to Asclepius : but clearly it refers to $\delta a \kappa т u ́ \lambda \iota o s . ~ F o s s ' s ~ \sigma \tau \iota \lambda \pi \nu \omega ̂ \nu ~(' b u r n i s h-~$ ing') for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ is the best attempt at emendation which has been made. $\sigma \tau \iota \lambda \pi v o ́ s$, 'glistering,' is common enough, and the verb has the authority of Epictetus in the rst century. Ussing suggests toûtov è éктрí-
 made by Coray, and by Cobet.)

 by Gomperz and is accepted by Fraenkel and Groeneboom, and by Wilamowitz.)


 true account of the confusion in V. First $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ yá $\rho$ was written for $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ iєpá: then tà í $\rho a ́$ was added in the margin, and thence crept in wrongly before $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho i$ and again before калá. Foss, emending V , reads



 $\kappa а \lambda \grave{a}$ ，the $\Gamma a \lambda \alpha \dot{\xi} \neq a$ being a festival of the＇Mother of the Gods，＇at which frumenty（ $\gamma \mathrm{a} \lambda \alpha \xi_{i}(\alpha)$ was offered to the Goddess，Eph．Arch．1860，no．
 by Cobet and others．）
（42．єin Needham suggested єủ $\eta \mu \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon$ ．$L$ prints $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ ，disapproved by Gom－ perz．）

## VIII（XXVII）

5．ग̀pب̂a］Schneider＇s correction for ${ }^{\eta} \rho \omega a, ~ V$ ．＇Eppaia is conjectured by Meier and adopted by Foss．

7．aipeiv］Vulg．aipeîotau：which Ast renders＇bovem capessere， bovi manum inicere＇：and in this sense the commentators generally seem to acquiesce．But，though in the Iliad（xvi 140）we have ${ }^{\prime}$ \％${ }^{\prime}$ os $\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \tau \sigma$ ，＇he took（his own）spear，＇etc．，it is improbable that in Attic prose aipeî $\sigma a \iota$ could mean＇to seize．＇The word，and perhaps the passage，is corrupt．In the mean time，to make，at least，sense，I write aip $\epsilon i v$ ．（For ai $\rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta \theta a \iota$ V，aĭ $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is proposed by Bergk，Blaydes， Meier and Ad．Wilhelm，and accepted by $L$ and by Edmonds，and Fraenkel and Groeneboom，the phrase ròv $\beta$ ov̂v alँ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ being found in Ephebic inscriptions，e．g．in C．I．A．II 467，そ̈раขто ठѐ каì то̧̂̂

 alone has this clause，gives каì ĕv $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ 入८тaîs $\sigma v v a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тov̀s $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ aưтov̂ avvav́govtas，which is nonsense．Ast conjectures каì èv סєкátaus $\sigma v v a ́-$


 trace of this．If $\lambda$ had been left out by accident，$H$ would speedily have been corrupted to $\Xi$ ．（For $\Delta$ EKAAITAIL Ad．Wilhelm proposes $\Delta E K A \Delta I \Sigma T A I \Sigma, \delta \epsilon \kappa a \delta \iota \sigma \tau a i ́$ being the name of a club，which met on the roth day of the month，cp．Bull．de corr．Hell．xil 303．This is adopted in $L$ ，which has द̂̀v $\delta \epsilon \kappa a \delta \iota \sigma \tau a i ̂ s ~ \sigma v v a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota v ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ av̉rov̂ $\sigma v v a v ́ \underline{\xi} o v \tau a s$, accepted by Fraenkel and Groeneboom．Cobet proposed $\left.\sigma v \nu \alpha \mathcal{\xi}^{\prime} \nu \tau \tau a s.\right)$

14．$\pi$ al $\{\xi \in \nu]$ mss $\pi \epsilon \in \xi \epsilon \nu$ ：Schneider $\pi a i(\xi \epsilon \nu$ ，and so most editors． Ast proposes каì $\mu a \kappa \rho o ̀ v ~ a ̆ v \delta \rho!a ́ v \tau a ~ \pi a i \epsilon \iota v ~(s o ~ P a u w), ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t o ̀ v ~ E ́ a v t o u ̂ ~$

（16．$\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ aivov̂］$\pi a \rho^{\prime}{ }_{a}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o v$ ，with no verb following，is proposed by Unger．）

17．＜Ke入є́ยเレ＞］The insertion of this word，which is not in the
mSs, but which (or something like it) the sense demands, was proposed by Reiske. Schneider introduced it in his ist ed., only to eject it in his 2nd. It is now adopted by Foss, Petersen and Ussing. ( $\pi a \rho a \nu \epsilon i v$, proposed by Hanow, is adopted in L.)
 a lacuna after $\dot{\omega} \sigma$. Foss, followed by Ussing, inserts it on conjecture. Ast proposed ${ }^{\omega} \omega \sigma \iota$ for $\hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \iota$, Schneider $\pi a \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, Foss formerly $\hat{\delta} \delta \omega \sigma \iota$. ( $L$
 double lacuna in V, $\dot{\omega} \sigma \iota \ldots \gamma \ddot{v}$ aıк..., is filled by Diels by proposing :$\dot{\omega} \sigma \iota<\chi$ ороì> $\gamma v v a \iota \kappa<\hat{\omega} v>$.)

## IX (XII)

(I. $2 \pi i r e v \xi^{\prime} s$ ] Ruge and Holland, quoting [Plat.] Def. 413 c ,
 Dobree and Cobet proposed évetugts.)
 Vulg. : aútòv Foss, Petersen, Ussing (and $L$ ). But the usual phrase was $\dot{a} \nu a \delta \in ́ \chi о \mu a i ́ ~ \tau \iota v \iota: ~ P o l y b . ~ v ~ 16, ~ 8 ~ s e e m s ~ t o ~ b e ~ t h e ~ o n l y ~ e x a m p l e ~ o f ~ a ́ v a-~$
 $\delta$ т $\grave{\eta} \nu \delta i \kappa \eta \nu \dot{\omega} \phi \lambda \eta \kappa \omega \dot{s}$ ) is right. Then, however, we must alter the manuscript reading $\omega \phi \lambda \eta \kappa o ́ \tau a$ into $\dot{\omega} \phi \lambda \eta \kappa o ́ \tau$, for $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \nu \nu$ could not in prose be followed by an acc. of the person: it has the dat. in cc. Iv (vulg. xxiv), xvir (xi), and (prob.) vi (xxii). The same correction was proposed by Pauw in his ed. of 1737 . Ast cuts the knot by omitting autóv altogether.
(II. $\pi \rho \delta \theta \nu \mu \mathrm{s}]$ ] $\pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$ Blaydes.)
13. тбкov] Vulg. тó $\mu$ ov: but one of the best mss has тóкov, and, since Ast, this has been universally adopted. To request 'a slice' at a

(r6. брхПбб $\mu \in \mathbf{v o s}]$ 'minded to dance'; Casaubon's correction of ${ }_{\dot{\sigma}} \rho \chi \eta \sigma a \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu$, accidentally retained in the text of the former ed.)
16. Ét $\tau$ pov] Vulg. ĖTaípov: Foss étépov, with several mss, including Paris A, B, and so Petersen and Ussing (and $L$, and others).

## X (XIII)

(1. $\left.\pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon \rho \gamma^{l a}\right]<\eta^{\circ}>\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in \rho \gamma^{i} a$ is proposed by Buecheler and adopted by $L$ and others.)

4. ivoràs] A, B év $\tau \iota v \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s$. Ast (followed by Fraenkel and Groeneboom) supposes this to be a corruption of avactás, which he omits after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, and puts here. He thinks that an annotator
 manuscript there is $\sigma \tau \dot{a}^{\prime} s^{\prime}$ : but this is more ingenious than probable. When anything beside the variant itself was added, it was usually $\gamma \rho$.

 best correction that has been suggested. (eैv $\tau u v \iota \sigma \grave{\alpha}$ s is retained in $L$ and understood as a reference to the form of rhetorical argument called


$$
(5 . \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{o} v] \quad \text { v.l. } \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega(L) \text { and } \pi \lambda \epsilon \circ v .)
$$

6. kail oivs] Ast arbitrarily omits кai.. (Ussing and Cobet also omit тov̀s before $\mu$ ахо $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ '́vovs.)
 Munich Epitome.)
 Hirschig, Blaydes, and $L$.)
 is inserted by Coray.)
 'in lecto iacentem attollit et ita componit (hoc est enim єivpenioal, i. q. $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \tau$ ap. Hippocratem), ut commode bibere possit.' But this is to make the word mean too much. No one could see that a breach of the doctor's order against giving the patient wine was hidden in eutpemíal. Foss's emendation, evं потíवa, is very brilliant, and, I think, almost certain. Ussing hesitates to take it, and suggests ảvappıníau, 'febris ardorem in aegroto excitare'; which few will prefer. ( $L$, and Fraenkel and Groeneboom, retain $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau \rho \epsilon \pi i \sigma a l$.

## XI (XX)



7. тavoúpyıov] V (which alone has this clause) кaì mavovpyı̂̂v rô̂
 Foss's $\pi$ avovipyov ('little rascal') is the least unsatisfactory and improbable. Schneider $\pi \alpha v o v \rho \gamma o ́ t \epsilon \rho o v: ~ P e t e r s e n ~ c l u m s i l y ~ \pi a v o v \rho \gamma \iota \omega \nu ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon o ́-~$

 But surely it is the gen. of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi a s$. ( $\pi a v o v ́ \rho \gamma \eta \mu a$ was proposed by Usener and Cobet. In $L$, $\pi a v o v \rho \gamma i \omega \nu$ is obelised as corrupt; Fraenkel and Groeneboom have $\langle\tau \omega \hat{\nu}\rangle \pi \alpha \nu o v \rho \gamma\langle\omega \hat{\nu}$.)
(8. oiкє $\left.\omega_{\nu \nu}\right]$ оікєт $\hat{\nu}$ Cobet and Unger.)
(8. $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i \pi} \epsilon$ ] In V єïnov corrected into cirrep has led Ribbeck to suggest $\epsilon \pi m$, accepted by $L$ and by Fraenkel and Groeneboom.)

 these Foss reads moia tis $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho a$; and so Petersen (but adding $\bar{\eta} v$ un-
 [Here there follows in V a hopelessly corrupt clause, about which only one thing is clear, that the á $\eta \delta i=$ consists in the coarseness ; and

 dulcem esse rem (sc. тò $\pi a \iota \delta o \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} v) ; ~ n e q u e ~ v e r o ~ f a c i l e ~ h o m i n e m ~ i n v e n i r e ~$

 have all exercised their ingenuity on the sentence. But none of them has got out a more intelligible sense than that which the reading of the

 $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i v)$.
 The passage from here to the end is very corrupt. Ast transfers it to
 doubt that it belongs to the $\alpha^{\eta} \eta \delta \delta^{\prime} s$. The $\alpha^{\dot{\lambda}} \lambda \alpha \mathcal{G}^{\prime} \dot{\omega}$ boasts of great things; the ${ }^{2} \eta \delta \dot{\eta} \dot{\prime}$, boasting of his cool cistern and his kitchen-garden, does not rise to the magnificence of the $a^{2} \lambda a \xi_{\omega} \dot{v}$. This is mentioned merely as one of the particular traits in which is seen his general characteristic -Illbreeding.
(10. aưTஸ̂] avitệ is preferred by Edmonds.)

 Ussing leaves a lacuna, but thinks that we should read $\kappa \hat{\eta} \pi o s ~ \lambda a x . ~ i ́ x . ~ \pi . ~$

 Bloch's edition, they are placed in brackets after даккаîov.)

 but there is no reason for changing $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta^{\prime}$ to $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} v$. ( $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho a^{\prime} \epsilon i$ is accepted by Fraenkel and Groeneboom.)

 (aن̃тoṽ] av̉rov̂ $L$.)

 тép\%ov toùs mapóvtas: omitting all that follows, which is only found in V. Ast understood this: 'He will exhort (the parasite) with the words 'Amuse the company.' But the ö $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ before the imperative is questionable in classical Greek; and tò $\tau \in ́ \rho \psi \circ v$, the reading of the best ms, is no doubt right. (õ $\boldsymbol{\circ} \iota$ is omitted by Casaubon, followed by Fraenkel and Groeneboom.)

## XII (XIX)

 and Groeneboom).
(4-aủ〒 $\hat{4}]$ avitẹ is preferred by Edmonds.)

 aủcô̂ (preferred by Edmonds) : Meier, whom Foss follows, to av̉rá. Petersen, keeping aưvóv, makes the strange mistake of translating it as if it were aưrós. (aủròv is retained in L.)
5. aùroû] V, which alone has this clause, aủròv tò $\gamma^{\prime}$ 'vos. Foss, Petersen and Ussing follow Siebenkees in inserting єis before rò $\gamma$ févos:
 aข์่าขิ.

 tions of $\sigma \phi \dot{v} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ have been proposed, but none is probable: Ast
 Schweighäuser (after Visconti) $\sigma \phi^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, ('vestimento se constringere,')
 conjecture of Coray's). This makes good sense, and may have been
 as corrupt in $L$. Diels conjectures $\sigma v \rho i(\epsilon \sigma \theta a u$.)

(8. ávaßa入o ${ }^{2}$ кvos] The present tense (which is less natural) is found in V.)
 $\pi o v-\tau \hat{\omega}$ oivo ${ }^{\circ}(\underline{\varphi}$, , is transferred by Ast and Foss to the end of c. xvir (xı), $\beta \delta \in \lambda v \rho i ́ a$.

## XIII (XIV)

 ध̈бть каì $\dot{\eta} \dot{\jmath}$ ảv. B. Ast follows Needham in omitting каí on the authority of several mss. (kai is found in A and B; omitted by L.)
7. $\lambda_{a \beta \omega \nu}<\tau \iota>$ ] $\tau$, which is wanting in the mss, was first supplied by Gesner, whom Foss, Ussing and most other recent editors follow. Petersen supplies $\dot{\alpha} p \gamma \hat{p} \rho t o v .-(T h e ~ M u n i c h ~ E p i t o m e ~ h a s ~ \dot{a} \pi o \theta \in i ́ s ~ \tau L) ~$

 transfers the whole clause to the Character of the ${ }^{3} \psi \iota \mu a \theta{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} s, c$ c. vini (XXviI). But it is appropriate to the $\dot{\alpha}$. $\alpha$ aío $\theta \eta \tau o s$, as a mark of stupid inadvertence: see Notes. (The mss are divided between кóтovs ${ }^{\prime} \mu$ $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ (A etc.) and кóтov ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{L}$ (B etc.); the latter is adopted in $L$.)


 described, in Casaubon's language, as a conclamatus locus). I have followed Ast in taking Coray's oै' $\zeta \iota$ for vo $\mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota$, and Schneider's $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$
 $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ were added by a commentator who wished to explain the point of the àvaco $\theta \eta \sigma i a$ : he says 'How sweet is the smell from the stars' (because, of course, other people say, 'from the earth'). But it is more probable that ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \tau \iota$ should be ${ }_{o}^{\circ} \tau \epsilon$. Foss fills out the sentences thus:-

 Theophrastus; nor could $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \tau \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ кaì oi ầ. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota$ mean 'whatever other people may say.'-Every interpretation which has been proposed requires the omission of кai before oi ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}$. (Schneider, in his text of 1818, retains $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi i \sigma \sigma \eta \mathrm{~s}$, but, in his note in vol. iv 839 , proposes $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ $\gamma \hat{\eta}$. This proposal, as Schneider was aware, had already been made in 1805 by the younger Schweighäuser, who was led to it by a passage
 Notae, 1805 , vol. vil 682.)
17. karà ràs iepàs rúdas] All the modern editors, except Ussing, have adopted the emendation of Meursius, 'Hpias for iєpás, 'the Gate of
 and we know that there was a gate at Athens called the Sacred: Plut. Sulla c. I4. (2) 'Hpíaı (or 'Hpıaîal, proposed by Sylburg and approved by Curt Wachsmuth, Fraenkel and Groeneboom, and Wilamowitz) is a strange adjective. The Etym. Magn. has, indeed,-'Hptó, 'A gate at Athens, so called because the dead were carried out at it to the tombs ( ${ }^{\prime} \rho i a$ ).' But this looks like guesswork ; nor is there any mention elsewhere of an Erian Gate. Dr Smith, in his excellent article Athenae in the Dict. of Geogr., places it conjecturally on the north of the city, 'since the burial-place of Athens was in the outer Cerameicus.' But
this was a cemetery for those only who received public burial（Ar．Aves 395），and besides would be approached more conveniently from the n．w．，where stood the Dipylum，and probably the Sacred Gate．Becker states（possibly on the authority of Pollux IX 15）that the space outside the walls between the Peiraic Gate on the s．w．of Athens and the Itonian Gate to the E．of it was a public burial－ground for the poor，for metoeci and for foreigners；and in this space places the Erian Gate， －where Dr Smith，on better grounds，places the Melitean．But Becker， Charicles exc．to sc．Ix，gives no proofs．（Judeich，Topographie von Athen，1905，p． 129 f，places the＇Gate of Tombs，＇or＇Erian Gate，＇ immediately to the South－West of the Dipylum，the position usually assigned to the＇Sacred Gate，＇which Judeich places further South，at a point 240 metres beyond the Peiraic Gate．No mention of the ＇Erian Gate＇has been discovered，either in literature or in inscriptions．）

## XIV（IV）

 oúpar v̇тakov̂ซat autoss］The two Paris MSS and one other omit the words кaì кó廿avtos，and have no point after ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ ．The other mss
 follows Casaubon in altering the first t̀̀v $\theta$ v́pav into $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \chi o ́ \rho \tau о v . ~ I ~ a g r e e ~$ with Foss，Petersen and Ussing in thinking that it can be understood． The confusion in the mss probably arose thus．First the words kai
 phrase，it was assumed that $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \dot{v} \rho \alpha \nu$ belonged to $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ．When кai кó $\downarrow$ avoos were replaced，they were accordingly inserted after，instead of before，т̀̀ $\nu$ Oúpav；and the latter words were repeated by a transcriber who saw that кó $\psi a v \tau o s ~ r e q u i r e d ~ t h e m, ~ b u t ~ d i d ~ n o t ~ s e e ~ t h a t ~ t h e y ~ h a d ~$ merely to be transposed from the preceding clause．（каi кó廿avтоs is omitted by $L$ ．）
（15．кal＜$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \omega \hat{\nu}>$ тòv кúva，к．т．入．］is suggested by Edmonds，the Epit．Mon．having є̇ซ日iovta．）
（ 17 f．$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \omega \nu] \lambda a \beta \omega \nu$ ，the reading of the two Paris MSS，is adopted by $L$ ．）
 $\left.\lambda_{\nu \pi \eta}{ }^{\prime} o^{\prime}\right)$ ．There is some doubt about the $\mu^{\prime}$ ，which，Dübner says， looks in Paris A more like $\mu \epsilon \nu v^{\prime} \nu$ ．（ $\mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime \prime}$ is the reading of A ，and $\breve{\mu}^{\prime \prime}$ that of B ，both meaning nothing but $\mu \epsilon \nu^{\nu}$ ．）Foss and Ussing adopt Casaubon＇s conjecture，and alter it to $\lambda \epsilon \operatorname{\gamma } \omega \nu$ ．I doubt whether this is right；but it is the best remedy that has been proposed．Of course＇$\lambda_{i}$ iav $\mu \hat{e} \nu \lambda \nu \pi \rho o ̀ \nu$ eival＇might be treated as a quotation between inverted commas；but
the omission of $\lambda \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$ would be harsh; and for $\mu \hat{\epsilon} v$ we should then expect yáp. Various emendations of $\lambda \nu \pi \rho o ́ v$-which Ussing vainly defends as meaning 'sorry,' 'poor,'-have been attempted ; e.g. $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o ́ v$,
 the true word is $\lambda \epsilon v \rho o{ }^{v} v . ~ T h e ~ R u s t i c ~ l i k e s ~ n e w, ~ b r i g h t ~ m o n e y ~: ~ h e ~ c o m-~$ plains that the coin offered to him is too old and worn. ( $\lambda_{i ́ a v}^{\mu} \mu \mathrm{ev}$ $\lambda_{v \pi \rho o ̀ v}$ is printed in $L$, while the highest probability is there assigned to

 Diels conjectures $\mu \circ \lambda \nu \beta \rho o ̀ v$.


20. <ámaveiv>] In the mSS the verb after $\uparrow \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ vvктós, which the sense demands, has been lost. Ast supplies aireiv, Foss $\epsilon_{\xi} \xi_{a \tau \tau \in}$, Casaubon àrautêv, and so Ussing. (The Munich epitome has $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{v}$.

 subject to ${ }_{\alpha}^{a} \gamma \epsilon \iota$ should be $\dot{\delta} \dot{\alpha} \pi a v \tau \hat{\omega} v$. I have adopted the emendation $\dot{\delta}{ }_{a}^{a} \rho \chi \omega \nu$, proposed (by Darberis and Bloch, and accepted) by Ussing: see Notes. ( $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ á $\gamma \dot{\omega} v$ is marked as corrupt in $L$. Diels proposes $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i}$

 followed by Fraenkel and Groeneboom.)

24 f . кai $\tau \hat{\uparrow} \mathrm{s}$ av่тท̂s $\delta \delta 0 \mathrm{v}]$ This clause stands in the mSS after $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho o v ̀ \sigma a l$. Foss and Petersen (and $L$ ) follow Schneider in placing it, as seems necessary, after дُдтокєіраб $\theta a \iota$.
(25. $\pi$ apwry omitted by Casaubon and Cobet, followed by Fraenkel and Groeneboom.)
25. tov̂ tapix́ovs] Ast is right, I think, in reading with Sylburg tov̂ тарíxovs (partitive gen.) for тov̀s $\tau \alpha \rho i \chi^{\prime}$ ovs (retained in $L$ ). The form ${ }_{o}$ rápıxos is used by Herodotus; but in Attic (e.g. Ar. Eq. 1246, Ach. 967) тò $\tau$ ápı $\chi$ os, already used in this chapter, was far more common.

XV (IX)
 $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega^{\prime} \nu$ in the preceding clause, and inserts kaí before $\theta \mathbf{v} \sigma a s$. But $\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu_{\hat{\epsilon} v}$ in the first clause appears to confirm $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i r a}$ in the second. (In $L$ a lacuna is marked between these words.)
(6. áprov каl крєаs dupas] So A a äpas кр́́as каì äpтov $L$, quoting the variant äpas крє́as тє каĭă äлтоv.)
7. тцнш́татє] A etc.: тíцє B etc. The conjecture of Salmasius, Tíßıє, a common slave's name, has been adopted by Foss, Petersen and Ussing. But $\tau \iota \mu \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \epsilon$, besides having authority, has more point. By it, as Ast says, 'impudentia hominis mirifice augetur.' (The evidence in favour of T/Rıє is strengthened by the fact that it is the reading of the Munich epitome which also has in the margin the scholium:-Tißue:
 Timon 21, De mercede conductis 25; Galen x 4; Strabo, p. 304; and Schol. Aristoph. Ach. 243, quoted by Diels, Theophrastea, 19. T( $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{r}}$ has been accepted in all the recent editions. Ti $\boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon$ is the form supported by Menander.)
 as Ast says, this was probably an attempt to explain ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \nu$. The
 could not be used for $\pi \lambda \alpha, \sigma \tau \tau \gamma \xi$.
(13. $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath v}] ~ \sigma v v \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{i v}$ Cobet.)
(13. rov̀s vieîs] tov̀s vov̀s is proposed by Edmonds. This would explain the reading of B , in which the similarity between tov̀s and vov̀s may have led to the omission of the latter. To explain the corruption, Diels points out that the readings of the Paris mss, roùs cis B, roùs wis єis A , $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ viatєpaiav, show that either cis was dropped after u $\mathrm{u} \epsilon \mathrm{s}$, or the termination eis before eis. The remaining letter u was then left out (as unintelligible) by B, while it was interpreted as $\sim$, i.e. $\omega \varsigma$, by A.)
( 15 . Tilv $\dot{\mathrm{a}} \lambda \lambda$ orplav oiklav] $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ is omitted by Cobet, who inserts rov̀s before $\chi \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \nu \tau a s$ in 1. 17.)
(16. áxvpov] äxvpa AB (Diels).)



 he has bathed and is going away: Summon me-I owe you no thanks': i.e. 'if you want to get your fee, you must bring an action, for I do not consider that I owe you anything, having acted as my own bath-servant.'
 would not change ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota$ to ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \tau \epsilon$ : and the advice to bring an action seems a rather cumbrous joke. Ast adopts кád $\epsilon$, but retains ö öc. Foss alters $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$ to $\epsilon i \pi \kappa \dot{\omega} v$, and for $\kappa a ̉ \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$ boldly substitutes $\kappa \rho a \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$. My remedy is simple. By merely changing $\kappa \dot{a} \kappa \kappa i{ }^{i}$ to $\kappa \tilde{d} \tau \pi a$, and placing it before $\dot{\boldsymbol{a} \pi \iota} \iota \boldsymbol{\omega}$, perfectly good sense is obtained from the manuscript text. Ussing alters каُкє̂̂ to $\delta \grave{\varrho}$ каи́. (какєі̀ is marked as corrupt in $L$. Edmonds, who



 Karian,' a term of abuse.)

## XVI (VI)

 in the best mss, has been restored by most modern editors (though not by $L$ ), and is undoubtedly right. Foss (followed by Petersen) reads
 coniectura': what it means, he does not explain, and I do not understand. But there can be little doubt that he and Ussing are right in taking $\lambda_{o o \delta o \rho \eta \theta \hat{\eta} v a l}$ as a deponent aorist, having an active sense. Demosthenes so uses it in two places: ( x ) in Meid. p. 558 § 132 oia


 aữoưs. (Herwerden proposes éк凶̀̀ какшิs áкоиิซat, accepted by Fraenkel and Groeneboom.)

 keeps éx $\chi \omega \nu$. Casaubon had conjecturally inserted ovंк, and was followed by Ast. (ovง ${ }^{\mu} \chi \chi \omega \nu$ is accepted by Fraenkel and Groeneboom. The negative is not adopted by $L$. Edmonds suggests áyopaiós tıs каi




(ir. тd $\delta \in \sigma \mu \omega \tau$ tipıov] The Munich Epitome has кє́pauov. In the
 and Hesychius, кє́ $\rho \mu о \varsigma^{*} \ldots \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \iota o v$, suggests that the original text
 by the glossarial note, $\delta \in \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta \eta_{\rho} \iota o v$. The Et. Magn. says that кє́pauos was the Cyprian name for 'prison.')
 Ussing and $L$ follow, but (with Needham) omit $\delta$, which is also omitted in the variant кaì rov̂r'.
 place themselves (stand) around'; but here, 'they place around themselves': 'hominum turbam circum se colligunt' Ussing. Compare тарíттаг $\theta a t$, 'to draw over to one's own side.'
(16. ákov̂бaı] סıaкov̂ซaı Unger.)

corrected the part. to the infin., and inserted каí before ov̉к: so Foss. ( $L$ omits кai.) Ussing retains the vulgate; but the infin. appears absolutely necessary. Observe that in negative clauses depending on oios, $\delta \in t \nu o{ }^{\prime} s$ we have in the Characters usually $\mu \eta^{\prime}$, but sometimes ov : e.g. c. III



 absurd to say that he does not disdain to be captain even of many áरopaîo at once, as if a more modest person would have been $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ ós of one at a time. One German editor proposed to eject it altogether. Ast was for changing $\tilde{a}^{\approx} \mu a \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ to $\pi \alpha \mu \pi o \partial \lambda \lambda \omega v$. But the context itself supplies, I think, the true remedy. The áropaioo of whom this man is prince or patron are, it appears, the keepers of the small provision-shops in the market-place, of which he makes the round for the purpose of levying the interest on his loans. In OX $\triangle A M A \Pi O \Lambda \Lambda \Omega N$ is concealed, I am persuaded, nothing but OY $\triangle E K A \Pi H A \Omega N$, the corruption of the first $\epsilon$ into $u$ having been followed by that of $\kappa$ into $\mu$. The idea of a host involved in $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ would lend countenance to the false $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$. (Some msS have ovi $\delta^{\circ}{ }^{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{a} \mu \mu a \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, a combination of two texts. Diels acutely conjectures ovi $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a \nu \tau o \pi \omega \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$.)

 the sentiment is general ; with tó, the subject to $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \boldsymbol{i}$ is oi $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \nu \in \nu o \eta \mu$ évou understood, and the sentence is what it was meant to be-a commentary on the chapter.

## XVII (XI)

(3. <ád $X \eta \mu$ ovêv> is simply a substitution for the grosser phrase in the original.)
6. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\mu} \rho \tau a]$ The reading of the two Paris mss, adopted by Foss, Petersen and Ussing. The rest (except one which has $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu$ úpa) give $\tau \grave{a}$ $\mu \hat{\eta} \lambda a$, which Ast prefers on the ground that $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho o ́ \delta \rho v a$ is a generic term, including both shell-fruits and soft fruits; and that $\kappa \alpha ́ \rho v a-\mu \hat{\eta} \lambda a$ represent these two species. But the disjunctive $\hat{\eta}$ is against this view. (The
 glossarial note on kápva. Theophrastus himself, De Odor. 5, uses áкрó̊ $\rho v a$ of 'nuts,' as contrasted with 'apples' and 'pears,' while Athenaeus, 52 A , observes that кápva was used for ${ }^{\alpha} \kappa \rho o ́ \delta \rho v a$ by Attic and other writers.)

the inferior MSS, but there seems to be little doubt of their genuineness.
 $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \tau \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu \underset{\varphi}{ }$ has been accepted by Ast, Foss, Petersen and Ussing.
 $\mu^{\prime}$ 'voss, and regarding the present $\dot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota}$ as used in a perfect sense.)
 this clause to c. I (II), inserting it after the words ס८akovîoac סvvaròs $\dot{a} \pi v \in v \sigma \tau i$. The mss (including A and B) have éavtóv: Casaubon's éavtệ has been adopted by most editors; Furlan's av̉zós by Ast. (av̉vòs éavtẹ̃ is proposed by Herwerden and Cobet.)

14 f. $\mu \in \hat{u} \sigma \kappa \kappa \sigma \theta a, ~ \mu e \lambda \lambda \epsilon 1]$ A long passage, now assigned by universal consent to c. xxvi (xxx), кai oì $\nu 0 \pi \omega \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}-\pi a \hat{i} \delta \epsilon s ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \beta \omega \iota$, used to follow here.
 in the mss in c. xII (xix), following the words eis ajoopàv $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \lambda \theta \in \hat{i} v(1.9)$. Ast, followed by Foss and most recent editors, has transferred it hither. Petersen (Introd. p. 46) thinks that it belongs to c. xI (xx). My own impression is that part of it, viz. as far as the words $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{l}^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} v \tau$ $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \eta \kappa \omega$ 's, belongs, as Ast thinks, to this chapter : the remainder, каi
 it in this manner. I have therefore dealt with the entire passage in the way approved by Ast and Foss.
 lent emendation (adopted by Petersen) of the mss, ws tepáotióv $\tau$ (retained in $L$ ), which is usually explained 'something portentous': the $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda v \rho o ́ s=l a u g h i n g$ as if he had done 'something of evil omen.' But it is more natural that he should laugh 'as if he had done something clever.' Ast's remark ' wis non est quasi, sed quia, quod,' will not bear close inspection. ws, in places such as this, expresses the view-correct or false-taken by the doer of the action.
19. $\tau \ell$ ov raxì mav́vauro] This, the reading of $V$, is now generally adopted; as by Foss, Petersen and Ussing. The $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda v \rho o ́ s ~ a s k s ~ \tau i ́ ~ o u ̉ ~$
 The sense is the same, but in a more lively form, as that given by the other mss, $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \alpha \chi^{\grave{v}} \pi a v \sigma a \mu$ év $\eta$. Coray and Ast altered this to $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \chi \grave{v}$ тavoapév $\eta$, supposing that the $\beta \delta \in \lambda u p o ́ s$ reproves the player for ceasing to play before he has ceased to sing. (Eberhard and Ribbeck's
 proposed by Unger.)

## XVIII (III)

10. $\pi \lambda \delta \dot{f} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ] So Foss, Petersen and Ussing, after Dübner, who found this form in all the mss which he collated, including three of the best : vulg. $\pi \lambda$ cíl $\mu$ ov (found in some mss, and retained by Wilamowitz and $L$, with a reference to Lobeck, ad Phryn. 6I5).
 Paris MSS, followed by Needham, Dübner and $L$. Fischer suggested that it was probably introduced to balance $\beta \in \lambda \pi i \omega$. He is followed by Ast in rejecting it. (In ed. I the editor was under the impression that $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v$ was omitted by the Paris mss. The reverse is the case.)
 attested by inscriptions and adopted in $L$. $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ 'Aтarov́pıa is proposed by Naber ; the Paris mss A and B have 'A Aatov́pla.)
 after $\sigma \eta_{\mu} \epsilon \rho \frac{v}{}$. Schneider was the first editor who transferred it to the place which it now occupies in nearly all editions. Ussing leaves it in its old position, and considers that the spurious addition begins at кaì ws Воүбро $\mu \omega \hat{\nu} о \varsigma, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.
 ought to be inserted here has been adopted by Foss. It seems unnecessary.

## XIX (VII)

4. (aîrov̂] avirov̂ is suggested by Edmonds.)
 vulgate, is retained by Ussing and Petersen (the latter, however, giving $\left.{ }_{\epsilon}^{2} \pi \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu\right)$; and seems decidedly preferable to that proposed by Casaubon, which several modern editors (including $L$ ) have adopted,


 and do not represent two distinct remarks. The two Paris mss, A and
 Needham restored $\dot{v} \pi \circ \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \nu$, which is now generally accepted.
 endeavours to defend in the sense, 'when he has despoiled' (as the victor strips and despoils a slain foe)-a figure for 'vanquished'; but this will

 that this is probably right, and that the use of an epic word was meant to heighten the humour. The inferior mss have $\dot{a} \pi{ }^{\prime} \%$ vaion, which Foss
reads; but it has the air of a gloss by some one who despaired of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma v \mu \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta$. (The latter is defended by Petersen, p. 171, and retained by $L$.)
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon i v$. The alteration of $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ to $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ has been generally adopted; but the modes of dealing with the кai have been various. The obvious expedient of putting it before tooav̂тa and keeping $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ weakens the passage intolerably. Before $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda a \lambda(\hat{\omega} \nu$ it could only mean 'actually,' and such emphasis is not wanted ; while the omission of the article before $\delta_{\iota} \delta \sigma \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda o s s ~ m a k e s ~ i t ~ u n l i k e l y ~ t h a t ~ к а i ́ ~$
 Foss, тoгav̀та каì <тoıav̂ta>. I agree with Needham that it is to be omitted altogether. When $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \lambda a \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ became $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i v, \kappa \alpha i ́$ was inserted by some one who thought that tooav̂ra belonged to $\pi \rho o \mu a v \theta \dot{\nu} v \epsilon \nu$.

 has been attempted to explain $\tau \grave{\alpha} s{ }^{\text {és }} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ ías as 'the days appointed for the meetings of the Ecclesia,' or 'the transactions in the Ecclesia'; but neither sense is tolerable. Ussing (followed by $L$ ) thinks that some
 I have adopted Petersen's conjecture of $\tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ for $\tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} s$. Foss writes, on his own conj., $\pi v \theta o \mu$ évous đàs ếкк $\lambda \eta \sigma i a s . ~(F r a e n k e l ~ a n d ~ G r o e n e b o o m ~$

 now the general opinion that rov̂ pंभुтopos was added by some one who confused the archon of $33^{\circ}$ в.c. with one or other of his two more distinguished namesakes, Aristophon of Azenia and Aristophon of Collytus: see Notes. Casaubon proposed $\tau \hat{\omega v} \dot{\rho} \eta \tau o ́ \rho \omega \nu$ (i.e. Demosthenes
 is retained in $L$, as a reference to Demosthenes; but roîs pinjopaı is suggested.)
 and so Ussing (in his notes : though by a misprint his text has $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$ ), and Peterson (and $L$ ). But, as Ussing himself says, vitó is suspicious; 'quoniam non significatur (proelium) a Lysandro factum, $\Lambda v \sigma a ́ v \delta \rho \varphi$ scribendum videtur.' I doubt whether a Greek would have said vimò $\Lambda v \sigma a ́ v \delta \rho \omega$ when he meant 'under (the leadership of) Lysander.' He would rather have said, $\sigma \tau \rho a r \eta \gamma o v ̂ v \tau o s ~ \Lambda v \sigma a ́ v \delta \rho o v . ~ T h e ~ r e a d i n g ~ \dot{~} \pi \pi i$ in some of the inferior mss is probably the true one. Ast and Hottinger questioned the genuineness of this clause; and, if I felt sure that they were right in referring $\mu a ́ x \eta$ to the oratorical duel between Demosthenes
and Aeschines in $33^{\circ}$ b.c., I should be inclined to agree with them. See Notes. (Naber supposes that the text refers to some recent incident, and that the name of Kasandros is concealed under that of Lysandros, and the Macedonians under the Lacedaemonians.)
 Blaydes.)
 spoil the sense.
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} v$. Sylburg's emendation of $\tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ to $\pi \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi a$, adopted by Hartung and Ussing, seems nearly certain. Petersen prefers the Homeric ä ära (proposed by Casaubon). Needham suggested $\tau \epsilon ́ \tau \tau \alpha$ and Ribbeck $\tau a \tau \bar{\alpha}$.
 ing 'to sing a lullaby.' Ussing defends the imper. for infin. in prose by Plat. Crat. 426 в: Rep. v 473 A. But it is an essentially poetical construction, and would be out of place in this short, plain sentence. I have therefore adopted the easy correction $\lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon$, which has often been proposed before.

## XX (VIII)

(2. îv ßoúdecal] Cichorius, in $L$, suggests a lacuna between these words. $\mathscr{\omega}^{\stackrel{\nu}{v}}<\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \epsilon \sigma \theta a t>\beta o v i \lambda \epsilon \tau a t$ is proposed by Diels.)
 Ast renders the vulgate 'voltu demisso-blando et ita comparato ut alterum captet.' If the text is right, this is probably the general sense; but $\dot{\eta} \theta o s$, though it sometimes denotes nearly what we mean by a man's 'air' or 'mien,' has nowhere the definite sense of 'countenance.' I understand-'giving a demure, subdued air to his whole bearing.'




 puts the words $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v$ кaıvóv in brackets. To me it seems more likely that $\pi \omega \hat{\omega}$ was inserted to mark a question after the second $\tau^{i}$ had been corrupted to каi. Before $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta a \lambda \omega \omega^{\prime}$ four mss have $\omega \varsigma$, which is without meaning; for $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \pi \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \omega^{\prime}$ is simply 'following up,' 'repeating' his question. Foss rewrites the whole passage conjecturally; among other

 editors and in the first ed. of this work. But Пoдvл $\epsilon_{\rho} \chi \omega \nu$, found in B, and in the Munich epitome, is the correct form, as attested by con-
temporary inscriptions, e.g. C. I. A. 11 723. It has accordingly been adopted in $L$, which also (here and in l. 23) prefers the form Kávavopos.)


 translated 'he will say,' in which case $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ is used in an exclamatory sense 'Why!'; but it seems better to make $\phi \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ emphatic, as in
 rings with it.' It is also so understood by Romizi (1899): risponderà di sì, perche etc.)
(18. aivê] éautê is suggested by Edmonds.)
(22. otb $]$ ei $i \in \epsilon$ Nauck.)

 and Groeneboom follow the inferior mSS in printing : $\tau a \hat{v} \theta^{\circ} a_{\mu}{ }_{\mu} \delta_{\iota \epsilon \xi \in \epsilon} \omega^{\prime} v$,
 ồ $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \iota \theta a \nu \hat{\omega} s \sigma_{\chi} \epsilon \tau \lambda \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega v$, haec dum aliquo modo enarrat, apte se ad persuadendum lamentari opinatur cum dicit, etc.)
 $\gamma \epsilon$, which seems almost necessary, and which might easily have been
 by Edmonds, is almost invariably followed by $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\text {. }}$. Foss fills up the
 have preferred simply $a^{2} \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu$ or some equivalent word; but I rather suspect that the lacuna was intentionally left by the author. These broken utterances, dying away in an unfinished sentence, constitute the very art of the 入oyoтoós. (Holland and Ilberg ${ }^{1}$ suggest ä $\lambda \lambda<\omega \mathrm{s}>$ ov̉v $^{\circ}$

 there is a variant aủròv $\sigma \underset{\epsilon}{c}$. Wilamowitz, in his Leseluch, prints $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$


 gave in his first edition ov̉к $\mathfrak{\epsilon} v \delta \iota \imath \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \in \dot{v} o v \sigma \iota v$, and has been followed by Dübner, Hartung and Ussing. It seems better, with Ast, to change the first $o v$, which is awkward, into $\dot{e} v$. On the strength of noía orod́,


 oṽ ov̉ $\delta<\eta \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$; and $\pi o i ́ \varphi \rho \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota$ is in all the mss. (For variants in this paragraph, see $L$, p. 64.)

## XXI (XXVIII)


 $\psi v x \hat{\eta} s$ is preferred by Edmonds.)
3. tirciv] ( V has oủkov̂ $\delta \epsilon$, with an indication that the word is corrupt. Immisch accordingly proposes oiкоvoнєiv, which he prints in $L$, and renders:-verfährt er nach einem förmlichen Schema. Diels, more satisfactorily, conjectures ov̉кoûv, фض̂бaц.)
6. $<\sum_{\omega \sigma[\delta \eta \mu 0 s>]}$ This name is wanting in the MSS, and was first supplied by Meier's clever conjecture, which Foss and Ussing (and subsequent editors) have adopted. Ast, followed by Sheppard, spoils


 made to explain the corrupt word. The right clue is, I suspect, to be found in the fact that Kopıvөia кóp $\eta$ was a synonym for éraipa (Plat. Rep. III p. 404 D) : cf. ко $\rho \iota \nu \theta$ ıá $\xi \sigma \theta \theta a \iota$. The copyist first wrote $\mathrm{K} \rho \iota \nu \theta$ by mistake, leaving out the o, then кою in the margin ; which came to be written in the text after $K \rho \iota v \theta, \theta$ being then changed to o. Kopıv - thus became крьvoкор-. What the rest of the word was, I do not pretend to say; but I believe that Kopıvtaкผิs (from the adj. used by Xenophon etc.) represents the sense. (крıvoко́рака is retained in $L$, where see p. 244 f.)
10. kai ikavòs 86] Foss's correction, adopted by Ussing, of the corrupt каì как $\omega \hat{\nu}$ д́́. (Immisch, in his contribution to $L$, prints кaì <a’>ка́кшv $\delta \grave{\text { c, }}$ 'und zu jemand Arglosem sagt er.')

 Ussing in writing tov́тovs for tov́rous, and so connecting кai qov́тovs $\delta_{\epsilon \epsilon \xi \iota \omega u v}$ with what precedes; Schneider and Foss, in writing $\pi \lambda a v a ̨ a ̂$ for $\pi \lambda a v \hat{a} s$. The change of a single letter will now give good sense; for $\sigma \dot{v}$ read ov. The како入óyos is always eager to agree with those who are depreciating the absent. (Immisch, in $L$, has: $\mathfrak{v} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho \mathscr{\omega}^{v} v \sigma u ̀ ~ \pi \lambda a v a ̨ ̂ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$

14. kives] The word is wanting in the mss, but is printed conjecturally in most editions since that of Ast. (ai kúves L.)
 Foss (and others), for $\sigma v v^{\prime} \epsilon_{\chi}{ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{z} \boldsymbol{a} a($ (retained in $L$ ).
15. àv $\delta \rho o \lambda \hat{\lambda} \lambda o l]$ Foss conj. à $v \delta \rho o \lambda a ́ \beta o \iota$ (marked as corrupt in $L$; $\dot{a} \nu$ $\delta \rho o \phi a ́ \gamma o c ~ i s ~ s u b s t i t u t e d ~ b y ~ A s t, ~ a ̉ v \delta \rho o ß o ́ \rho o c ~ b y ~ F r a e n k e l ~ a n d ~ G r o e n e b o o m) . ~ . ~$


Schneider proposes＜катà＞，and Kayser＜$\pi a \rho \grave{\alpha}>$ ，accepted by Diels． Petersen and Ussing，followed by $L$ ，retain the reading of the mS，avirai

 （Löwe and Diels）；єïras $L$ ．
 （V，followed by Cobet）and \％${ }^{\circ} \mu o t o v$.



 aủrệ $\gamma \epsilon \nu v a ̣$. ．His restoration of ${ }_{\xi} \xi$ is certain ；but he ought to have seen that the very fact of its having dropped out is the strongest argument
 （ $\delta$ éка тá入avтa is proposed by Hanow．Immisch，in L，reads $\pi \rho о і ̂ к а, ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \xi$
 by Edmonds．$\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \hat{a}$ is bracketed by Diels．）
 Пoгєєठє $\omega \nu 0$ ，making it a general reference to the winter month of December－January，rather than a special reference to a particular day in that month．）

 originally had $\lambda o i \delta o \rho \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a c)$ ．Other mss have $\lambda_{0} \delta \delta \rho \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta a l$ ．If we read this（as Ussing and Petersen do），tov̀s oixcious ought probably to be altered to roîs oikeioos，since the Middle $\lambda_{o \iota \delta o \rho \epsilon i ̈ \sigma \theta a ~ a l m o s t ~ i n v a r i a b l y ~}^{\text {a }}$

（26．какגे єineiv］delet Hanow，quo glossemate inlato，avitov̂ inter－ cidisse putat Diels．（25）$\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{i} \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$＜avizov̂＞$\phi i ̂ \lambda \omega \nu$ coniecerat Herwer－ den（ $L$ ）．）
 comma at $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，and not at $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，for two reasons：（ 1 ）an accus． in apposition with $\pi$ a $\rho \rho \eta \sigma i a v, \delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a v, ~ e ̇ \lambda \in v \theta \in \rho i a v$ is required；this is
 but как⿺̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ́$ tıvos．（ $L$ has the same punctuation．Herwerden and Edmonds propose＜$\tau \grave{o}>\kappa \kappa \kappa \omega \mathrm{s} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau$ ．）

28．$\pi 0 เ \omega ิ$ ］So V and Foss（and $L$ ）．Petersen and Ussing，$\pi o l \epsilon i v$.
 סıסarка入ias Ussing suggests $\delta$ iaßo入ías（a merely poetical form）；Coray каколоүias ；but this is utterly improbable．Hottinger＇s $\delta v \sigma к о \lambda i a s ~ s e e m s ~$ most likely．The copyist began $\delta_{1}$－，then，seeing his mistake，started
afresh : but, as he did not erase the former, $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda_{i}$ as arose out of [ $\delta \iota] \delta v \sigma к о \lambda i a s$. If the whole comment is spurious, the want of necessary
 word did not stand here. (For $\delta i \delta a \sigma к а \lambda i ́ a s ~ D i e l s ~ p r o p o s e s ~ i \delta i ́ a s ~ к а к i a s . ~$ The comment is transferred by Hanow to the end of c. viII (xxvir), ò $\psi \iota \mu \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{s}$.)

XXII (XVII)

 But $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \kappa \omega \bar{s}$ is, as Ast points out, merely a corruption of $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \kappa o ́ v \tau \omega s$, and this of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa o \nu \tau \omega \hat{\omega}$. (It is stated by Diels that V really has rapà
 and that over $\kappa \tau$ the correction $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \kappa o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. Following the latter clue, Diels independently proposes $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \circ \nu \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \delta \epsilon \delta o \mu \in \in \nu \omega \nu$.)
 and Wilamowitz.)
5. ov่ $\delta$ เón oủx vel] oủx before $\tilde{v}_{\boldsymbol{v} \epsilon t}$ is wanting in the mSS (and is omitted by $L$ and Wilamowitz). It was first inserted by Needham, who is followed by Ast, Sheppard and Ussing.
 Foss takes from óctıs. ( ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota$ is also preferred in $L$.)
 which Coray thought might have arisen from $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \pi \eta$. (à $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \eta$ Naber, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \eta$ F. W. Schmidt, àmó̀ $\omega \lambda \epsilon \nu$ Cobet, $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \theta a \nu \epsilon \nu$ Blaydes.)
 Sheppard, Ussing.-Foss keeps the mss ö öt, which Meier defended ; but admits that ${ }_{o} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \epsilon$ is a good emendation. ( ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota$ is also retained in $L$.)

## XXIII (XVIII)

(I. $\dot{\mathbf{a} \pi เ \sigma \tau i a] ~}\langle\dot{\eta}>\dot{a} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i a \quad$ Buecheler, followed by $L$ and others.)
 mss have $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega v$, which Ussing keeps, omitting the каí before кат̀̀ orádoov. ( $L$ does the same.) It seems better, with Coray, Foss and Petersen, to read $\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon \tau$.
 and Ussing (and $L$ ). Sylburg and Foss кидıкои́хov. (Sylburg, approved by Naber, also proposed клєiסov́xıov. Blümner suggests $\delta a \kappa т v \lambda \iota o v ́ \chi เ o v)$.
 the clause кaì rov̀s öpovs $\delta \in \epsilon$... $\delta \iota a \mu$ évovatv oi aủroí which usu. stands in c. xxiv (x). As Meier shows, it need not be moved.
12. Sívovtal] I do not see how the Sivalvio of the vulgate, which
the editors pass by in silence，can stand．In those cases where ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega \boldsymbol{s}$ with opt．has reference to present time，the peculiarity is explained by



 324．Here no such explanation is possible．
（13．ek $\delta 0$ v̂val］$\pi \lambda \hat{\text { êvac }}$ is added by Hirschig and Meineke（ $L$ ）．（Cp． xxv 14； $\mathrm{XXVI} \mathrm{17)}. \mathrm{ồs} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{ws} \mathrm{is} \mathrm{due} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{Salmasius)}$.
（14．$\gamma v a \phi \epsilon \omega s$ ］The manuscript reading is кขaф́ $\epsilon \mathrm{\omega s}$ in the present $\gamma^{\nu a}$
passage，and $\gamma v a \phi \epsilon i ̂ s ~ i n ~ x x i v ~ 26 ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ v a r i a n t s ~ к \nu a \phi e i s ~ a n d ~ к \nu a \phi e i ̂ s . ~$ кvaфє̀̀s was the old Attic form，$\gamma$ vaфє̀̀s the new ；Schol．on Ar．Plutus， 166．In inscriptions，кva申ès（cent．vi）and Kvíwv（cent．v）are suc－ ceeded by $\gamma^{2} a \phi \epsilon i \hat{o}$（cent．iv）and 「vi申wv（cent．rv，iil）．In the former ed．，as in $L$ ，кvaфécs was printed here，and $\gamma v a \phi \epsilon i s$ in xxiv ；in the present ed．the form used in the age of Theophrastus is adopted in both passages．）

16．$\mu$ bvov ov่ $\pi$ uppúasc $]$ This is the reading of $V$（which alone gives the clause from $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu^{\delta}{ }^{\circ} a^{a} \rho a$ to $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ，for $\left.\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota\right)$ ；and I see no good reason for disturbing it．$\pi v \rho o v ิ \sigma \theta a \iota$ is used of gold standing the test of fire， Arist．Hist．An．III 5．If it was said that the än $\sigma \sigma \tau o s$ actually sub－ mitted his cups to this test，there would be reason to suspect the text． But it says $\mu$ óvov ov $\pi v \rho \operatorname{córas.~}_{\text {．It }}$ It is no more meant that he actually puts them through the fire than that he actually weighs them，or takes security．He only looks as if he would like to do all three things．It is merely a humorous hyperbole to express his extreme reluctance to grant the loan．－Elaborate attempts have been made to emend $\pi v \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s$. Orelli and Foss independently conjectured，for $\mu$ óvov ov̉ $\pi v \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} a$, ，övo ${ }^{\text {º }}$ évrvá்oas，＇having graven his name on the cups．＇Foss and Petersen
 the kind．Coray suggested $\pi \sigma \sigma \omega \dot{\sigma} \alpha$ ．If a conjecture was to be made， a better one would，I think，have been $\mu$ óvov ov̉X ópкќтas：but no con－ jecture is needed．

19．aữoे］So Needham，Ast，Sheppard，Foss，Ussing．（av̉rஸ̂ V ： aviv巛̂ Petersen．）

20 f．mórov；katdeov］mss，$\pi$ órov кãá $\theta$ ov．This probably corrupt passage is perhaps the most difficult in the Characters．The words $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\iota} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu u \tau \epsilon$ ย́ou－$\sigma v \nu a \kappa o \lambda o v \theta \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ ，found in the best ms，cannot on any sound principle of criticism be rejected as spurious；and it is clear that they represent the answer of the ${ }^{\prime} \pi \iota \sigma \tau o s$ to the buyer．кará $\theta o v$, then，is
said by the buyer；but in what sense？I follow Schneider，Foss and Petersen in rendering it refer in tabulas．Cf．pseudo－Demosth．p．I401 ：
 Schneider＇s view of the passage generally also seems to me，on the whole，the best．Three others should be noticed：－（1）Ast，following

 Reckon up the amount（and enter it in your books）．Seller．Pay down ；I have not time to send（to your house）for the money．＇（2） Foss and Petersen differ from Schneider in reading $\pi$ órov（act．imper．of побо̂̀v）кai кarátov，＇Reckon up the amount and put it down，＇instead of đóoov；karátov．（3）Ussing understands the passage，not of buying， but of borrowing．For $\pi$ órov кađátov he would read something like
 the äntoros says＇How much longer may I keep it？for I have not time to send it just yet．＇（ $L$ has nóvov，кazá $\theta$ ov．Madvig proposes：$\pi 0 \hat{v}$
 $\left.a ̂ ̀ v \sigma v ̀ ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s, ~ \sigma v v a к о \lambda o v \theta \eta \eta^{\sigma} \omega.\right)$

21．＜eineiv＞］inserted conjecturally by Casaubon，Foss，Petersen and Ussing．Schneider inserted $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \nu$ ．
 adopted by Foss and Petersen（but not by L）．The sense seems to require it，as Ast saw ；but he rejected the whole sentence．

## XXIV（X）


 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \bar{i} \tau \grave{\eta} v$ oikiav，is proposed by Unger and accepted by Fraenkel and Groeneboom．）


（4．тàs кú入ıкas］$\tau \epsilon$ коí入ıкаs（ B ）：$\tau \epsilon$ кú入ıкаs（ A and $\mathcal{L}$ ）．）
6．тávta фárкєเv єival äyav］So Ast，Foss and Ussing．The best mss have фá $\kappa \kappa \omega v$ ，and omit ä $\gamma^{a v}$ ．From Ast＇s note，however，and from the fact that Foss prints it without comment，I infer that there is other authority for it．（No authority is given in L．）Ussing prints it in smaller type，as a conjectural supplement．（ $L$ prints $\pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \phi a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$


 of which Petersen gets $\pi a \lambda a a^{\prime} v$ ．It seems more likely that it has merely
come in by mistake from the last clause．（Edmonds suggests $\chi$ रúr $\rho a v$ év $\eta \nu$ ，＇last year＇s pot or dish．＇）

8．ékßa入ov́r $\eta \mathrm{s}]$ So，with the best mss，Foss，Petersen and Ussing （and L）．Cf．c．xvir（xi）$\epsilon \kappa \beta a \lambda \epsilon i v$ тò тoт $\eta$ роov．There is in Greek no word which precisely renders our＇to drop＇（accidentally）．This must， in strictness，be expressed by a periphrasis with $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \nu \nu$ ．But $\epsilon \in \kappa \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ seems to have been conventionally used nearly in that sense：see Il． xiv 419 etc．Ast，with some inferior mss，reads $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \beta a \lambda o v ́ \sigma \eta s$（proposed by Naber），which would have a more general meaning，＇having lost＇：

（ $33 . \phi$ о抄 $]$ regarded as corrupt by Cobet，on the ground that ＇there were no date－palms in Greece＇；but Greek dates are expressly mentioned by Xenophon，Anab．II iii 15，though they were inferior to the foreign varieties on which Theophrastus enlarges in Hist．Plant．II vi 6－1 r，and failed to become ripe；cp．Xen．Cyrop．vi 2，22，Plut．Mor． 723 C D．Diels，Theophrastea，ro，suspects an Asiatic interpolator．）
 in some mss，is omitted by $L$ ．
 and Schneider read $\chi \rho \hat{a} \nu$ ：Casaubon conj．$\chi \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \tau v i$ ：others $\chi \rho \hat{a} v ~ o v ่ \delta \epsilon \nu i$, $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon v^{\prime}$ ，or $\varepsilon v v^{\prime}$ ．But $\chi \rho a ́ \omega$ ，in the sense of lending，occurs only in the fut． and aor．：for the present Dem．p． 1250 uses кíx $\eta \mu \mathrm{l}$ ：and so кíx $\rho \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota$

 has much probability．In the absence of any likely emendation，I have given $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ，in order at least to represent what was probably the sense．（кıхpáraı Cobet．）
（19．oủdds］ỏ̀às Munich Epitome，L．）
20．Bu入frata］So Ast and Foss（and $L$ ）．The word is known from Ar．Pax 1040．Petersen and Ussing keep the mss $\theta v \eta \lambda \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$ ，which does not occur elsewhere ；and $\theta v \eta \lambda \eta$ i is a poetical form．（But，as Diels points out，$\theta v \eta \lambda \eta \eta_{\mu} a \tau a$ has since been found in a Milesian inscription edited by Wilamowitz in the Berlin Sitzungsberichte，1904，p．617；cp． Stengel in Hermes，xxxix 614．）
 a spurious addition．）

 by Blaydes．）
 from a passage ascribed to cent． x in a lex Rhodia．）
25. ímodvo $\mu$ 'vous] So Ast and Foss, with several mss. As ímoduo $\mu$ évovs in this context can be exactly illustrated from Aristophanes (see Note), it is astonishing that Petersen and Ussing (and $L$ ) keep viлo$\delta v o \mu$ évovs, which, it may be safely said, is nonsense. Ussing explains it ' medio die, quo tempore ceteri in publico versantur, latebras quaerunt domique se condunt, ne in vestimentorum elegantiam sumptus faciant.' It means, then, 'slinking into concealment!' (vimoঠovuévovs B: ขimo¿ouévovs A. Similarly in Plutarch, De audiendis poëtis, 24 D, катаסvбapévous was wrongly written for кatadvoaú́vous, cp. Nauck, Eur. Fragm. 994, ed. 1889.)

## XXV (XXII)

 àò̀ фı入otıuias $\delta a \pi a ́ v \eta \nu \stackrel{\text { ÉX }}{\text { Xovara }}$. I follow Casaubon, Ast and others in
 Ussing in inserting '̇s before $\delta a \pi a ́ v \eta \nu$. Schweighäuser's ảmovoía rıs $\phi_{\iota} \lambda o \tau \iota \mu$ ías has been tacitly adopted by Ussing. Foss reads $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v \sigma i a$


 tempting; but, as Ast says, 'a vulgatae scripturae ductibus nimis recedit.' (Holland, one of the editors of $L$, suggests $\pi \epsilon p t o v \sigma i a \quad$ tıs


3. трayఱסois] Foss and Petersen retain the manuscript reading $\tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta$ oús : but Casaubon's correction, $\tau \rho a \gamma \varphi \delta o i ̂ s$, is undoubtedly right. Compare the common phrase кawoîs $\tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta 0 i \hat{s}$, 'at the representation of the new tragedies' (also Lysias, Or. xxiv § Io, катабта日єis хорךүòs
 Cic. Epp. x $3^{1}$ gladiatoribus = ludis gladiatoriis.
3. émเүpaчá $\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{v} 0$ s] I have little doubt that Schneider was right in thinking that this was the true correction of the $\bar{\epsilon} \pi t \gamma \rho \alpha^{\prime} \psi a s \mu^{\prime} \nu$ of V (retained by $L$ ): 'having caused (midd.) his name to be inscribed upon it.' I do not think that $\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ could stand as a sarcastic comment upon the fact that, shabby as was the offering, he yet took care to secure credit for it. Petersen tries to get this sense by writing $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$. Ussing more boldly $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$, but this seems plainly wrong. The ảvedev่ $\theta \in \rho o s$ is one who wants to get as much glory as possible for his money. He would not fail to record his victory, but he would record it cheaply. (Madvig proposes ė ér cүá́uas $\mu$ é $\lambda a v \iota$, approved by Gomperz.)

4 f . Ėк тоv̂ 8 q̆pov] The mss agree on this, and I have left it, as it
can be taken with ávacrás．But I strongly suspect that we ought to

 $\mu^{\prime} \hat{v}^{\prime} \omega v$ ．）

5．$\sigma \omega \pi \hat{\emptyset}]$ Needham＇s correction of $\sigma \omega \pi \pi \hat{\alpha} v$（retained by $L$ ）．

 parts reserved for the priest，＇has been adopted by Dübner，Hartung

 the left side of the face．＇Bekker Anecd．p．44：iєpürvva．тà tois $\theta$ єoî＇
 on his own conj．$\mu \eta \rho i \omega v$ ．（The editors of $L$ retain $i \in \rho \in ́ \omega v$ in the text， but suggest $\gamma \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ ，＇gifts of honour，＇in the notes．）
ri．甘tav in Movatia］After ötav in V（which alone has this clause） inserts tov̂ àmorı $\theta$＇́val кaì tà $\pi a \iota \delta i ́ a$, ，which is now universally rejected as a confused repetition of what has preceded．
（13．кal đà $\lambda$ dáxava］кai（V）is omitted by $L$ ，with certain mss．）


16．тіोे кúк $\lambda \varphi$ ］These words occur only in V ：but Foss and Ussing seem right in regarding them as genuine．Cf．Plat．Lysis


 $\mu^{\prime} \hat{v}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ，as in Lysias xıx 14，Aeschin．Ctes．172，etc．）

I8 f．tik $\tau$ 介ीs yvvaukelas］So V，the sole authority here．Cobet， Variae Lectiones，p．204，observes that ảjopâs is to be supplied．Meier also defends it；and Petersen and Ussing receive it into their texts．

 $\pi \alpha \lambda_{1} \mu \pi \eta_{\eta} \xi_{\epsilon \epsilon}$ ．

 transposition of the verbs is adopted by Ast and Foss．（The order of the mss is retained in $L$ ，where éккорías is adopted，as proposed by Casaubon and Coray．）

22 f．（ $\delta v$ aviros $\phi 0 p \epsilon i]$ Münsterberg，approved by Gomperz，proposes ôv aúvòv фopê，＇the only garb that he wears＇；cp．Aelian，Var．Hist．vir
 ßа入ópevos av̉тóv．）

## XXVI (XXX)

This chapter (usu. xxx) is found complete only in V : but the passage каì oìoт $\omega \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu-\mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \beta \omega \sigma \iota(6-33)$ stands, in those mss which contain only the first $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ Characters, in the chapter on $\beta \delta \epsilon \lambda v p i a$ (usu. xi, in this ed. xvir). V places that passage here, inserting in it two


I. $\pi$ кр liarity consists in $\pi \epsilon \rho \circ 0 v \sigma i a$ standing for nimia cupiditas. It consists rather, I think, in $\kappa \in ́ \rho \delta o s ~ s t a n d i n g ~ f o r ~ c u p i d i t a s ~ l u c r i . ~ C f . ~ S o p h . ~ A n t . ~$
 ruined men.' Foss reads on his conj. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o i \eta \sigma t s$, which means 'affectation of,' rather than 'effort to get.' Schneider inserted $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu i a s$ after



(3. aủ $\uparrow \hat{\omega}]$ avi $\frac{\oplus}{c}$ is preferred by Edmonds.)
5. $\delta \mu \circ \stackrel{\rho}{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{av}]$ So Petersen and Ussing: V $\delta \iota \mu$ oí $\varphi$ (retained by $L$, and altered by Amaduzzi into $\delta i \mu o \iota \rho o v$, which is accepted by Wilamowitz). The word $\delta \iota \mu \circ \rho \rho \dot{\alpha}$ is common : $\delta i \mu o \iota \rho o v$, in this sense, is unknown.
(7. vieîs] AB : vioús $L$.)
 Petersen and Ussing that $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \iota \hat{\alpha} \sigma \nu \nu$ (sc. $\pi \rho o \hat{\kappa} \kappa \theta_{\epsilon \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota) ~ i s ~ r i g h t . ~}^{\text {. }}$
 ( $\bar{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \theta$ Éarpov, 'a gallery,' is found in a Delian inscription of the 3rd century b.c., Bull. Corr. Hell. xviir 164.)
13. $i$ imìv] Ast, Foss and Ussing omit the кai of the vulg. before $\epsilon i \pi \dot{\prime} v$, which Cobet alters into $\epsilon \ddot{i} \pi \alpha$, an alteration adopted by $L$ and Wilamowitz.
 and $L$ prints $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \alpha_{\rho} \iota v$. .)
 т̣̣̂ $\mu \in ́ \tau \rho \oplus()$ : vulg. $\phi \epsilon \iota \delta \omega \nu i(\varphi)$, which is probably right, and which Ast and Ussing retain. ( $\Phi \epsilon \delta \omega \omega \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \downarrow$, Cobet and Wilamowitz.) See Notes.

 Edmonds.)

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \neq \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon i a(L)$. With Ussing I follow the vulgate for the words, and V as regards their place.



 marking the sentence as corrupt). I follow Ast and Foss in their general view of the sense, and in attempting to combine the vulgate and V. Ast proposes ímoтрíaбӨaí $\tau$, фídov סıס́óvтos $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o v, ~ к а i ̀ ~$
 on reasonable terms, and, having added to the price, sells it.' Foss,
 Sórtat i.e. 'when a friend allows it to be sold reasonably.' In two points I would keep closer to the mss: (r) in retaining סoкоиิvтos: (2) instead of inserting $\epsilon i \tau \alpha$, I should write $\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ каi for $\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. The awkward passive is thus got rid of, and the loss of кai explained.
 II, 9 , where $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ means to 'bid higher,' lit. 'to add to the price.' But the blot is $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o v . ~ I ~ m u c h ~ d o u b t ~ w h e t h e r ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o v ~ \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i v ~$ could mean 'to sell on reasonable terms,' though $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi т о v ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~$ (Plat. Rep. p. 470 c ) means 'to speak reasonably.' The corruption probably lies deep. (Other suggestions are quoted in $L$, p. 266. Cobet, followed by Wilamowitz, proposes vimoтpíactaı фílov סoкойvтos

 in following. $\mathfrak{a}_{\mu} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon$, , in these Characters, often adds spirit to the mention of an especially striking trait-here to the notice of a very shabby little artifice. 'It is just like him to......' Petersen without comment

24. Tòv $\left.\mu \hat{\eta} v \alpha{ }^{8} \lambda_{0 \nu}\right]$ Ast leaves out these words, and reads below $\tau \grave{v} v$

 leave the lacuna in V after rov̂ $\chi \epsilon \rho i$ 'ुovtos without attempting to explain it. Ussing says: 'desiderari aliquid apparet; nam necessario indicandum est quid administraverit ille quicum rationes putat.' ( $L$ follows V in omitting кai, in placing a lacuna after фрáropas, and also in omitting

 $\theta$ eival ( $L$ ): Ast's correction is adopted by Foss and Ussing. The latter seems right in omitting $\pi a \rho^{’}$ éautoû before $\delta \iota \delta o \mu e ́ v \omega v$ (retained by $L$ and

Wilamowitz) : it was probably the mere error of a copyist whose eye


40. $\left.<\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}\rangle>\pi \epsilon \mu \psi_{n}\right]$ So Ussing (and $L$ ): V has $\pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \eta$,-the $\pi \rho o$ probably from the line before, $\pi \rho$ ò $\chi \rho \rho^{\prime} \nu o v ~ \tau \iota v o ́ s . ~(~ \pi \rho o \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \eta$ is retained by Wilamowitz, and defended by Edmonds :-'so that he may send a present beforehand,' instead of taking it himself on the proper day; this would enable him to get off with a cheaper present.) Foss's $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \eta$ (apparently first proposed by Coray) is improbable.- $\mu \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$, first added by Amaduzzi, the earliest editor of $V$, is obviously wanted by the sense.

## XXVII (XXV)

 the sense of 'frightened,' being a late word.)
 Ar. Pax 276 . Cobet prefers $\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon o ́ v \tau \omega v$.)
 ${ }_{\text {ávakúnter }} \mu^{\prime} v$ is the reading of the best ms, V , and is printed by Foss, Petersen and Ussing. If it is right, it means, as Ussing says, that the $\delta_{\epsilon} \lambda^{\prime}$ ós had either covered his head or taken refuge below decks: 'et $\mu \in ́ v$ importunum, ut ait Schneider., necessario delendum.' The inferior mss
 avaкúntтovtos might mean, 'when the steersman raises his head,' in order to see over something which obstructs his view. (This is accepted by Wilamowitz, in the sense of 'gazing up into the sky to observe the stars.') The $\pi v \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ of V must of course be retained. But besides the oddness of àaкúntovтos in this sense, there is a further objection: $\epsilon \hat{i} \mu \epsilon \sigma о \pi о \rho \epsilon \hat{i}$ must then mean whether he is steering the middle course': 'diligentiam videas gubernatoris in angusto freto versantis' (Ussing). Now $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \pi o \rho \epsilon i v$ naturally means 'to be in middle course' ; in another sense-that of 'having come half way.' So Diod. xviil $34, \mu \epsilon \sigma o \pi$ орои́vт $\omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ avit $\hat{\nu}$, 'in the middle of their voyage': and so Menander (4.320) used it. Clearly the Coward asks 'whether they are half-way yet.' (Wilamowitz understands $\mu \epsilon \sigma o \pi o \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ as $\delta \iota \grave{a} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \eta s \tau_{\eta} \hat{s}$ $\theta a \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \tau \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ торєย́є $\sigma \theta a t$, in contrast to the more cautious custom of hugging the shore.) The other mss have àaкóттоvтos, which Casaubon understands to mean: 'when the steersman changes the ship's course, the Coward asks whether he is keeping in mid-channel.' To this there are two objections: (1) that just stated-the sense given to $\mu \in \sigma o \pi \rho \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ : (2) the sense of ávaкóттогтоs. ávaкóттєוע vav̂̀ might, perhaps, have the
meaning of àvaкроv́є $\theta a l$, 'to back the ship': compare the pass., said of
 began to blunder and retract ': and Arat. Phaenom. 346, quoted by Ast,
 I do not see how it could mean 'to change the ship's course' in any other way than by backing, nor how it could be said of the steersman. It would properly be applied, as by Aratus, to the rowers.
8. aüróv] So Ast and Ussing.-Foss and Petersen (and $L$ ) aủzóv: Foss placing only a comma at रıтшvírкov, to which he makes aủróv refer. But surely the $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda o_{\rho}$ is more anxious for his life than for his clothes.




 Ussing adopts the correction $\pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\eta}$ for $\pi \epsilon \zeta$ ov̂ in V , and for $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \beta$ on $\theta$ ov̂voos
 aứtóv (MS aủróv) before $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \omega v$ : it can go with $\sigma \tau$ ávras as well as with $\pi \rho о \sigma к а \lambda \epsilon i v . ~ F o s s ~ d i f f e r s ~ f r o m ~ U s s i n g ~ o n l y ~ i n ~ o m i t t i n g ~ \tau o v ́ s ~(w h i c h, ~$ however, seems necessary) and in leaving $\pi \rho$ òs auvóv, as I do, in its place. Petersen proposes merely to write $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa \beta$ on $\theta_{0} \hat{v} v \tau o s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \zeta o v ̂ ~ f o r ~$
 already fighting ; it is to a small support-party that the Coward addresses himself. Ast takes the vulgate, simply omitting orávras, and, as usual, despising V. But $\pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} v \tau a s$ is plainly wrong.
(II. $\pi \delta т \in \rho \circ$ l] The variant $\pi$ óтєюóv is preferred by $L$.)

 Foss and Ussing.
 ธัр $\omega \bar{\nu}$.)
22. <elmeiv>] is not in the mss, but is supplied by all the editors.

 Foss and Petersen take кıvסvvev́asas with $\sigma \in \in \sigma \omega \kappa \alpha$, Ussing (and $L$ ) with
 Casaubon and Cobet preferred $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \omega \kappa$..)

## XXVIII (XVI)

 corrupt. Edmonds proposes $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} E v \nu \in a \kappa \rho o v i v o v\right)$. The other mSS contain nothing corresponding to it, but read simply oios aंжovঋq́ $\mu \in v o s$ tàs
 adopted,-saying, however, that he would prefer either $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o}$ к $\kappa \rho \dot{\eta} v \eta$ s or
 emendation, I give the dative: cf. Od. XIII 408, ė $\pi i$ к к $\rho \dot{\eta} v \eta$, 'at a

 he has been anywhere at the offering of libations to the dead.'-I once
 was independently proposed by Madvig.)
 the other editors insert $\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu$. But the omission of $\stackrel{a}{\alpha} v$ in such cases, though commonest in poetry, is not confined to it. Thuc. 1 137, $\mu \eta \delta$ ¢́ध $\alpha$



 With Ussing I insert $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ before mapeíav. Foss compresses and alters




 iep $\hat{\omega}$ ov in the sense of aediculam: but surely it is a vox nihili. Petersen (followed by $L$ ) has taken Dübner's conjecture $\mathfrak{\eta} \rho \bar{\omega} o v:$ but I do not see how that mends matters. With Foss, I leave iєpóv. It is probably corrupt ; but, as being a word of general sense, it might possibly mean a small shrine or altar; and nothing better has been proposed.
 by Xen. Hellen. I vii 1 I, Aristot. Hist. An. vi 36 , Babrius 108, 16 f.)
(12. Sıaфáyn] סıaтpáyq Hirschig and Cobet.)
 is defended by Edmonds, who compares Pollux I 33, $\mu v ́ \sigma o s ~ \lambda v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a u, ~$ èk $\lambda$ v́ráa $\theta a u$.)

 каì єilnas, к.т.入. Badham corrects $\gamma \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ vivk to $\gamma \lambda a \hat{v} \xi$, the indic. to subj.,
and omits каí；and，with Ussing，I have followed him．Petersen does so too，except that he keeps $\kappa \alpha i$, and inserts $\delta \epsilon \delta \delta i \tau \tau \epsilon \tau a \iota$ before it，since
 very improbable．Foss（and $L$ ），кâv $\gamma \lambda a v ̂ \kappa \epsilon s ~ \beta a \delta i ́ G o \nu \tau o s ~ a v ̉ r o v ̂ ~<a ̉ \nu a-~$
 àvaкрáүๆ，$\delta \in \delta$ oíка $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ．This is approved by Babick and Blaydes）．Ast conjectured that $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha_{\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota}$ should be changed to $\pi \alpha \rho i \pi \tau \eta \tau a \iota, ~ ' f l y ~ p a s t . ' ~$
 states that V really has $\gamma \lambda a v \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ，i．e．$\gamma \lambda \alpha \hat{v} \kappa \epsilon \mathrm{~s}_{\text {．}}$ ）
 proposed by Meineke and Jahn，and approved by Eberhard，Babick， and Blaydes．）

 тaîs єiк＞ávı Unger．）
 so Coray and Schneider，but with $\lambda_{\iota} \beta a v \omega \tau 0 \hat{v}$ ．－Meier，$\lambda_{\iota} \beta a \nu \omega \tau o ̀ v, \sigma \tau v i-$ рака（storax，for incense）：Foss，$\lambda_{\iota} \beta \alpha \nu \omega т o ̀ v$, тóта⿱㇒⿲二丨日，＇cakes＇（cp．Athen．
 Ussing has adopted，seems best：see Notes．（ $\sigma \mu$ í $\lambda \alpha \kappa$ is the form found in Theophrastus，Hist．Plant．in xviii in．）
 （ $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \epsilon \not \approx \sigma \omega<\theta \hat{v} \sigma a l>\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a v \omega ิ \nu$ is proposed by Edmonds，who com－





 －the punctuation proposed by Usener．）

29．ámò $\theta a \lambda a ́ \tau \pi \eta s]$ Schneider＇s ámó for the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ of V has been received by Foss，Ussing and most editors．Cf．supra，$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \rho \not \rho a v a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ảாò í $\epsilon \circ \hat{v}$ ．


 hand］катà к．גои́ба，$\theta$ aı（followed by $L$ ，with $\sigma к о \rho o ́ \delta \omega$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ）．
 rected $\sigma \kappa о \rho o ́ \delta \omega$ to $\sigma \kappa о \rho o ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ，and $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta_{0}^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ to $\alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega_{\nu}^{\prime} \nu$ ．These correc－ tions confirm each other；for，when the $\nu$ of $\sigma \kappa \rho \rho o \delta^{\delta} \omega \nu$ was lost，$a^{3} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega^{\prime} \nu$ was changed to $\alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ for the sake of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，and $\alpha^{\prime} \pi$ ．to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ ．for the
 has fastened upon,' 'laid hands upon'; and in this, too, is followed by Ussing. But ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \eta \mu \mu$ évov seems improbable. Here I have ventured to adopt an emendation of my own, because it is so near at once to the ms and to the sense required, as to appear highly probable. To eat the garlic ( $($ ) and other refuse placed for 'Hecate's supper' at the cross-roads is often mentioned as an impiety to which hunger drove the poor: see Ar. Plut. 595, Plut. de Superst. 1o, (Dem. in Conon. 39, тà 'Ekaraîa





 $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} s \lambda^{\prime} v^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta \alpha l$. 'If [one of] those who are sacrificing to H . at the cross-roads, crowned with garlic, cast an [evil] eye on him,' etc. The
 $\delta o \iota \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma a \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta$ ovicuv, and $\epsilon$ is then omitted (Pet. introd. p. 5.)
(31. iefelas] The masc. íєpéas is preferred by Auber, and iepéa by G. A. Hirschig, supported by Blaydes, who quotes Ar. Plutus, i182,





(33. eis кó̀лоv $\pi$ тú́ral] Hirschig and Cobet would prefix $\tau p i ́ s$.

## XXIX (XXVI)



 passage has been by adopting Pauw's conjecture of крáтovs for кє́ $\rho \delta o v s$.
 seems to me rash. Casaubon saw that кєpoovs was genuine, but that a not was required with it ; the love of power for its own sake, as felt by the oligarch, being opposed to that love of power for the sake of money with which demagogues were so often-as in Ar. Vesp. 672 -reproached. He conjectured io iovpà, кє́ $\rho \delta o u s$ ov̉ $\gamma \lambda \iota \chi o \mu e^{\prime} \eta \eta$. But a simpler remedy is, I think, at hand. The ioxvpov̂ of the vulgate merely conceals ioxvos, ov̉. For ioxús in the sense of dívaucs, cf. Thuc. iI 97, $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu$ ท̀ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a$

observes that the fragment in Oxyrh. Pap. iv, no. 699, has ioxúos followed by an obscure letter which may be $\kappa$. 'The rest of the line is torn away, but кai $\kappa$ ќf $\delta o v s$ would exactly fill the gap.')
 Edmonds as filling the space left in the Oxyrh. papyrus.)
(3. $\tau$ (vas] oṽotuvas is preferred by Cobet, who in 1.4 would omit тov̀s before $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma o \mu$ évovs.)






 There is no difficulty about the $\kappa \hat{i}^{\prime}-$ for, if $\eta^{\prime} \tau \mu \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} v o v s$ had been corrupted into $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau \mu \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu o v s$, it would naturally have been omitted; but the perfect tense is an objection. We should have expected vißpiYouévovs $\hat{\eta}$ $\dot{a} \tau \mu \mu о \nu \mu \dot{v} v o v s$, esp. as a series of insults is referred to. I think with Ussing that $\ddot{\eta} \tau \mu \mu \omega \mu^{\prime} \dot{v} v \mathbf{v}$, which is the reading of V , gives better grammar and better sense. The oligarch is indignant that it should be in the power of the people to slight or to honour him at will, and scorns their favours as much as their affronts. avzov's, which is found only in V , probably came in from avirov̀s $\tilde{\eta}^{\dagger} \mu \mathrm{a} s$ just before: with Ussing I omit it.
 before тò iцátoov (retained by $L$ ).

 tovs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \tau(\grave{\eta} \nu) ~ \tau(o \hat{v})$ wiठíc. Preller's $\tau \grave{\eta} v \tau o \hat{v}$ ' $\Omega \star \delta \epsilon i o v$ is adopted by Foss and Petersen (Holland in $L$ suggests <катà> $\left.\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau o \hat{v}{ }^{\prime} \Omega \iota \delta \in i o v\right)$ : Ussing despairs of the words, and does not print them. To govern
 How tウ̀v $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ' $\Omega \delta \epsilon i o v$ is to be governed, no one explains; for $\sigma o \beta \epsilon i v$ certainly cannot govern it. I have inserted $\delta \iota a$, which may have been lost through a confusion with the other $\delta d$ immediately following. But I have not much faith in $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ rov̂ ' $\Omega_{\imath} \delta \delta i o v$ itself, and suspect that the fault lies too deep to be got at now. (V has $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ tov̂ $\omega \dot{\delta} i(\omega$, written $\hat{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ $\dot{\omega} \delta \delta \omega$. The excellent emendation, $\tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta \bar{\omega} v$, has, accordingly, been proposed by Herwerden and, independently, by Diels.)
 suggests, an unwarrantable alternative, oiкク่тшן: oiкүтòv Cobet and $L$.

 (which is retained by $L$ ) is accepted by Foss and Ussing. Meier proposes $\delta є \kappa \alpha \zeta о \mu$ év $\omega v$, accepted by Cobet, Usener and Wachsmuth.)
 The words $\tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta$ os кaì $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{l}$, wanting in the MSS, were supplied by Ast's almost certain conjecture, which Foss and Ussing adopt. (Edmonds
 the task is of the man who has to pay.')
(22. aưT凶̄] avitẹ̀ is preferred by Edmonds.)
 macer, 'meagre,' 'starved-looking'; not tenuis (pauper), as Ussing renders it. Foss, with Meier, $\lambda \epsilon \pi \rho o ́ s ; ~(E b e r h a r d ~ a n d ~ B u e c h e l e r, ~ \lambda \iota r o ́ s ; ~$



 калаүаүóvта $\lambda v \theta \epsilon_{i} i^{\prime \sigma a s} \beta a \sigma \iota^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ : with a contraction after the $\sigma$, which is written above the line. Foss thinks that the ms had $\lambda v \theta \in i \sigma \alpha v \beta a-$ oideiav. Bold measures have been taken to supply the supposed
 $\kappa a \tau a>\lambda v \theta_{\epsilon} i \sigma a \nu$ ßaбi $\lambda \epsilon i a v$. Meier's remedy, which Foss justly calls 'portentous,' is to copy after калаүабóvта the greater part of Thuc.
 but this, though it adds less to the text, uses the text itself still worse. I greatly prefer Ast's simple proposal to read $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \beta a c \iota \lambda c i a v . ~ I t ~$ does not seem unlikely that the $\sigma$ written over $\lambda \tilde{v} \theta \in \iota$ was meant simply to correct $\theta$, and that the doubtful contraction after it was merely the article, and not, as has been supposed, $\alpha \nu$ or $\alpha$. The object of кaraya-
 the population of the $\delta \omega \delta \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon$. (After катаүаүóvта Schneider adds $<$ ò̀v $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o v>$ or <rov̀s $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v s>$; Ilberg (in $L$ ) accepts $\langle\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta\rangle$, and adds $\lambda \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \iota$ тàs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a s$.) Cobet proposes $\epsilon$ is $\mu i ́ a \nu ~ \sigma v v a \gamma \alpha \gamma o ́ v \tau a ~<\tau o ̀ v ~$
 reads the text of V as $\lambda v \theta$ eioas $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a s$, restores the whole passage
 $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o v ̀ s>\lambda v \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s<\tau \hat{\eta} s>\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a s$.

> XXX (XXIX)

This chapter is found only in $V$.
 by Unger.)
(5. кal фЯ̂бab, ©s] каí фךб九้ ws L.)

 $\sigma \kappa \omega ิ \psi a r ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~ a d o p t e d ~ b y ~ U s s i n g . ~ C o r a y, ~ f o l l o w e d ~ b y ~ A s t ~ a n d ~ F o s s, ~$ reads $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \eta ̂ \psi a \iota ~ \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, ös $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ \dot{e ́} \sigma \tau \iota$, which, besides being awkward grammatically, gives a very tame sense.
 ms has éàv ßoúdそtaí tıs eis $\pi \ldots$. . (a lacuna of 5 or 6 letters). This lacuna has usually been filled up with movnpóv or $\pi$ ovqpiav. Coray pro-


 teivectal means, I suppose, to descant upon a bad man; a very odd phrase. Hartung has probably, I think, come nearer to the truth. Out

 he contends, is merely ' a frank independent man, if one will look fairly at the matter.' (Naber suggests $\epsilon i s \pi<\epsilon \bar{\rho} \rho a \nu \quad$ è $\lambda \theta \epsilon i v>$; Immisch, in $L$, proposes єis $\pi<\epsilon \hat{\rho} \rho a \nu \lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} v>$.)
(9. ìmèp aủrov̂] $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ av่тov̂ Cobet.)

 cival between $\phi \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ and $\gamma \alpha \dot{\rho}$, and puts a point at $\phi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$. Ussing adopts the conjecture, but, by an oversight, ascribes it to Petersen. (Cobet

 report of Amaduzzi, that it contained $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta o \xi o v$, which Ast prints. It is
 now proves to be actually the word in the ms.

 Foss and Ussing (and $L$ ).
 by $L$.)
 $\mu \in \nu o s \epsilon_{\epsilon}$. Here again, Meier seems to have hit the truth with $\pi \rho \frac{1}{s}$ rov̀s
 of the judges in a law-court, 'the bench,' see Andoc. de Myst. § I 39, $\Phi$
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$\gamma^{\nu} \mu \nu$ bs，XXIII 9

 IX 7

$\delta a \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \iota \frac{1}{}, \tau 6$ ，XXVIII ${ }^{2}$
 VII 34
 xv 3， 16 ；Xxvi 3， 10
סаעєเбтเкทิs，єрүабlas，VI 4
$\delta \dot{\alpha} \phi \nu \eta \nu$ єls $\tau \delta \quad \sigma \tau \delta \mu a$ 入a $\beta \omega \nu$ ，XXVIII 4
бе̨̧ıua，vi 3
$\delta \epsilon \lambda l a$, XxVII 1 ；$\delta$ ．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t o ̀ ~ \delta a \iota \mu b \nu i o \nu, ~$ xxvili I
$\delta \epsilon \lambda \delta s$, xXVII 2
סeìva，ó，HII 2；XXI 2
סetvbs，c．inf．，III If，I4；IV 8 ；V I8； VI 8 ；VII 15， 30 ；IX 8 ；XI 7 ；XIII 10 ；XIV 12 ；XV 2 ；XVI 8 ；XIX 11 ， 16；XXI 23；XXIII 13；XXIV 15 ； xxv io；Xxvi 16 ；XXVIII 15 ；XXIX 9； $\mathrm{XXX}_{14}, 18$

סєढбьסal $\mu \omega \nu$ ，XXVIII 2
סєкátals，èv，vili 13
$\Delta \in \lambda \phi 0^{\circ}$ VII 4



סĥuos．VII 37；XIX 21；XXV 5；XXIX 3； XXX 15



ס८a̧€єyua，Crit．App．VI 3
סLatтa．II 7；IV 5；IX I5
סıaкovoû̀tas，toús，XXV 7

$\delta \iota a \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \rho(\delta a s$, XXVI 4
סıáтєє pav $\lambda a \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \mathbf{X}$ II

$\delta \iota a \tau o \xi \in \cup ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ ，VIII 15
סıaфb $\rho \circ \mathrm{v}$, тoû，XXIV I
бьঠабка入єiov．XIX I3；XXVI 24
סtठáбка入os．XXV II；pl．XIX I5
Stelpүєьข toùs Mađouévous，x 6

$\delta \iota \kappa a l \omega \nu, \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，XXII 13
Sıкабтخptov．XVII 10；XXIX 18；XXX 13， 19


 32］
סerpo plav，XXVI 5
 árpoús，XVIII 16
Atbvvas．XXV 3
$\delta t \delta \tau \iota=\delta$＇r $\iota$ ，Crit．App．v1 $3^{\circ}$
סıфâv rà ка入ú $\mu \mu a \tau a, ~ X X I V ~ I o ~$
$\delta \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \rho a \iota$, XIV 23
$\delta t \omega \theta \in \hat{\imath v}, \psi \dot{n} \phi o u s$, IV 16
 I；XIV 1 ；XIX 27 ；cival d̀ $\delta 6 \xi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ ， XIX I；XXVII I；XXVIII 1,29 ；XXIX I
ठоркабєlous $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \gamma a \lambda$ ous，VII 17
ס6pv，่̇ $\pi \ell$ ，VIII 4
$\delta p E ́ \pi a \nu o \nu$, XIV 20
סuvaros，c．inf．，I 25；XVI 4
סvoîv ทั $\mu \in \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \cdot$－I I I
$\delta v \sigma \chi \in ́ \rho \in \iota a$ ，XII I
$\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \eta{ }^{\prime}$, XII 2

 XXI 6
É $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\chi}$
Є่ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{-}$XXIII 14， 17

$\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \iota \circ \boldsymbol{y}$, XVIII 4
๕ $\delta \rho \alpha \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \notin \epsilon เ \nu$, VIII 18
el $\delta \varepsilon \chi \theta$＇js，XXI 19

eltras，XIX 5
Elpwv，v 2
elpwpela，V 1 ；［Pro．20］
$\epsilon \operatorname{lo} \iota \dot{\nu}$, VIII 8；XIX 14

＂Eкáтク＂XXVIII I5
$\dot{\text { éк }} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \hat{\ell} \nu$ ，＇to drop，＇xVII 16 ；XXIV 8
 luátıov，XXIII 13；XXV 4；XXVI 17
Éк日v́ $\sigma a \sigma \theta a l$ ，XXVIII $I_{4}$
 XXIX 22 ；XXX 13
ѐккорŋुбац，XXV 2 I


ềáa• XXIV I3
èhacov：XII 6；XXVI 37


є $\mu \pi \sigma \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$＇＇profit，＇XV1 25
ėvarás， $\mathrm{X}_{4}$
é $\nu \tau \in \in \xi / \mathrm{s}$ ，II I I ；XI I
 XIX 3， 10 ；XXVII 25 ；XXX 2
èvútrนเo้＂XVIII 5；XXVII 7；XXVIII 23



宅 $\xi \gamma \eta \tau^{\prime} \eta s^{\circ}$ XXVIII 12
e乡buvvatai，IV 6；XVI 20
غортท́＇III 6
єттaүшүท＇，＇Ека́тךs，XXVIII 16
є̇ாà̀ $\pi$ орєú $\eta \tau a t$ ，IV 12


 I 29
érive入da $\alpha \iota^{\circ}$ I II， 16
 XXV 3
$\epsilon \in \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \kappa \nu \nu \sigma \theta a \iota$, VII 22
$\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \in l \xi \in \sigma \iota \nu$ ，VII 23
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \in \xi^{\circ} \cos \cdot \mathrm{XXX}$ II
$\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \delta \sigma \epsilon \iota^{\prime}$ XXV 4
ย̇ $\pi \iota \theta \in \AA \tau \rho \circ \nu$, Crit．App．Xxvi 8
éтьөvula какlas， XXX I
$\epsilon \in \pi \kappa \alpha a \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{XXVI} 28$
є̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \eta \pi i ̂ \delta a s$, Crit．App． 121
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda a \beta \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ，XIV 16；XX 12

é $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ，VII 13
є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \in \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ，IV I7

ย̇ $\pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma \iota$ ，XXII I

є́раעl§ovтas，V 12
Epayos．III 9；VI 23；XXII 13；XXV I4
t́pyo入d́ßos，XX 9



＇Eр $\quad$ афроסitous，$\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu o u ̂ \nu, ~ X X V I I I ~ 22 ~$
＇Ериฑ̂s，коıибs，XXVI I7
غ̇бтเầ II II ；IV IO；XXVI 2， 30 ；XXIV
 XXVIII $3^{\circ}$

єủסoкl $\mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, XIX 20
єử $\eta \mu \in \varepsilon \hat{\varepsilon}$ ，VII $4^{2}$

єúploкeเข＇Tl éjplokeı；III 5
єن́ $\rho \cup \theta \mu$ о́тєроs＊ 122
Еи́ри́тŋ• VI II

єủфvท́s：XXX 10
$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \omega \chi \eta j \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \mathrm{XX} 8$
Є́ $\phi \in \lambda \kappa и ̆ \sigma a \iota$, XXVI 18
E $\phi \eta \beta$ oı，VII 1 I
є́фобєध́є
é $\phi$ ódıov，XXVI 9
 $\epsilon \ell \chi \in$ ，VI 9
€ $\chi \in เ s$, ＇vipers，＇$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{v} & 25\end{array}\right]$
éx hos．XVI $^{20}$
E $\psi \in L \nu$ ，olvov，XXVIII 2 I

Zeús，as god of rain，XIII I5；XVIII Io； XXII 5
§＂үрєiv．XX 14
§ $\omega \mu$ б́s＇XV 10 ；XXII 4；＇hash，＇XX 17
گwpótepoy $\pi เ \epsilon \hat{้} \nu$, XIV 12

$\dot{\eta} \lambda_{c k l a \nu}$ úrép $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，VIII 2
$\dot{\eta} \mu t o \lambda l a s, ~ ' p r i v a t e e r s, ' ~ X X V I I ~ 3$
 фavi $\delta \omega \nu \eta \mu \tau \sigma \epsilon$, XXVI 32
$\dot{\eta} \mu \iota \omega \beta b \lambda \iota o \nu \cdot$ XVI 23 ；XXIV 2
＇Hрак入єîov，VIII 7
そpla，mú入al，Crit．App．XIII I7
$\eta \dot{\eta} \rho \bar{\Psi} \circ \mathrm{V}$（conj．），XxViII 8 ；pl．VIII 5



$\theta$ oúpara，＇a conjurer＇s performance，＇ VIII 9 ；XVI 6
日éa，VII II；XV 12；XXVI 7， 27
$\theta$ éatpov．I 32 ；VII II；XIII 6；XVII 4
Өєarpêval，XXVI 8
$\theta \varepsilon o u ̂ ~(=o u ̛ \rho a \nu o v ̂), ~ \tau \grave{~}$ тoû，XXVII 6；т $\hat{\eta}$ $\mu \eta \tau \rho l$ ти̂ע $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，VII 39
Ocwpeî̀＇XIII 6；XVII 5
Өクбeús＇XXIX 25
Өouplakàs．．．入ךкúvous，VII I7
Өрq̆тта，XXI 7
Өидакоs＂XIV 2o；XXVIII II
Opl⿳亠二口丿 $\mu \in \lambda a c \nu a \nu ~ т \rho l \chi a, ~ I ~ I 3 ~$
Ouŋ入tиата，Crit．App．XXIV 20
Өu入hцата，XXIV 20
Oúrov，＇thyme，＇XIV 3
 кб千аעтos тท̀v 日úpav，XIV I 5
latpós． X то
lepá VII 37， 40
lepê，$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\varphi}$, VIII II
lepeion＇XXV 6
lep $\omega \sigma \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu, \pi \lambda \eta े \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \operatorname{XXV} 6$
lкavós，c．inf．，XVI 19；XXI 10
l $\mu$ d́tcov．I 8， 16 ；VII 8,29 ；VIII 7 ；

XII 8；XXIII 13；XXIV 26；XXV 14 ； XXVI 17；XXIX 15
$\iota_{\mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu \partial \nu}^{\zeta \eta \tau \eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$, VI 26
imTkjeन

 VI 25
$l \sigma \chi$ uos，conj．，XXIX I

lwhetas，k入eis，XXIV 23
ккөâpat，olк\｛av，XXVIII 15
каl．．．$\delta \dot{\text { è ，I 1 } 4, ~} 15$ ；II 8,9 ；V 5 ；VI 7， 12， $16,19,24$ ；VII $8,15,30$ ；VIII 17； IX II；X 4；XI 7，I4；XIV II，I4； XVI I2， 21 ；XVII 17；XIX I3；XXI IO；XXIII I3；XXV IO；XXVIII 55 ； $\mathrm{xxx} 6 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r} 3$
кal $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ，I 30 ；V 20；XX 6


кal $\pi \in \rho . . . \in \chi \in เ s$, I I 2
како入оүla，XXI I
како入бүоs，XXI 2
ка入入ı $\sigma \tau \in \dot{\cup} \epsilon \iota \nu$ • VIII 1 I

kapua，XVII 6
карфолоү $\sigma^{\circ} \iota^{\circ}$ I го
Ká $\sigma \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s, X X I_{13}, 23$
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \delta \dot{\eta} \theta \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{XX} 3$
кaт $\dot{\theta} \theta \mathrm{ov}, \mathrm{XXIII}$ I

VII 3；тро̀s тòv катакеl $\mu \in \nu \circ \nu$ ，XXVII 28
кат $\mathfrak{\xi} \alpha \nu \tau o s$, XXIV 7 ；катєaүє́val，VIJI 13
катd́бтpఉца＊XXV 9
катафрорךбєs，IV I
кєцроре́̀ous，ধ̇̀ $\chi \rho \hat{\varphi}$ ，XXIV 25
кєкаттице́va，та入є $\pi \pi \eta_{\xi \in \iota, ~ X X V ~}^{20}$
 XXVI 6
кєфá入aıov，＇total，＇IV 16；XIII 3
кєфа入ך̂s，катá，XXVIII 3 I
$\kappa \grave{\eta \pi} \pi{ }^{\circ}$ XI $10 ;$ XXIV 12
кпрútтeוע，XVI Io
к九 $\beta \omega \tau$＇́s．XXIII 6；pl．XXIV 9
kioves，XVIII I3
$\kappa \lambda \in i ̂ 5$, XXIV 22
$\kappa$ кица́кєоу，VII 31 f
$\kappa \lambda i \nu a s, ~ \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ é $\pi i$ tás，VI 26 ；$\kappa \lambda l \nu a s$ ка入入仑̂̀ą，XXV $2 I$
кyaфeús or yvaфeús，Crit．App．XXIII $I_{4}$
ко七дб́s＇II 8；коидо̀＇Eppiǹ，XXVI 16 ； $\pi \rho \delta_{s} \tau \dot{d}$ кoıvd $\pi \rho o \sigma t b \nu \tau \omega \nu$, XXIX 20
кодакеlа．I I
кo入otós．VII 30,32

кóтоия，é $\mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon t \nu$ els，XIII I3
ко́ракая，äँ $\pi \alpha \gamma^{\prime}$ Ès，XXVII 23
ко́рбаद．XVI 5

коupd，$\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \cdot$ XXIX 15
коขрєі̂̃ン，XVII I4］

ко́фเขоs＂XIV 19
$\kappa \rho \in \omega \pi \omega \lambda \eta s^{\bullet} \mathrm{xv} 8$

крךтîdas，$\sigma u \nu \omega \nu o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, 121$
kptӨal ：XV 16
Kрьуокорака，Crit．App．Xxı 8

кротєìp，XVII 4，I8
киверуท่rทร＇XXV 8；XXVII 4
кข $\beta \in \cup ́ \in \iota \nu$ ，XVI 10
Kúsıros：VII 14
кขкєส́v• XIV 2
$\kappa \tilde{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \varphi, \tau \dot{\eta} \nu, \mathrm{XXV} 16$
кv入ıкои́ $<\circ$ ，XXIII 7
кú $\mu \iota \nu \nu$, XXIV 19
кuvaplov Me入ıtalov，VII 32
кúттєเข＊кátш кєкифట́s，IV 10
кứvy＇XIV $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ；pl．，VII I4；XXI I4；кú 4

$\kappa \omega \mu d \zeta \epsilon L \nu$, IX 4


入аккаĩoy（ $\cup \delta \omega \rho$ ），XI 10
Дакшуเкàs кúvas，VII 13
入a入eî̀，I $31 ; \mathrm{v}_{1}$ ；XIV $5 ; \operatorname{XIX} 7,30 ;$ $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\eta}, \mathrm{XI} 3$

$\lambda a \lambda i \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, XIX 27
入á入os，XIX 2， 25
$\lambda a \mu \pi a ́ \delta a$ т $\rho \in \dot{\chi} \in เ \nu$, VIII 6
入áxava，XI 10；XXV 13
入eltoupyial＇VI 24 ；XXIX 24
$\lambda \in ́ \pi \rho a \nu$ É $\chi \omega \nu$ ，XII 2
$\lambda \in \pi т o ́ s(?), ~ X X I X ~ 23$
入evpóv（áayúptov），conj．XIV I8
$\lambda \epsilon \chi \omega^{*}$ XXVIII 18
$\lambda \eta \kappa v \theta l \omega \nu \mu \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}, \operatorname{XXIV} 24$
$\lambda \eta \kappa$ Ө́Oovs，Өovplaкás，VII 18
入ク̂pos，XXI 14
Aprovprlac Crit．App．VI 24
$\lambda \iota \beta a \nu \omega T o ́ s{ }^{\circ}$ XXVIII 21
入ıӨоко́入入ŋтє тотท̆рєф，VI 9
$\lambda l \theta \omega \nu, \lambda \iota \pi a \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, XXVIII $9 ; \lambda$ lous tpeîs， XXVIII 6
$\lambda \iota \pi \alpha_{p} \hat{\nu} \lambda i \theta \omega \nu$ ，XXVIII 9
$\lambda o \gamma \zeta \xi \in \sigma \theta a \cdot$ IV I5；XIII 3
入оүотоиla，XX I
入oүotolós，XX 2
入оүотоь $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}, \dot{\delta}, \mathrm{XX} 2$
 XVI $I_{4}$
$\lambda o \pi$＇s＇XXIV 7


$\mu a \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \in i ̂ a, ~ X V I ~ 24$
$\mu а \gamma є \iota \rho \in и ̆ є \iota \nu, ~ X V I ~ I O ~$
$\mu \alpha$ үє $\quad$ os，XI II
Maкєסоעใa．VI 14；XX 2I；Makє $\delta 6 \sigma l$ ， VI 16


$\mu d \mu \mu \eta$ ，XI 13
$\mu a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \iota$ ，XXVIII 24
$\mu$ áprupas тapa入aßeîv，XIII II
$\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \eta \sigma \omega \nu$, IX 5
Maot
$\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota$ үou $\mu$ évou oikétov，IX 13
$\mu \alpha \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu о$ ，XI 5
$\mu a ́ \chi \eta^{*}$ XIX 19；XXVII 25

$\mu \in \lambda \in T a ̂ \nu$ ，VIII 12， 19
$\mu E \lambda_{L}$＇X $\mu$ ท่ $\boldsymbol{r t i o v , ~ V I I ~} 14$
Me入ıralov，кuvaplov，VII 33；к入d́סos Me入l－
taîos，VII 34
$\mu \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \eta \tau \alpha$, XXVII 4
$\mu \in \mu \psi<\mu o t \rho\left\{\alpha_{0}\right.$, XXII I

$\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ ：Є́ $\pi \iota \gamma \rho a \psi a ́ s ~ \mu \epsilon \nu$, Crit．App．XXV 3；
入lap $\mu \in 匕 \nu$ ，ib．XIV I8；$\mu \in ̀ \nu$ oûv，V（1）I
$\mu \mathrm{epl} \mathrm{s}^{\circ}$ XXII 3 ；pl．XXVI 4
$\mu e ́ \sigma \eta \nu$ кочрà̀ кeкариévos，XXIX 55 ；тd̀

$\mu \in \sigma о т о р \in โ$ ，XXVII 5

$\mu \hat{\tau} \tau \rho \varphi, \Phi \epsilon \iota \delta \omega \nu l \varphi$, XXVI 19
$\mu \grave{\eta}$（＇if＇）$\dot{\rho} \iota \gamma \operatorname{c} \hat{\iota}$ ，I 28
$\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \in \rho, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$, XVI IO；$\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho \ell \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$, VII 39
$\mu \iota к \rho о \lambda о \gamma i a, ~ X X I V ~ I ~$
$\mu \iota к \rho о \lambda o ́ \gamma o s$, XXIV 2 ；$-\omega \nu$ ，XXIV 21
$\mu \iota к \rho о ф ь \lambda о т \iota \mu i a$ ，VII I
$\mu \iota к р о ф \iota \lambda o ́ т \iota \mu о s, ~ V I I ~ 2 ~$
$\mu i \lambda a \kappa \alpha$（conj．），XXVIII 22
 $\mu \nu \hat{a} \nu$, VI $2 \mathrm{I} ; \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, XXVI 23
$\mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu a, ~ X 13$
$\mu$ йоע ov，XX111 16
Movecia，XXV II
$\mu 0 \chi \lambda$ bs，XXIII $^{7}$
$\mu v ́ \rho o v$, XIV 3
$\mu \nu \rho о \pi \dot{\jmath} \backslash \iota o v$, XVII 14
$\mu \nu \rho \sigma i v a \cdot$ XXVIII 2 I
$\mu v ́ \rho т a$, XVII 6
$\mu \hat{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{s}$ ，XXVIII II
$\mu v \sigma \tau \eta p l o s s$, XVIII 12
$\mu \check{\omega} \omega \psi \iota$ ，Ėע тô̂s，VII 29

$\nu \in \delta т \tau \iota \alpha, \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \hat{0} \pi a \tau \rho \delta s, 121$
$\nu \epsilon \omega \tau a, \epsilon l s$ ，XVIII $x$ I

рטктós，$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ，＂during the following night，＂
XIV 20；＇last night，＇XVIII 4
$\xi \in \operatorname{c}$
$\xi \in \nu \zeta \omega \nu$, XI 14
$\xi \in{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ XXVI 3；pl．II 8；VI 3；VII 13； XV 12；XVIII 9 ；XXVI 3 ；XXIX 29
$\xi \in \nu 0 \delta 0 \chi$ la．VI $3^{I}$
$\xi u ́ \lambda \alpha \cdot$ XXVI 37
סós．s．IX 8；pl．IV 4， 9


 оікia．$\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau \eta$ ，VI 28；$\pi а \tau \rho \psi ́ a, ~ V I ~ 29 ~$ oiko
ol $\nu=\pi \omega \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$, XXVI 6
 кєкра $\mu \dot{\ell} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu}$, XXVI 6
otos，c．inf．，xxiv 8 ；also preceded by roıbのठє TLS，IV 2 ；XXI 2 ；XXII 2 ； xxx 1
тoloûtós tıs，II 3；III 2；V3；Vi－ XVII 2；XIX－XX 2；xXIII－xxv 2；XXVII－XXVHII 2
тotoûtos हのтıL，XVIII 2

тoloûtos，XXIX 2 （exc．тoloûtby tıva ढ̈व $\tau \varepsilon, 1$ 2）
bitrapxia，Xxix I
bilirap xos，xxix 2
＇Oんخ
 ó $\mu \mathrm{i}$ ías，III I
 $\times 17$

вуєєрокрітаи• XXVIII 24
bуо $\mu a \sigma \tau l$ ，xvil 8


ógos＇xxvi 37
отллома́хои VII 22
${ }^{8} \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ，c．aor．subj．，v 2 I ；XIX 30 ；c．pres．
subj．XXIII 12 ；c．fut．ind．，IV 19；X 10 ура $\mu \dot{\eta} \cdot \mathrm{V} 22$

ठofyavov，Xxiv i9
d $\rho \ell \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, XIX I
др $\mu a \theta$ oùs $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \iota \delta(\omega \nu$, XVI $2 I$
бориӨобкбтоя．XVII 15；XXVIII 25
öpous èтเбкотєі̂न $\theta$ al，XXIV 14
＇Oрфєотє $\quad$ єбтаl XXVIII 26

${ }_{8} \rho \psi \pi \epsilon \rho \dagger \lambda a \beta \in i ̃$, II I

oũ $\pi$ орєย́ยтa，x 8
oùhal XXIV 19
ovipáv，é $\pi^{\prime}$ ，VIII 5
őфıs тapeta，oैффıs lepos．XxviII 7
óx ${ }^{\circ}$ os．XXIX II
ó $\psi \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} s$ ，VIII 2

ơ $\%$ ov，XI II ；XXI 22；XXVI 31
 I7；Xxv 12
$\pi a<\delta a \gamma \omega \gamma$ bs．Vill $16 ; \mathrm{XV} 14$
$\pi a \iota \delta a \rho \iota o v \cdot \mathrm{XXVI} 14$

maidlov，＇child，＇XI 5；XXI 2I；$\pi$ aıôla， II IO；XIII I2 ；Xxv 10；racolots，I Ig； XIX 29；XXVIII 28
maıठlov，＇slave－girl，＇Xxv 19


$\pi a i s, ~ ' s l a v e, ' ~ X X ~ 9 ; ~ \pi a u \delta o ́ s, ~ I ~ 32 ; ~ \pi a u \delta l, ~$
VI 27 ；VII 28 ；XIII 12 ；XXVII 7 ；
maîda， x 5 ；XXIII 3；XXVI 28 ；XXVII
14；raial，XXVI 3I， 34
$\pi a l \delta \omega \nu(=\pi a \iota \delta i \omega \nu) \pi a \iota \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\varphi}$, vHI 16
тa入aiatpa VII 24 ；vili 8；XIX ${ }^{3}$
$\pi a \lambda a \iota \sigma \tau \rho l a i ̂ o s ~ k b \nu l{ }^{-}$VII 20
 10
тарঠิокєิิจ，XI 12
тарঠокєยิбац，XVI 8
тavク่ $u$ pls，XVI 18
тavoúpyov toû $\pi$ ḋtaov，XI 7


$\pi \alpha \pi \pi a$, XIX 30
тapdoltos．XI 14

тapela（ö́巾ls）• XXVIII 7
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \gamma u l q, \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$, XVI $I_{4}$
таррךбla．XXI 27
$\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \kappa u s$, II 14
терєєр $\boldsymbol{i a}$ ， X I
$\pi \in p l e p y o s, \mathrm{X}_{2}$

$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda a \beta \in i ̂ v, \delta \rho \psi$, II I
$\pi \in \rho l o v \sigma i a, \operatorname{XXV} 1 ;$ XXVI I
тєрıтатеір，IV 3；VII 30；XII 3

$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \sigma \gamma \gamma \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，XXVII 19

Пépoas épuфaбpévous，vil 19
$\pi \ell \theta \eta \kappa 0 \nu \quad \theta \rho \epsilon \psi a \iota$ ，viI 15
$\pi \ell \theta 0 \nu, \tau \delta \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \mu \in \nu \circ \nu$ ，XI 13
$\pi \lambda \in \theta \rho i\} \omega \nu$, VI 6
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ मे $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ，vi 16
$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma$ às $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$, IX 14
 $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，XIX 2 I
$\pi \lambda \eta \eta^{2}, \operatorname{xxv} 6$
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau a$ ，＇audiences，＇VIII 9
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota d j{ }_{0} \nu \tau a s$, à $\rho \chi a i ̂ s, ~ X X I X ~ 12$
$\pi \lambda$ бїцои，XVIII 10
$\pi \lambda \hat{j} v a \ell$ ，xxvi 17；Crit．App．xxill 13
тобатни，$\times 15$
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa 6 \nu, \tau 6$, XXVII 21

Полит $\epsilon \rho \chi \omega \nu, \mathrm{xx}{ }^{13}$
тоитєӥбаs，VII 27
$\pi о \mu \pi \lambda \cdot \operatorname{XXIX} 4$
$\pi o \pi \pi u ́ j \omega v$, XI 6
Побєเธิєஸ̂vos，XVIII 16

$\pi 6 \sigma o v$, III 4 ；XXIII 3， 20
 App．XXIII 20
 тотйрเa，VI 9

$\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$, тヘ̂v ย̂̀ тoîs，XX 18
трáws $\delta t a \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ell$, v 7
т $\rho$ д х $\rho$ bиov $\tau \iota \nu 6$ s，XXVI 39

$\pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu o s, c$ c．inf．，IX II
$\pi \rho о і ̈ \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs，XXV 15
$\pi \rho \circ i ̂ \kappa a$ єíбє $\nu \in \gamma к а \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ ，XXI 20；XXV 17
трои̂ка $\theta є \omega \rho \in$ ̂v，XVI 8
$\pi \rho о к 6 \lambda \pi \iota 0{ }^{-1}$ XVI 20；XXV I3
$\pi \rho о \mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \stackrel{\nu}{2}$ XIX 14
$\pi \rho о \mu \epsilon \tau \omega \pi i \delta \iota \circ \nu,(\beta 0 \delta s)$ ，VII 25
тротє́нчаи II 5 ；XIX 16
тробаүорєи́бas，II 3；тробаүорєv $\theta \in i$ ，III 3
тробкєфа́入асор，XXVII 16
$\pi \rho o \sigma \kappa \nu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha s$, XXVIII 10
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \cup ์ \pi \tau \omega \nu, ~ I ~ 30$

$\pi \rho o \sigma \pi o l \eta \sigma \iota s$, V 2 ；VI I；X I
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha l, \mathrm{XXX} 18$
тробфора．XXVI 40
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \pi \in \hat{\imath} \circ \nu$, XVI 5
тритáyєı：VII 37， 39

חvaveభ／$\omega \nu$ os，XVIII I5
тикนá，VIII 18 ；XXVIII 15
$\pi \cup \lambda a \iota$ ，$\ell \in \rho a l$ ，XIII 17

тupol，XVIII 8
тvрผ́бas，XXIII 16
$\pi \dot{\omega} \gamma \omega \nu \alpha \mu \in \sigma \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，I 12
$\pi \hat{\omega}$ s ol̃ $\sigma \theta \epsilon$ ；XX 22
$\dot{\rho} \alpha \phi \alpha \nu i \delta \epsilon s^{\circ}$ XXVI 32
 VIII 2

＇Pboos．VII I4
इaßḑcos．VIII IO；XXVIII 7
$\sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \rho^{\prime}, \mathrm{XXVII} 2 \mathrm{I}$
$\sigma \eta \mu \in \rho \circ \nu^{*}$ XIV 23
бทцทัขavтos，XXVII 22；$\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \dot{\mu} \frac{1}{}$ XXIII 6
$\Sigma_{\text {Lкєлıкаl } \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a l . ~ V I I ~} 16$
oikvos• XIII 12
бヶтоסeia．VI 17
бtтотоtas，$\dot{\eta} \cdot \mathrm{XIV} 13$
$\sigma к є \lambda \eta$ ทेркvĩa，т反，XXI 13
$\sigma \kappa \hat{\xi} \psi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, v 16，Crit．App．
$\sigma \kappa l \lambda \lambda \eta^{*}$ xxviil 3 I
$\sigma \kappa о \lambda \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$（ $\beta a \kappa \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu)$ ，VII 18
$\sigma \kappa о \rho \delta \delta \omega \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu \circ \nu$, XXVIII 30
$\sigma \kappa 6 ́ \lambda a \xi \cdot$ XXVIII $3 I$
бKขto $€ \in \psi \eta s^{*}$ XXVIII 13

б0фlotal VII 2 I
$\sigma \pi \alpha \theta \eta \cdot$ XXVII 13
$\sigma \tau \alpha \delta L O \nu$, XXIII 4
$\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu$＇s $^{*} \mathrm{XV} 9$
$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \mu a \tau \alpha \cdot$ VII 26 ；XXIV 20
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu$, XXVIII 22 ；Є̇ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \omega \mu \in \nu \circ$ ， VII $3^{8}$
$\sigma \tau \eta \lambda i \delta \iota o v$, VHI 33
$\sigma \pi \iota \lambda \pi \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ，VII 35
orod．I 5；［xX 3I，35］
बтратәүб＇s＊ X 10；pl．VII 12
$\sigma \tau \rho € \varnothing \in \nu \nu$ ，$\delta \delta \rho a \nu$, VIII I8
$\sigma \tau \rho \circ \gamma \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu(\lambda \eta \kappa v \dot{\theta} \theta \omega \nu)$ ，VII 18
$\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a$, XXIII 9 ；XXV 8
$\sigma \cup \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$ ，${ }^{*} \chi \in เ \nu$, III 7；V 5
бч〒крои́єเข，IX 15
бикотраүभुбal，XXIV 12

бvкофф́vtai XXIX I7
$\sigma \nu к о ф а \nu \tau \eta \theta \hat{\eta}, ~ V I ~ I 5$
$\sigma u \lambda \lambda a \beta \eta$＇，＇an epitome，＇xVI 17
$\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \in \gamma \in L \nu,{ }^{\prime} \rho \alpha \nu o \nu \cdot$ XXV 15
$\sigma v \mu \beta \delta \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a L$ ，VIII 5
$\sigma u ́ \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu, ~ ' t i c k e t, ' ~ X V I ~ 7 ~$
$\sigma v \nu a \gamma \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi a \rho$＇aút $\hat{\text {＇，}}$ ，of a＇club－dinner，＇ xxvi 36
$\sigma v \nu a u \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma o \nu \tau a s(c o n j),$. VIII I4
$\sigma u \nu \delta \iota \kappa a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$, XIX 24
$\sigma v \nu \delta \iota o c k \neq a \sigma \theta a<$, VII 36
$\sigma \nu \nu \in \delta \rho \epsilon \hat{u} \sigma \alpha \iota$, XXX 19
 4
$\sigma v \nu \theta \in \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, XIX 24
$\sigma \nu \nu o \delta o \iota \pi b \rho o v \dot{a} \pi$ о入av́бas，VI 7
бuvtḑ́al，IV I2， 16
$\sigma u \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \in \tau(\zeta \epsilon \tau \nu$, XVII 19
$\sigma \nu \nu \omega \nu \circ u ́ \mu \in \nu o s$, I 21
$\sigma \nu \rho i \tau \tau \epsilon \tau \nu$, XVII 4
$\sigma \phi a \iota \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta ́ p l o \nu$, VII 20
$\sigma \chi \in \tau \lambda \iota a ́\} \in c$, XX 22
$\Sigma \omega \sigma l a s, \Sigma \omega \sigma l \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o s, \Sigma \omega \sigma l \delta \eta \mu o s$, XXI 5 f

тaرLeîov• XIV 12
тарáттทтa，кầע $\gamma \lambda a \hat{0} \xi$ ，XXVIII ${ }_{1} 6$
тарıХоты́ $\lambda \iota a, ~ X V I ~ 25$
тáplxos．XIV 23： 25
тaxioviv，$\tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$, IV 20
 XXVIII 26
тe入 $\omega \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota, ~ X V I ~ 9$
repetl\} $\omega \nu$ ，VIII 20
тєтрáat，тaîs，XVIII 20
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau a \iota_{\text {．＇＇artists，＇VI }}$ ro
T（Bıos，Crit．App．xv 7
тьцढ́татє（？），XV 7
$\tau i \tau \theta \eta \cdot$ XI 5
тitupos：VII 16

 I 2
тбкоя ${ }^{-1 X}$ I3；XVI 23；тбкоs тбкои． XXIV 16；т6коя XVI 25 ；XXIII 12
т $\rho \alpha \eta \mu a \tau \ell \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，XVII 7

траүчঠоі̆s，vıкйбas，XXV 3
т $\alpha a ́ \pi \in\} a$. VI $6 ;$ pl．VII 9
т $\rho a v \mu a \tau l a s^{*}$ XXVII 17
т $\rho a \chi \eta \lambda / \sigma \eta,(\beta \circ \vartheta \nu)$ ，VIII 7

т $\rho \in ́ \chi \in \iota \nu, \lambda a \mu \pi a ́ \delta a$, VIII 6
$\tau \rho l \beta \omega \nu^{*}$ XXV 22
трเ刀рархlai＇VI 23；XXIX 24
трıทрa $\rho \chi \hat{\omega}$ ，XXV 8
трьóols，èv таîs，XXVIII 9,30
т $\rho$ \ха入коу，XXIV 8

т $\rho 6 \pi 0 \cup, \pi \rho 6$ s，XXVI 21
т $\rho 0 \chi$ á̧єtע，XIII 13
$\tau \dot{\sigma} \pi \varphi \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \bar{\nu}, \dot{\omega} s, V$ I
$\dot{v} \gamma \rho \hat{\psi}, ~ \in \tau \nu$ ，XIX 26
$\forall \delta \omega \rho$ ，＇rain，＇XVIII 10 ；$\forall \delta \omega \rho, \psi v \chi \rho b v$ ， $\lambda а к к а \hat{o v}, \mathrm{XI} 9 \mathrm{f}$
 XIII 15 ；cp．Charitonides，Hockl $\lambda a$
Фıлолоү七ка́， $740-774$
$\Upsilon \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \tau \iota a \nu, \mu \in \lambda \iota$ ，VII 14
v่тaкои́ยยข．XIV 15 ；XXI 16

$\dot{v} \pi \in \rho(=\pi \varepsilon \rho l), \mathbf{X X X} 9$
$\dot{y} \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \in \rho$ lav $\pi \rho \hat{\xi} \xi \alpha$, XXIV 15
$\dot{i \pi \epsilon} \epsilon \eta \phi a \nu l a$, IV 1
บ่ $\pi \epsilon \rho ท ่ \phi \alpha \nu o s$, IV 2

$\dot{v} \pi \delta \delta \eta \mu a$ ．I 22；Pl．XIV 4， 26 ；XXV 19

ย่токор！乡єбөaц，XI 6
v่ $6 \lambda \eta \psi \iota \varsigma$ ，XXIII 1
ข่ $\pi$ т $\lambda \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu$ оиs，XXIV 25
ย่тореลิ้aย，III 12 ；XIX 28
ย่то $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\prime}$, XVI I
ย่тотріaбөal，XXVI 2 I
 33
Vб $\tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ ，＇too late，＇XXII 5


$\Phi \hat{\eta}$, ＇says yes，＇XXIII 8；cp．Crit．App． XX 15
$\phi i \lambda a p \chi l a, ~ X X I X ~ 1 ~$
фi入étalpos，XXX io
$\phi t \lambda о \pi о \nu \eta \rho / a, ~ X X X ~ I ~$
фi入ororp pos，XXX I
$\phi$ флоторía，VIII I
фi $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ bбoфо ${ }^{\circ}$ VII 2 I
фо๕̃น $\xi$ ，XXIV 13
фрáтopas è $\sigma \tau$ tî̀，XXVI 30
филе́таに XXVII 28
$\chi$ дакєîa，XV 18
да入кои̂s．pl．XVI 6；XXI 21 ；XXVI 15
xaplईono kiv $\mu 01$ ，IV 18
Xd́pıs，XV 20
 $\chi$ еєротоу ои $\mu$ еуоs，IV 5
$\chi \in \lambda \iota \delta b \nu \omega \nu \ldots \lambda a \lambda / \sigma \tau \in \rho \circ s$, XIX 27
Xıт $\omega \boldsymbol{\prime} / \sigma$ коs．XII 7
रo入lкıоу，XV II

хре́os．．．áтооои̃עal，XXVI 22
 ib．XXIV 18
xคクुणa，VII 21 ；XIV 19；XV I7；XXIII 17；XXIV 18；хрךбduevos，XXVI 17，
хрךбтб́s＇XXX 5－7；＇Td्dutes Xpךбтol，＇ x 16
$\chi \rho l \in \sigma \theta a$, XII 7
$\chi \rho l \sigma \mu a \tau \iota \dot{a} \lambda \epsilon l \phi \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，VII 8
$\chi \rho \hat{\varphi}, \epsilon \in \nu$, XXIV 25
хри́баעтเ，III 7
रútpa• XIII 14；XXIV 7
$\psi \eta$ भ́ $\phi$ ous $\delta \iota \omega \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, IV 16 ；$\theta \epsilon \hat{v}$ al，VI 20 ； $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \tilde{\sigma} \alpha s$ тás，XXII 12；$\psi \eta \dot{\phi} \phi$ ous入oyıá́ $\mu \in \nu$ os，XIII 3
$\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}, \dot{\eta}, ~ X X I ~ 7$

＇＠เס̄êov＇XVIII 13；XXIX 17
山ขचтths．IX 9
ふขทrıầ，VI 26
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actors, XVII 4
advocates, Xxx 16
Aeginetan standard of measures, XXVI 22
Aegospotami, battle of, XIX 23
Aethiopian slaves, VII 6
Alciphro, p. 3 n. 3
Alexander the Great, p. 5; VI 10; XX I5
Amaduzzi, J. C., pp. 162, 166
ambassadors, presents to, XXVI I4

- travelling allowances to, XXVI 10
ambition, petty, VII I
Anthesterion, festivals in, XXVI $3 I$
Antipater, VI I4; XX I5
Apaturia, the, XVIII I5
apes, VII I9
arbitration, II 8
archery, VIII 18
'architect,' or manager of the theatre, XXVI 8
archon, assessors of, XXIX 4
Aristophon, XIX 22
Aristotle's Ethics, pp. 13-16; I I; II I; III I; IV I; V I; VI I; XXVII I
arrogance, IV I
Artemis, festivals of, XXIV 5
Asclepius, temples of, VII $3^{8}$
Ast, F., pp. 7, 17, I61, 163, 166
Athene, invocation of, XXVIII I9
Athenian troops, XXI 6
Athens, Metrô̂um, VII 45
- Odeum, XVIII 13; XXIX 18
- sacred gate, XIII 2 I
athletics, Greek moderation in, XIII $I_{5}$
augury in Greece, XXVIII 27 f
Austen, G. E. V., pp. 16I, I71
avarice, XXIV I; XXVI I
Babick, C. J., p. 170
baggage carried by a slave, XXVI II
ball, games at, VII 22
banks in market-place, VII II
barber's shop, a lounge, XVII I5
bath, anointing oneself at the, XXVI $1_{4}$
- cold, XXI 24 f
- warm, XXI 24 f
bathman, his duties, XV 20
baths, behaviour in the public, XIV 28
battle, signal for, XXVII 25
bench, addresses to, Xxx I6
'benevolences' at Athens, XXV 5
birds sacred to several deities, XxVIII 18
'blaspheme,' in the Greek sense, XVII I7
Blaydes, F. H. M., p. i 70
Bloch, S. N. J., pp. 163, 166
Blümner, H., p. 169
boastfulness, VI I
Boissonade, J. F., p. 166
boorishness, XIV I
bottomry, VI 4
boundaries, XxIv 16
Brillon, p. 32
Bruyère, La, pp. 30-32
bucranium, VII 28
Buecheler, F., p. 169
Burney, Charles, pp. 3, 5
Cabeiri (Káßıpoı), mysteries of, xxvil 3
cakes for sacrifice, XxIV 22
Camozzi, J. B., p. 165
Casaubon, I., p. 165
'cask,' 'the pierced,' XI I5
Cassander, XX II, I5, 27
'cause (not the person),' 'the question
is of the, Xxx 16 f
childbirth, XXVIII 2 xf
clansmen's feast, Xxvi 36
cloak, VII 32, 42
- coarse, XXV 28
- fashionable length of, XXIV 25
- mode of wearing, XXIX 17
- sent to be scoured, XXIII I2; XXV 18; XXVI 18 f
- the breast of, a pocket, XVI 23
clothing, winter and summer, XII 7
club-dinners, XXVI 42
cobbler, XXVIII I5
Cobet, C. G., p. 167
coins, XXIV 9 f.; XXVI 33
- carried in the mouth, XVI 28
commanding officer, x 9
complaisance, II I
conjurers, VIII iI; XVI 6
conspiracies in law-courts, XXX 21
contractors, XX II
cooks, hired, XVI II
cook-shops, XVI 27
copper money, xxvi 33
Coray, pp. 162,166
Corinth, the language of, XXI 9
couches, coverings for, VI 30
counting-board, IV 19; XIII 3
cowardice, XXVII I
Cratander, A., p. 165
crier, public, xvi 10
criminal causes, XXX 3 f
cross-road offerings to Hecate, XxviII 34
cross-roads, stones at, XXVIII II
cucumbers, XIII I5
cupboard, XXIII 6
cup, dropping the, XVII 18
cups inlaid with gems, VI II
- loan of, XXIII I4
cushions taken to the theatre, I $3^{6}$
Cyzicus, vil 16
Danaids, allusion to the, XI 15
dancing, IX $2 I$
dates, Crit. App., xxIv 13
dead body, pollution from touching a, XXVIII 2 r
dead, laying out of the, XIII Io
decked vessels, Xxv 12
Delphi, VII 5
deme, enrolment in a, XXI 6 f
demesmen, dinners of, XXIV 18
Diasia, the, XXVI 3I
dicasteries, tone of the, XXIX 20
dice of deerhorn, VII I9
dice-playing, XVI II
Diels, Hermann, pp. 16 n, 16ı, 169, 171
dinner-party, places at, vil 4
- presents to guests at, xv 6

Dionysia, the, XVIII 9
discount on copper money, XXVI 33
distraining, right of, xxiv 17
distrustfulness, XXIII 1
Dobree, P. P., p. 167
dogs, Greek feeling towards, XXx 18

- in the halls of Greek houses, XIV I7
- Laconian, VII Io
- of Melita, vir 36, 37
doves, Sicilian, VII 19
dreams, belief in, xxviri 27
- interpretation of, XXVIII 27
drill-serjeants, VII 24
dropping a cup, etc., a bad omen, XVII 18
Dübner, F., p. 167
Earle's Microcosmographie quoted, p. I7 n.; Pp. 28-30; XIV 11; XXVI 27

Ecclesia, controlling power of, XXIX 6 editions, pp. 164-172
Edmonds, J. M., pp. 16I, I7I
education, Greek ideal of, viII 22
elective offices, IV 6; XXIX I4
ephebeum in gymnasia, VII 12
epitaphs on pet-dogs, viI 36

- on women, X $\mathrm{r}_{4}$

Eumolpidae, XXVIII 14
evil-speaking, XXI I
exports, duty on, VI I6
fairs, XVI 20
famine, vi 19
festival, tenth-day, VIII 16
festivals, XXVI $3 \mathbf{I}$

- presents sent to friends at, III 5
finger, votive, VII $3^{8} \mathrm{n}$. and Crit. App. VII 34
Fischer, J. F., p. 165
fish-market, XVII I2
fish, salted, XIV 28
flattery, I I
flies, XxviI 23
flute-playing, VIII 17 ; XX II
food, distribution of, XXVI 4
Foss, pp. 16I, 163, 167
fourth day of month, sacred to Hermes, XXVIII 23
Fraenkel, J. M., pp. 161, 171
frankincense, XxvIII 25
fuller, the, XXIII 13 ; XXV 18
'full market,' time meant by, xvil 5
gambling-houses, XVI II
games, II 16
garlic at the cross-roads, XXVIII 34
garrulousness, XVIII I
gates, Athenian, Crit. App. XIII 21
genealogy, Greek love of, XxI 4
Generals, the Ten, VII I3
Goez, pp. 162, 166
Gomperz, Theodor, v I ; pp. i6n., I7I
Grindor, P., p. I7I
Groeneboom, P., Pp. 16I, I7 I
grossness, XVII I
grumbling, XXII I
guests, presents to, xv 6
gymnasia, VII 12
hair, close-cut, a mark of mourning, XXIV 27
- daintily trimmed, XXIX 17
- worn long by youths, VII 5
hall-door, answering the, XXI 8
Hall's Characterismes, pp. 6, 24-26, 28-30
Hanau, F., p. 167
Hartung, J. A., p. 167
Haupt, M., p. 168
Hecate's agency invoked by spells, XXVIII 18
'Hecate's supper,' xxviir 34
Heracles, temples of, viII 8
herald's office, XVI Io
Hermaphrodites, XXVIII 26
Hermes, festivals of, Xxv 14
- the giver of luck, XXVI 18
heroes, festivals of the, VIII 4
Herwerden, H. van, p. 168
Hicks, E. L., p. 170
hides worn by rustics, XIV 24
hired labour, xiv 8
Hirschig, G. A., p. 167
Homer, his place in Greek education, XXIX if
- quoted, xxix 10
hoops, children's, xiII 15
horses kept by rich men for the credit of the State, VI 29
Hottinger, pp. 163,166
houses purified, xxviII 17
ill-omened words, XIII 2I; XVII 17
Immisch, Otto, pp. 164, 170
informers, public, VI 17; Xxix 20
initiation, rites of, VIII 20; XXVII 5
inn-keeping, XVI 9
insanity, XXI 33
interest, XVII 29
- compound, XXIV 17
- paid by the day, xvi 26
- paid by the month, XXIV 2; XXVI 28
irony, v I
jackdaw, tame, VII 35
javelin-throwing, VIII 19
Jebb, R. C. (ed. 1870), p. 170
jug, the broken, xxiv 8
jury-courts, democratic tone of, Xxix 20
Kayser, K. L., p. 168
Klotz, C. A., p. 165
knights, procession of, vil 30
Küchler, p. 166
labourers, hired, xiv 8
Lacedaemonian victory, XIX 23
late-learning, viII $I$
laurel, a bit of, carried in the mouth as a charm, xxviII 4
law-courts, XXII 13; XXIX 20; XXX 16 - conspiracies in the, $\mathbf{x x x} 21$
law-suits, XIII 5 ; XVI 12, 22; XXX 3
leathern garments, XIV 24
Leipzig Philologische Gesellschaft, pp. 16I f, 164, 170
letters, IV 20
libations at dinner to deities, xxiv 5
'like to like,' Xxx 25
'liturgies,' the Athenian, XXIX 26
loans between neighbours, XIV $2 I$
loquacity, Xix I
lustration, circular, XXIII 14; xxvin 35
Lysander, xix 23
Lysicrates, monument of, xxv 4
Madvig, J. N., p. 168
mantic art, xxviil 27 f
manuscripts, pp. 161-4
marketing, usu. done by slaves, xvir 12
market-place, Xvı 25, 27 ; XVII 5, IIf
marriage-feast, Xxv 8
mask, the comic actor's, XVI 5
meal-bag, the mouse and the, xxviri 13
meals, servants', Xxv II
meanness, xxiv I
measure, with the bottom dinted, xxvi 23
Megalopolis, battle of, xix 22
Meier, E., p. 167
Meineke, A., p. 167
Melita, vii 36
Menander, P. 4; I 28; XIV 24; XxviI $6,16,23$; XXVIII $1,18,35$
mercenary troops, xxi 6
Metrôum, the, VII 45
Mey, van der, p. ryo
mice, omens from, xxviil ${ }^{3}$
monarchy, Theseus and the, xxix 27 , 29, 30
money-lenders, vi 5
month, $4^{\text {th }}$ and 7 th days of the, Xxviil 23
Mother of the gods, VII 45
mourning in the house of death, xin io Münsterberg, p. 170
Muses, festival of, at schools, xxv I4
musical skill, Greek feeling for, viII if
musicians, professional, VII 24
myrtle-berries at dessert, xvii 6
myrtle-wreaths worn by sacrificers, xXVIII 25
mysteries of Eleusis, the greater, XVIII 12
- the lesser, xxvi $3 \mathbf{r}$
- the Orphic, xxviul 29
- of Samothrace, XXVII 5
- torch at the, XVIII 12

Naber, S. A., p. ${ }^{170}$
name, change of, XXI 5
Nauck, J. A., p. 167
navigable season, the, XVIII 9
Needham, Peter, P. 165
'new-moon,' the fair-day at Athens, xiv 25
news, xx 7

- from the Ecclesia, xix 20
news-making, xx I
oath of inability to accept public office, IV 6
Odeum, the, XVIII 13
Odeum-street, Xxix 18
offensiveness, XII I
officials appointed by lot or by election, IV 22, 23
officiousness, x I
oil used at the baths, XII 6
oligarchical temper, the, Xxix I
omen, the evil threatened by, transferable, xxviii 6
- words of evil, XVII 17
omens which cross the path, XxviII 5
Orelli, J. Kaspar von, p. 167
Orphic societies, the, xxvill 29
Overbury's Characters, pp. 27-29
owl, omen given by, xxviil 18
ox, the more costly victim for sacrifice, VIII 9
Oxyrhynchus Papyri, p. 171
palaestra and gymnasium, viII 10; XIX 16
palaestra, private, VII 22
Panathenaea, the Great, Xxix 5
panegyris, xvi 20
parasites, XI 17
parents, neglect to maintain, punishable, xvi 11
parish-feast, xxiv 18
Pauw, J. C. de, p. 165
penuriousness, xxiv a
perfumer's shop, a lounge, xvir 15
Petersen, E., pp. 10-16, 18 n .1, 19, 161, 164, 169
petty ambition, VII 1
'Pheidonian measures,' xxvr 22
philosophers, their conversazioni, vil 23
Phocion, XX 21
Pirckheymer, W., p. 164
places of honour at table, viI 4
plate lent between friends, xxili $I_{4}$
'plenary powers,' directors of a procession with, xxix 6
Politian, p. 165
Polyperchon, XX 15
porches of Athens, 16
Porson on the Characters, p. 7 n. 3
'Poseidon's day,' xxi 25
possets, XIV 3
'potter spites potter,' $\mathbf{x x x} 25$
presents to ambassadors, Xxvi $I_{4}$
- to friends at festivals, III 5
- to guests at dinner, xv 6
priestesses, xxviri 35
priests, their perquisites, xxv 9
privacy little permitted by Greek manners, Iv 17
prucession, Dionysiac or Panathenaic, XXVIII 4,5
- of the knights, VII 30
promontories mistaken for privateers, xxvit 3 f
public services at Athens, the, Xxix 26
puppies used in a rite of purification, xxviil 36
purse usu. carried by an attendant, XXIII 4
Pydna, xx 4
rascals, patronising of, xxx I
rations, the slave's, Xxiv 9
recitations, III 13
recklessness, XVI 1
'red' snake, the, xxvini 8
Reiske, J. J., p. 165
Ribbeck, Otto, I 1; V I; vi 1; p. 170
rings worn as amulets, vir $3^{8}$, and Crit.
App. VII 34
'right wheel,' etc., viri 5
Romizi, A., pp. 16i, 171
Sabazius, vili 13; Xxvifi 9
'sacred' snake, the, xxvili 9
sacrifice, dress worn at, vil 42
- followed by a banquet, xv 5 sacrifice, public, by the Senate, vil 41
- . . form in reporting, viI 44
sacrificial parts reserved for priest, XXV 9
- victim, its neck bent back, VIII 9

Samothrace, mysteries of, xxvir 7
'satyri,' a species of ape, vil 19
Schmidt, L., p. 169
Schneider, J. G., Pp. 9, 163, 167
schoolmasters' fees, xxvi 28
schools, law for privacy of, XIX 16
Schwartz, J. C., p. 165
Schweighäuser, J., p. 166
sea-water in purification, XxviII 32
seals set on doors, xxini 6
seers, Xxviil 27 f
Senate, presidents of the, vir 40
serenades, IX 4
serpent seen in a house, ominous, xxviil 8
services, the public, xxix 26
seventh day of month sacred to Apollo, XXVIII 23
shamelessness, xv i
'shares in the luck!', xxvi 18
Sheppard, J. G., pp. 20, 161, 167
ships, large, completely decked, XXV 12
shoes, 123
shops, vi 3
shrine, xxviil 10
Siebenkees, Pp. 162, 166
siesta, at noon, xxiv 28
singing at dessert, $\mathrm{III}^{13}$
slaves attend their masters in the streets, xxili 18

- Greek and Roman treatment of, Xxvi 32
- Greek familiarity with, xiv 6
- heavy-laden, xxvi if
- let out for hire, xxvi 32, 40
- rations of, xxiv 9
slippers, I 33
smilax, XxVIII 25
snake, red, xxviii 8 f
- sacred, XxviII 9

Solonian standard of measures, Xxvi 22
son, rejoicings for birth of, XXII Io
soothsayer consulted in the smallest matters, XVII I7
'sophist' meaning specially 'rhetorician,' VII 23
Sosias, a Thracian name, xxI 5
Sosistratus, XXI 5
speech-writers, XXII I3
spells, malign, XXVIII 18
spitting into the bosom to avert evil, XXVIII 37
sprinkling, ceremony of, XXVIII 4
squill, XXVIII 35
stick, custom of carrying $a$, VII 20
stones, virtue of three, XXVIII 7
streets, demeanour in the, IV II
stupidity, XIII I
subscriptions for friends, $\mathrm{V} \mathrm{I}_{4}$

- to the public treasury, xxv 5
superstition, XXVIII I
sureties, IX 6
surliness, III I
swallow, a proverb of loquacity, XIX 32
sword, long (spatha), XXVII 16
tableaux vivants, viII 17
taverns in the market-place, XVI 25, 27
tax-farmers, XVI 9
temple-font, XXVIII 4
'tenth-day' festival, VIII 16
theatre, cost of places at, $x v 13$
- demonstrative audiences, XVII 4
- lessees of, Xxvi 8
- seats of officials at, VII I3
theft, laws against, XVI 12
Theophrastus, his date, p. 7
- his death, p. 18; [Pro. 6]
- his style, p. 22
- his will, I 39

Theophrastus, his Characters, date of, pp. 4-Io; XXIX 1, 12 f

-     - their probable origin, pp. I-2 I
-     - the excerpt-theory, pp. 9-16, 18; [Pro. 8]
-     - the definitions, p. Ig
-     - their imitators, pp. 22-32

Theseus, his connexion with Heracles, VIII 8

- the hero of the commonwealth, XXIX 27
Thracian peltasts, XXI 6
- slaves, XxI 8

Thrasybulus, monument of, xxv 4 tickets, xvi 8
'tityri,' followers of Dionysus, VII 19
tombstones, various forms of, XXVIII 20
torch-race, VIII 7
torches at the Mysteries, xviri 12
travel, foreign, Plato on, VI I3
trial, day appointed by archon, XIII 5 tribesmen, festivals, XXIV I 8
'tribon,' a coarse cloak, XXV 28
trierarchy, the, XXV II; XXIX 26
tripod, the prize of a choregus, Xxv 4
trumpet, signals by, xxvii 8
Unger, G. F., p. 169
unguent instead of olive-oil, a luxury, VII 10
unpleasantness, XI r
unseasonableness, IX I
upholstery mart, VI 30
Usener, H. K., p. 168
Ussing, J. L., pp. 16I, 168
vases, Thurian, vil 19
verdict, unanimous, XXII 13
vessels of water at temple-doors, XXVIII 43
vestibule of a Greek house, VII 28 ; XIV 17
votive chapels, etc., at Athens, XXVIII 10
voyage, danger of wicked companions in a, XXVII 5
walk after dinner, Iv 3
walking in the streets, IV II
walking-sticks, IV II; VII 20
'watch-dog of the people,' Xxx 18
water over the head, purification by pouring, XXVIII $34 f$
weasel, ill-omened, XxviII 5
wedding ceremonies, Xxv 8

- feast, IX 8
- presents, xxvi $4^{6}$

Weil, H., p. 170
Wendland, P., p. I7o
Werle, W., p. 169
Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, U. von, p. 17 I
wine, frauds in selling, xxvi 6

- mixing of, x 6; xIV 13
witness, oath of inability to be a, XVI 22
witnesses to a claim for interest, XXIII 10
- to a payment, XIII 13
witchcraft, XXVIII 18
women admitted to the Orphic and other mysteries, XXVIII 30
- attended by slaves abroad, xxv 23
- privacy enjoined upon, XXI I8
- restrictions on freedom of, XXV 24
women's market, I 28; xxv 23
workshops, resorts for conversation, XVII $\mathrm{I}_{5} \mathrm{f}$
Wurm, Chr., p. 166
Zeus, 'the heavens,' xxvir 7
Zingerle, A., p. 169
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Life and Letters of Sir Richard Claverhouse Jebb, O.M., Litt.D., by his wife Caroline Jebb (University Press, Cambridge, rgo7), p. 102.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ c. II .

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pamphila, ap. Diog. Laërt. v 36.

[^3]:    1 If кalтe $\rho$ è $\chi \in t s$ occurs in the character of the Flatterer, it should be remembered

[^4]:    that the same construction is found in Plato's Symposium.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ See esp. cc. $14,15,23$.
    2 The tone of social life in the small republics of ancient Greece is described, with the inspiration of a true feeling for Aristophanes, by Mr G. O. Trevelyan, in the paper 'A Holiday among some Old Friends,' reprinted from the Cornhill Magazine in the same volume

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Motley, United Netherlands, III 346, 470.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ib. III 347, 432.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Ib}$. IV 27 - 47 .

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ According to Apollodorus $a p$. Diog. $v 58$ he died in Ol. 123 ( 288 - 284 B.C.). According to Diogenes ( v 40 ), he died at 85 years of age. This places his birth in 373-369 B.c., and makes him 11-15 years younger than Aristotle.

    2 Athen. I p. 21 A.
    $s$ Dobree thus refers to the opinion

[^8]:    1 Proleg. p. 13 'res vel materia...neque praestans et ad virtutem insignis neque turpis et foeda erit, sed eiusmodi quae propter suam ipsa naturam iocum et risum admittat ; igitur ex earum rerum numero

[^9]:    erit quas indifferentes dicimus.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Proleg. p. 26 'mimos, h. e. lepidas humanorum morum imagines (quasi elóv̀ $\lambda \iota a)$ ex ipsa natura expressas, qu' bus mera delectatio sit proposita.'

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plutarch in his Life of Pericles, c. 38, quotes an anecdote as given by Theo-
     $\pi \varepsilon \rho l \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\theta} \nu$, is mentioned by a scholiast on the Nicomachean Ethics, IV 2, P. II2IA, in Cramer's Anecdota Parisina, I p. 194, who says that the avarice of Simonides of Ceos, on which Aristotle touches, was noticed also by Theophrastus év toîs $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ? $\dot{\eta} \theta \omega \hat{\nu}$. Athenaeus says too (xv p. 673 E ), that Adrantus (or as it is now generally read, Adrastus) wrote 'five books on the questions of history and language ( $\tau \mathrm{d}$
     $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ of Theophrastus, and one book on those in the Nicomachean Ethics of Aristotle.' That the $\pi \in \rho l \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\theta} \nu$ and the $\dot{\eta} \theta \iota c \dot{d}$ were distinct works, and that each consisted of several books, appears from thestatement of thegrammarian Eustratius (on the Eith. Nicom. v 2, p. 1129 B) that a certain verse there quoted was ascribed by Theophrastus, in the first book of the $\pi \varepsilon \rho l \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, to Theognis; but, in the first book of the $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \theta \kappa \alpha \\ & a \text {, to Phocylides. Zeller }\end{aligned}$ agrees with Petersen in supposing the $\pi \varepsilon \rho \ell \dot{\eta} \theta \omega \hat{v}$ to have been a work of the same kind as the Nicomachean Ethics, but 'more comprehensive.' Usener, in his Analecta Theophrastea (1858), an
    examination of the catalogue of the works of Theophrastus in Diog. V 42-50, supposes that the $\eta \theta_{\mathrm{u}}$ á was a collection of essays like those $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ éjoachovlas, $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ eúruxlas, $\pi$ epl ko入aкeias mentioned by Diogenes, -put together by the grammarian Andronicus, who is said by Porphyry (Vit. Plot. 24) to have rearranged the writings of Theophrastus (Anal. Theophr. p. 22). Besides these special treatises, and the Characters, Diogenes mentions only $\dot{\eta} \theta \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ $a^{\prime}$, which, Zeller thinks, may have been identical with part of the $\pi \epsilon \rho l \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} y$ or of the $\dot{\eta} \theta i \kappa \alpha$.
    ${ }^{2} 1870$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Speaking of the epitomes from the writings of Theophrastus mentioned by Diogenes, Usener says: 'eodem pertinent
     usum, quae est Hermanni Sauppii coniectura ueri simillima, ex Theophrasti libris $\pi \epsilon \rho l \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ excerpti' (p. 18).

    4 'Aus einem dieser Werke, oder auch aus beiden, scheinen...die Schilderungen von Fehlern entlehnt zu sein, welche in unsern "Charakteren" zusammengestellt sind' (Zeller Philos. der Gr. II 2, p. 684 note). (E. T. of ed. 3, Aristotle and the earlier Peripatetics, II 400 ; cp. $355,40 \%$.)

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zeller Philos. der Gr. II 2, p. 684 Peripatetics, II 401 note). note (E. T., Aristotle and the earlier-

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ (The opinion that the Characters are extracts from a philosophical work has, however, been opposed by Diels and Gomperz, Sitzungsberichte of Vienna Academy, 1888, cx no. 10, pp. 10, 19. Both of them regard the Characters as a

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ It may be mentioned, merely as an illustration, not of course as an argument from analogy, that the history here supposed for the Characters of Theophrastus was in fact nearly that of a similar book in modern times, Earle's Microcosmographie. In the notice 'To the Reader Gentile or Gentle' Earle says:

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rimbault, in the Introduction to his edition of Overbury (p. II), mentions 'two small tracts descriptive of the characters of rogues and knaves-"The Fraternitye of Vacabondes," 1565; and "A Caveat for Common Cursetors vulgarely called Vagabones, set forth by Thomas Harman," $1567 .^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{2}$ The general intellectual characteristics of the period early in which Theophrastus lived are thus described by Heyne, in an essay de genio seculi Ptolemaeorum, printed in his Opuascula ( 1 3). 'Legere litus, radere humum

[^15]:    1 The 'Characters' were attached to his poem of $A$ Wife, now a Widdowe. The date $\mathrm{I}_{61} 4$ is given by Arber, Introd. to Earle's Microcosmographie, p. 8, and by Rimbault in his Introd. to Overbury's Works, p. 13....Rimbault says that with

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ The permission to print the book was obtained by the printer Oct. 8, 1687; the book was published March 10, 1688, according to M. Servois in his

[^17]:    1 Introduction to the Literature of Europe, part IV, ch. 4, §52.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the Character of $\delta \psi \iota \mu a \theta l a$ (D'Une Tardive Instruction, no. VIII in our Translation) the words kal mapd $\tau 0 \hat{v} v i o \hat{v}$
     $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \pi r^{\prime}$ oủpáv are thus rendered:-‘Il apprende de son propre fils les évolutions qu'il faut faire dans les rangs à droit ou à gauche, le maniement des armes, et quel est l'usage à la guerre de la lance et du bouclier." La Bruyère did not see that $\tau \delta$ é $\pi l \delta \delta \delta \rho v$, 'Right Wheel,' etc., was already translated by 'évolutions-à droit,' etc.; and added the italicised words to express what he thought to be the meaning of the Greek, 'that which concerns the

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ The story is told by M. Servois in his introduction to the Caracteres, p. 99.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1} C_{p}$. Aristotle's Constitution of Athens, $ᄂ .42$.

[^20]:    case is honest, not affected, and the exaggeration is due simply to an error of judgment. Compare note on $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IX}, \mathrm{I}$.
    6. mixing more wine] The wine and the water were usually mixed together in the bowl, and thence poured into the cups of the guests. (The olvoxbos was the ladler-out of the wine; and oivox ${ }^{6} \eta$ was the ladle used for that purpose.) Athenaeus however quotes a poet who had written on the subject, and from whom it appears that this was not always the case: 'No man' says Xenophanes 'would in mixing his glass first pour in the wine: the water comes first, and the wine on top of it' (Ath. XI p. 782, § 18).
    9. his commanding officer] Here we have the undisciplined zeal, as in

[^21]:    (7. taike his child from the nurse] A terra-cotta, probably from Tanagra, in the British Museum represents an old man fondling an infant. It is reproduced on P. 157 of the Leipzig edition.)
    12. cistern-water] The remark is a Votes $^{2}$ as being boastful; and perhaps also as suggesting thin potations. The female legislator in the Ecclesiazusae (154) proposes 'that no publican be allowed to construct cisterns in the wineshops.' Athenaeustells astory-preserved by a brother dramatist-of Diphilus. The comic poet is dining out, and compliments his host upon the coolness of the wine:-
    'Your cistern must be admirably cool.'
    'Yes; we take pains to ice it-with your prologues.'
    (Ath. xill p. 580 § 43.)
    15. the pierced cask] In Lucian's

[^22]:    two passages seem to show that people sometimes carried about a 'ready reckoner' in the shape of a small ciphering-board (áßáкıov), like that used by an arithmetic master (calculator) at Rome: Mart. x 62, 4.-See note on C. IV, 19.
    5. When he is defendant in an action] The preliminary investigation of the case before the archon is over; a day has been appointed for it to come before a court; but, before this day ( $\dot{\eta}$ кvpla $\tau 0 \hat{v} \nu 6 \mu 0 v$, Dem. Meid. p. $544 \S 93$ ) arrives, the Stupid man forgets the whole matter, and leaves Athens. The consequence is that judgment goes against him by default.

    Io. in order that he may come to the house] The duty of a relative or friend was not merely to attend the funeral ( $\boldsymbol{e} \kappa \phi \circ \rho \alpha$ ); he was also expected to visit the house at least once while the corpse was laid out ( $\pi p \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma t s$ ). Not to

[^23]:    his position. The Grumbler, on the other hand, represents only the passive form of discontent. Dissatisfied with all persons and things, he yet makes no effort to remove the causes of his dissatisfaction, which is in itself a source of gloomy pleasure. As the Discontented. man (in the special sense) is generally one who is striving to rise, the Grumbler is often one whose fortunes have declined. Theophrastus has lightly marked this when he describes the friends of the Grumbler as raising a subscription for him. 'All men whose affairs go wrong,' says Hegio in the Adelphoe, 'are somehow prone to suspicions,-prone to take everything as a slight.' The Grumbler entertains that presumption that 'all men are unjust' which, in a more earnest form, constitutes the Distrustful character (c. XXIII). But,

[^24]:    swords nearly twice as long as they had been before，＇Diod．xv 44．This longer sword was called $\sigma \pi a \dot{d} \theta \eta$ ，a word which sometimes translated the Roman gladius． Vegetius II 15 ，＇longer swords（gladios） which they called spathae．＇（The word is used in Menander＇s Misoumenos， Pollux，$x$ 14 6 ，дंфаעєís $\gamma є \gamma b \nu a \sigma \iota \nu$ at $\sigma \pi d \theta a \iota$ ；and in his Epitrepontes，p． 62
     $\mu o t$ ．From the Latin spatha are derived the Italian spada and the French epée．）
    （23．keep the flles off］tàs pulas oopeîl has been compared with Menander， Frag．503，Пépoai $\delta^{\prime}$ éxoעтєs $\mu \nu ⿺ 辶 \sigma b \beta a s$ ย̇бтйкєбац．）

    25．sounded the signal for battie］ ro $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa 6 \nu$ ，the signal to charge（Xen． An．IV 3，29），is opposed to tò ávaкえ $\eta$ тו－ $\kappa 6 v$ ，the note of recall（Plut．Apopth．Lac．

